Oct 28/05

White Cap Quarry Development
Buxton - BILCO. has advised the panel that the EIS will be filed Dec 15/05. Paul went on to say that the Compensation Plan + Blasting Plan are 2 undefined components of the EIS which need to be addressed. They are looking to DFO to help resolve this because they need to move this more forward from a corporate perspective.

Shippping responsibility was raised as an issue. There was quite a bit of discussion of this.

Mark Maccaron - who is representing the EA + Major Projects group stated that this is really for the Panel to decide and give direction on. They are the ones who set the Scope for the EA. It is 11 km from the designated shipping dome to the Terminal.

Habitat Compensation Plan has been through the Regional Review + has been deemed to be acceptable by DFO. Phil also has
prepared some wording in a Draft document to be included in the EIS.

Mark Maclean clarified that since the Authority's EA process is running concurrently, no decision on the Authority action can be made until the EA is complete. The monitoring plan that is still uncertain even if it is formulated now—may change.

Presentation by Sue Wrenson of an impact of onshore blasting from White Point Quarry on marine environment—particularly right whale. This is from the noise.

A test blast is recommended to reduce some of the uncertainties.

Next steps

- DFO will go to the Panel and let them know what we have now + outline the uncertainties + let them know that a test blast may greatly reduce the uncertainties.

- The Panel will then have to provide some direction.