Baddack Meeting with Regulatory Agencies
and Committee of Concern

A Partial List of Questions and Concerns About
the Proposed Tidewater Gravel Quarry on Kelly’s Mountain

Most Serious Concerns

1. There are several potential impacts which could result in serious damage to the
    inshore fishery:

   a) The effects of blasting, siltation, vibration, oil leakage, and leaching of
      waste tailings from the normal quarry, crushing and washing operations.

   b) The effects of water contamination from polluted ballast water carried by
      60,000 ton ore carriers from ports of call in the U.S. and the Caribbean.
      This includes the lethal effect of fresh water floating in contact with larval
      lobster and the introduction of viral organisms, parasites and predators into
      St. Ann’s Bay.

   c) Oil contamination from the routine or inadvertent pumping of bilges.

   d) Damage to fishing and spawning grounds resulting from pier and breakwater
      construction.

   e) Loss of fishing grounds to shipping lanes and mooring swings for waiting ships.

   f) Danger of oil spill from tanker ship supplying fuel oil for electric
      generators.

   g) Danger of oil spill from oil trucks supplying fuel for machinery and/or
      generators.

   h) Leaching of any toxic heavy metals that may be disturbed by quarry and
      construction operations.

2. There are serious impacts upon the residential, recreational and traditional values
   of the area:

   a) Noise pollution from blasting, rock crushers (how many?), ship loading and
      increased traffic for 24 hours of operation.

   b) Dangers inherent in the transport and storage of explosives.

   c) Radon gas concentration from quarrying, crushing and exposing granite.

   d) Potential future use of the site to store hazardous.

   e) Loss of an exceptional and unspoiled wild area for recreation and tourism.

   f) Disturbance to a Micmac spiritual heritage and archaeological site.

   g) Impact of shipping lanes on the Bird Islands.
FURTHER QUESTIONS:

3. Why is this project exempted from the new Environmental Assessment act when Kelly Rock Ltd. has not yet been officially formed? Will there be a public hearing on the Environmental Assessment?

4. Is the company bound to its original operating plan if that proves impractical? Who regulates the operation of the quarry and under what act or laws?

5. Will the water level in Grappling Brook be maintained against the demand for water for gravel washing and dust suppression spraying? Have the evaporation losses been considered? Who monitors the brook levels?

6. Have tourism operators in the area been asked about the perceived impact on their business?

7. What is the possible impact on the salmon run through St. Ann's Bay to the North River?

8. What volume of fuel oil will be stored on site?

9. What type of explosives will be used, how much will be stored and how often will it be trucked to site?

10. Why have Lands and Forest and Department of Environment permitted such extensive road building before the completion of the E.A. and before final permission has been granted?

11. What is the actual size of the quarry site and the shore facility? How high will be the back cut on the mountain?

12. How many rock crushers will be operating?

13. Have shipping lanes been applied for yet?

14. Are tug booms required for docking?

15. Who is liable in a collision if a fishing boat is in a shipping lane?

16. Is the material from the shore excavation to be pushed in as backfill for the loading pier?

17. Where is the rock for the breakwater to be taken?

18. If power lines are used here will the access run?

19. Who are the other owners/investors of "Kelly Rock"? Does it yet exist as a company?

20. What are the projected profits of the operation?

21. Will the operation expand to include other products not mentioned in the proposal?
22. What is the breakdown of the promised 4 million dollar payroll?

23. Will there be a settlement mechanism for damages to the fishery and/or losses to fishersmen due to the quarry operation?

24. Will the prior environmental record of the applicant be considered in the decisions to grant permits required for this project?

25. Does the construction of the marine facilities require the Federal Environmental Assessment Review process?

26. Why was Moshier Limestone's water lot lease not approved by the Department of the Environment via Lands and Forests?