

Aug. 28. 03 Steve Chapman.

① * Cost Recovery 15% / 85% initial thoughts.
↳ should it be more equitable.

② * DFO concerns on 3.9 ha approved.

* implications for scope of project.

↳ DFO ^{wants} 3.9 evaluated in EA.

↳ current draft agreement proj. descrip doesn't reflect 3.9 ha section → DFO would want 3.9 considered.

• CEAA ~~panel~~ direction to DFO not to review blasting plan under after panel.

• what would be provincial response if Proponent took activity on site → would there be a stop work order?
↳ check Bob Redue*.

③ PUBLIC REGISTRY - Steve pursuing contract with Digby library.
* CEAA doesn't get possession of registry until panel. → panel wants to take ~~lead~~ initiative.

* Cheryl check in with libraries on draft agreement.

④ Timelines

Sept 18 → 2-3Ks. → at our level to work final.

draft
agmt.

↳ Oct. 6th news release.

EIS guidelines → do we need to set draft internally.

45 day comment period. → Nov. 30th (if released right away).

Panel appt. announcement Jan. 04.

⑤ draft agreement → we need to give Agency draft letter.
* Steve → responding to comments → "thanks"

* panel interview question -> DEL supplement?

may get phased participant funding.
↳ after draft agreement
↳ before draft guidelines.

TIMELINE

FINAL AGREEMENT? → final agreement.
→ signed agree.
→ translated } COMPONENTS.
→ press release.
* Cabinet?

then:
draft. * Steve would like to send pre-draft to govt.
EIS guidelines 45 public comment -> Steve -> more detailed the better for participating public comments.

then:
∴ announcement on EIS guidelines + panel ^{appt. together.} announcement
↳ easier to take one package recommendation -> Steve likes this -> DEL think?

* Red Hills -> EIS guidelines

finalizing EIS guidelines -> have to go to Ministers (as per agreement).

Panel.

agreement. -> each have short list of three, total of 6 for interview.

chair \$650/day } prescribed rate of Agency
member \$500/day }

* no perks.

↳ have Steve send presentation to new members.

dro
EIS
fi
PAGE
→ ea
*
1
1
1
f
① a
2 l
② a
③ ca
④ l
⑤ c
*
→ P
* u

draft agreement - mid Sept → finalized beg. Oct.
EIS guidelines - draft out mid Oct → public comment end Nov.
final guidelines + panel → mid Dec → forward.

PANEL

→ each prepare lists of people expressed interest
& those pursue
* Chris check with Pat on previous app.

1 academic } typical structure.
1 admin. }
1 tech }

- geology }
- oceanographer }
- admin back. tribunals + justice }

faculty lists, sabbaticals, retirees.

SECRETARIAT → DEL - new staff, existing staff? Roles & responsibilities } Steve would like this.

① are we going to have a dedicated policy analyst?
during hearings
② ↳ Agency → may be Steve can may get someone else.
(actually writing a lot of report.)

② summer person - registry

③ communications → at Digby 1st couple days of panel.

④ legal support

⑤ admin. support. → budget, billing

* panel may request independent advice → hire consultant.

→ Budget → for budgeting.
track his time + 20% for legal services.

* why 15% → need justification

Fed. Cost Covery → M. Order. on review panels.

* can't get signature on budget estimate from proponent until panel appt. in place.

↳

13 1/2 months:

COST SHARE

- split
- invoice

Registry

- ① DFO to agree
- ② library to agree → formal req.
- ③ informal site - with limited selection.

* presentation to panel need onshore solid from PROJ.

(613)

* 858-0213. Steve Chapman (cell).

FRIDAY → draft guidelines
→ public registry.

* Steve → public registry + prov. documents.