

June 26/03 -

Meeting in Digby re: Quarry w/ Minister Robert
Thibault + Tim Surette + Digby Council +
Sheryl Denton + crowd

Don Mullen → Guidelines for use of Explosives
says 500 m setback from any marine
mammal →

RT → responded about the precautionary approach

DM → stated that it might be wise to have
the Guidelines re-evaluated →

→ the other person w/ Sheryl stated that

- DM says that as long as the blasting
plan is scrutinized very carefully.

RT - mentioned that he is considering requesting
a panel review for the project.

He gave some examples of where he had requested
a panel review.

DM mentioned that the Interest Corp has already
put a petition in place & it is being
legally scrutinized now just prior to
sending to the Minister.

Sheryl D. - Told the Minister a letter
from a geologist on the effect.

Also she said about land mass & possible
problems on the ground water

→ Sheryl brought up the point about
the silt deposition → they went
a bit of a round-robin

Sheyl brought out a bunch of photographs re: the self problem.
 → they went a little more of a round-robin talking about all kinds of issues, etc. including the right of fishermen access.

Sheyl Dexter → also brought up the ID she also brought up that I told her that she would have to request it through the Freedom of Information Act.

→ Robert read that there is a process in place → ATIP & there is a very good reason for the rules to be in place.

→ RT brought it back to the Panel Review & the question was asked about role of the Province w/ the panel review

Q- is there going to be an independent engineering review →

A- RT- the panel will scrutinize the info - any person agency or individual are able to critique the information provided.

Q come up about the Public funding for Interners.

Q- does the Minister not have the discretion to shut this down -

A - no -

June 26, 03 - Meeting in Digby w/

Minister + Tim Surotte + Nancy Miller, etc.

- Bulk H₂O issue was brought up.

- RT - brought up the point that we cannot stand up in the House + say the Fed Gov't is against mining.

TS - mentioned that if we as the gov't don't stand at all - it can be pushed through a judicial review.

REDACTE