

Sadaka, Jennifer -JLT

From: Crepault, Jean [CEAA]
Sent: October 31, 2003 12:43 PM
To: Chris A Daly; 'Cheryl L Benjamin'
Cc: Torrie, Brian [CEAA]; Chapman, Steve [CEAA]; Richard, Francine [CEAA]
Subject: WPQ - Scenarios and effects on timelines

Attachments: Scenarios.doc

Chris and Cheryl, as agreed to during the last teleconference, you will find attached the scenarios and related effects on timelines for each of them. You will notice that the decision to hold or not to hold scoping meetings would have no bearing on the timeline. The decision to announce Panel appointments shortly after announcement of the agreement being signed also has no effect on the timeline. A decision to go with only one phase of participant funding would have a small effect on the timeline. That effect could be compensated with an early announcement of participant funding, if we agree that it is a preferable approach.

One thing that would be required for our next teleconference is the time needed for the two governments to have the agreement signed once a consensus is reached between us.



Doc1.doc (49 KB)

Jean Crépault
Gestionnaire, Examens publics | Manager, Public Reviews
819-953-2989 | télécopieur / facsimile 819-997-4931
jean.crepault@ceaa-acee.gc.ca
Agence canadienne d'évaluation environnementale | 200 boul Sacré-Coeur Hull (Québec) K1A 0H3
Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency | 200 Sacré-Coeur Blvd Hull QC K1A 0H3
Gouvernement du Canada | Government of Canada

Tracking:	Recipient	Read
	Chris A Daly	
	'Cheryl L Benjamin'	
	Torrie, Brian [CEAA]	Read: 31/10/2003 1:12 PM
	Chapman, Steve [CEAA]	Read: 31/10/2003 12:46 PM
	Richard, Francine [CEAA]	

WHITES POINT QUARRY					
Events	Proposed timeline				
	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D	Option E
Announcement of Participant Funding Program (28 days)	7 days before day 0	Day 0	Day 0	Day 0	NA
Announcement of agreement signed	Day 0	Day 0	Day 0	Day 0	Day 0
Announcement of appointment of joint review panel members	Day 0	Day 14	Day 28	Later	Later
Closing day for participant Funding applications	Day 21	Day 28	Day 28	Day 28	NA
Release of EIS Guidelines and announcement of comment period (45 days)	Day 21	Day 28	Day 28	Day 28	Day 21
Announcement of successful recipients	Day 35	Day 42	Day 42	Day 42	NA
Scoping meetings	Day 45-56	Day 52-63	Day 52-63	NA	NA
Closing day for comments on EIS Guidelines	Day 66	Day 73	Day 73	Day 73	Day 66
Approval of EIS Guidelines	Day 87	Day 94	Day 94	Day 94	Day 87

Note: I haven't included the number of days that are required between now and the announcement of the signed agreement.

Option A: If there is a sufficient level of comfort, before the signing of the agreement that scoping meetings are to be included in the agreement we could go with an early announcement of the participant funding program. Option A also calls for the announcement of the Panel's appointment at the time of the announcement of the signing of the agreement.

Option B & C: Delaying the announcement of the Panel's appointment shortly after the announcement of the signing of the agreement has no bearing on the timelines.

Option D: There is no impact on the timeline if the decision is to go without early announcement of Panel's appointment and not to hold scoping meetings, but still have two phases of participant funding.

Option E: The timeline of this option is more along the lines of the draft agreement, i.e. no scoping meetings, no early announcement of Panel's appointment and presumably only one phase of participant funding.