REDACTED

- we have NWPA, FA + S.35 + probably S.32 trigger for marine terminal but no trigger for quarry ∴ limit scope of project to terminal
- ask question - could project component exist without DFO approval - if "NO" then its our business + scope it in. If "yes" its someone else's business + don't scope it in.
- Jim is going to talk to Phil Monday + get back to Richard
- Richard is going to talk to Bruce Young today + explain situation + that scoping not finalized

This is like Red Hill where DFO trigger was S.35 for realignment of a stream but we scoped in Hwy too + were making decisions on effects on birds of removing trees in Hwy corridor. Judge ruled that we had no regulatory authority over the highway + therefore were abusing the CEAA process.
- Shouldn't be scoping things in to satisfy public or other agency pressure.

- Pulled the Tiverton Quarry note as well for new asit explains why the scoping was done differently in Digby + Tiverton

Quarry Scoped in ↓ Quarry not scoped in ↓