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Ms. WANDA VANTASSEL: Okay. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I think we will terminate this portion of the afternoon, and we'll move into the next segment, so perhaps it'll take us two or three minutes for the gentlemen to collect their things, and then we'll begin the next segment.

Thank you, gentlemen.

--- Recess at 3:43 p.m.

--- Upon resuming at 3:49 p.m.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have on our schedule four presentations between now and the end of this session. The first is to be made by Dianne Theriault. Proceed.

PRESENTATION BY MS. DIANNE THÉRIAULT

Ms. DIANNE THERIAULT: Good afternoon. I want to thank the Panel for giving me the opportunity to speak today, not an easy task, but a necessary one.

My name is Dianne Theriault, and I've lived on Digby Neck for 35 years. I've owned and operated Petit Passage Whale Watch for the last 15 years.

And I've been involved in community development for the past 15 years through the Digby Neck Development Association, the Digby Area Learning Association, Digby Neck and Islands Ecotourism Committee, which is now Digby Neck Long and Brier Island Tourism Association.
In the past, my husband has been involved in the lobster fishery, and I have now three sons that are directly involved in lobstering. They all have expensive licenses that they have to pay for, and they all have young families to take care of.

I am here today to ask the same questions that have been asked over and over since this process has begun, what if.

What if the silt created by the proposed quarry contaminates the sea beds so as to no longer sustain the lobster and the scallop fishery in this area?

Most families along Digby Neck are connected to these fisheries in some aspects, either on land or on the boats.

What if ship strikes kill whales? Now that the shipping lane has been moved closer to Nova Scotia, there's a greater risk to whales. Whales frequent the Bay of Fundy shore from Brier Island to the Digby Gut, following the herring that come into the Bay.

Large ocean-going vessels have little chance to stop if they come upon a pod of whales feeding. Humpback whales frequently bubble feed along in groups of eight to 10 along the shore of Digby Neck.

What if blasting causes whales and birds to move elsewhere? Tourism has become a vital economic bridge for many people involved in the local seasonal
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The local economy cannot withstand the displacements of any jobs. Jobs promised by the proposed quarry are few in comparison to those that will be affected in tourism and the fishery.

What if the water table in the surrounding area is diverted because of blasting at Whites Cove? My eldest son told me that his greatest fear is that of ballast water.

Destructive organisms could be brought here. Then what happens to the ecosystems in the local waters and beyond?

These questions, along with many others, should absolutely trigger the precautionary principle part of the Oceans Act and law of this land.

More questions. How does the principle fit into this process? When will it fit in, if it does? And these are questions that the community needs answers to.

Tourism, as with any industry, is cyclical. Our numbers have dropped in the years following 911. We have, in the past, depended on markets from the eastern United States, and these markets have diminished, but others in Europe and western Canada loom large.

The nature of the business and the drop in numbers, along with the lure of jobs in Alberta have led
some to believe that tourism is in a state of decline along
Digby Neck and the Islands.

Well, just go ask Ruggles Guest Cottage
on Long Island, who just opened another cottage this year.
They've been in business for the past 10 years, and they
have expanded.

Perhaps one should speak to the owners
of the new establishments on Brier Island, the Lighthouse
Cafe and Hooking By the Sea retreats to ask where they feel
tourism is headed.

On Digby Neck, visit Autoview Camping
Cabins in Little River and Gullivers Cove Oceanview
Cottages, all new businesses this year.

Tourism in this area is relatively new
and somewhat fragile. The impact of a mega-quarry would be
devastating.

Along with the economics for this area,
the Panel should consider the social impact of the proposed
quarry. There are little yardsticks to measure the changes
that have been imposed on our community.

This issue of the proposed quarry has
put family against family, neighbour against neighbour, and
imported an air of confrontation among community members.

The uncertainties of the issues
surrounding the proposed quarry have already caused wedges
to be embedded in our community's social structure. The
Proponent's signs claim a step forward. For whom?

The signs are placed at driveways that lead nowhere, and on lawns with houses where no one has lived for ever since I've been on the Neck, and these are laughable to the locals.

I would like to relate a story about a young man that lives on Digby Neck and runs a small business with his family. I do business with him through my whale watch, and for the longest time he had a "Stop the Quarry" sign on his lawn.

It has come to light recently that he has sold his home to Bilcon and now is building a new, larger home called a mansion by one with the bills being paid by Bilcon, the Proponents.

For the longest while, I was in a dilemma, trying to decide whether I should continue doing business with him. By stopping, I would show my discontent. I was in a real quandary, but after some thought, I came to the conclusion that one cannot blame anyone for trying to do better for their family.

He's young. He's trying to get ahead. He has a young family he's trying to take care of.

The proposed quarry company has watched for this opportunity. They have come in and made offers too good to turn down, knowing some will grab on, the young ones, the vulnerable ones.
This young man is already labelled as one who has been bought off by the quarry. This is something that will stay with him a long, long time in this small community.

Ask the local gas station owners about the reaction by the community because they have placed a "Support the Quarry" sign on their property. It seems whether the quarry goes or not, their legacy is already established.

Some in this community will win, and some will lose. The wedge is deep.

Is it right that a foreign company can come here, take away our land, leave us with nothing but a sense of frustration and uncertainty on both sides of this issue?

When I look back at the past five years and see the energy and the resources spent on the fight against the proposed quarry, I think all that could have been done with the money and the community planning. I feel that we have already been stripped of our resources.

If I may, I would like to ask a question.

Why is Bilcon trying to buy so much property in Little River? They already control the property where the quarry is located. Why is it necessary to buy
more?

And if the Panel has no more questions for me, I would conclude my presentation with just a note that there has been a coastal linear trail talked about in years gone by by our local Tourism Associations and our Development Associations, and we even submitted a plan to the Department of Tourism at one time, but the cost of it was prohibitive and they turned us down.

But there is talk. There has been talk about that for some years.

So if you have any questions...

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Thériault.

PRESENTATION BY MS. DIANNE THÉRIAULT – QUESTIONS BY THE PANEL

Ms. JILL GRANT: Just one question, Ms. Thériault.

Do you think there are any ways in which regulators can address some of the concerns that you've raised here today in terms of, you know, mitigation for this project or any of the effects that you're talking about, ones that could be addressed through mitigations?

Ms. DIANNE THERIAULT: I think that the issue of the quarry has split the community so widely that, for mitigation to occur, to try and to fix it, it would
almost seem that it would be weighted on one side or the other, and I don't see...

I would be at a loss to try and tell you what that means of mitigation might be.

Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Yes. You asked a question about the buying out properties, and I would like Bilcon to respond to that.

Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I can respond to the specific reference if you would like me to, or be more general.

Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Maybe both.

Mr. PAUL BUXTON: If we talk properties with buildings on them, I can say that the property then belonging to Rex Trask was acquired by Bilcon as a result of Mr. Trask coming into our office and indicating that he and his wife wished to move to Truro and would we have any interest in purchasing his property.

In fact, it's probably a little outside of our proposed perhaps effects, if you like, but we said: "Yes, we would like to talk about it." We suggested that he get an appraisal of his property, and come in with the appraisal.

And we negotiated. He got a very fair price, was very satisfied. He's living in the house now for as long as he basically wants to live in it. He has not
been displaced.

He's paying, I think, $150 a month rent, and they're able to move to Truro when certain events happen in the family.

The next-door neighbour, I would suppose, heard of this and again, suggested that we might buy his property because he wanted to build a new house on a parcel of land that he had further up Digby Neck.

And again, we went through the process of asking him to get an appraisal and we agreed on a price. And we bought the property immediately.

He will live in the property rent free until his new house is complete.

The property to the north of Whites Cove Road came on the market. We made an offer of the full market value for the property. We then got a request from the seller that we close within 15 days because there was a very significant demand for money for health purposes, and we paid for the building, for the property within 15 days.

Land has been offered to us, and we...

If it provides buffer, we have picked up all the parcels of land adjacent to the quarry that have been offered to us. But we have not gone on a door-to-door campaign to buy property.

We have negotiated with another couple...
of property owners in the area, and have so far failed to come to an agreement with them.

Is that of sufficient explanation for you?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you.

Mr. Buxton, do you have any questions for Ms. Thériault?

PRESENTATION BY Ms. DIANNE THÉRIAULT: QUESTIONS BY THE PROONENT

Mr. PAUL BUXTON: One in general, and that is if Mrs. Theriault felt so strongly about this project, why she didn't come to meetings and express her views to us? Obviously they're very strong views.

But we have had many, many opportunities to get comments from the public. We had a public meeting on May the 3rd, not very long ago. 100 people attended.

And people have raised concerns to us, specific concerns, noise and air and so on, water quality and quantity, and we have made every attempt to deal with these.

And I'm a little puzzled to understand why somebody has all these objections and commentary and did not make their views known to the company.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Is there a question from the audience?
Did you want to answer, Ms. Theriault?

Ms. DIANNE THERIAULT: I certainly will.

I feel that, number one, probably 90 percent of the people know in this room that my husband is the MLA, and for those that don't, they do now.

And there is a sense in our household that we stay clear of... Or that I'm to stay clear of issues that are so strongly put forward in the community, and that's why, to show that we don't have... That we are not on one side or the other.

I do have a "Stop the Quarry" sign on my lawn, and... But that's the main reason why I haven't been to any of the public meetings.

I don't feel that meetings that were put on by Bilcon is the place that I want to be seen. It says that... It has the connotation that you are in support of the quarry, and that's the reason why I didn't attend your meetings.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Theriault. We're going to move on to the next presenter now.

That would be Climate Action Now, Anna-Maria Galante.

PRESENTATION BY CLIMATE ACTION NOW - MS. ANNA-MARIA GALANTE:

Ms. ANNA-MARIA GALANTE: Thank you very
much for allowing me the opportunity to come and speak to you. My name is Anna-Maria Galante, and I am the facilitator of a grassroots citizens group that has been involved in activities to raise awareness about climate change.

And I'd like to say right off the bat that the GHG emissions that we're dealing with here aren't so terribly, awfully bad, at least not compared to some terribly awfully bad things that we already have going on in our Province. But I think because the reality that now involves a whole lot more public awareness has changed, I think we need to bring a fairly critical eye to any proposals for business in our Province, and we need to bring this aspect to bear in the EISs that are done, to a greater degree.

So I'd like to jump in right away and address the issue of the mining sector's GHG emissions, because one of the first things I did was to go to Environment Canada's National Inventory that it keeps on greenhouse gasses, and it's broken down by Province, and Nova Scotia's mining sector, the data goes back to 1990, and it has grown quite steadily.

The most recent figure in the chart that I have here is for 53,000 tonnes of carbon emission that year.