
From: Zamora, Phil
Sent: August 25, 2004 6:57 AM
To: Dean, Stuart
Cc: Hood, Bruce
Subject: FW: RE : WPQ

Stuart

Given the Agencies response, what does this mean In terms of our potential Section 32 trigger as the result of the blasting plan for the 3.9 hectare quarry. Also, we have been anxious to meet with the proponent (they have written us asking for a meeting) to exchange information regarding changes in mitigation and possible redesign of the blasting plan (information we have had since June of 2003) but were advised not to share with the proponent until the 3,9 hectare quarry was certain to be included in the overall assessment. Does this mean we can now share this information? Will it come out in the panel review hearings anyway? Does this have legal implications?

I may be out of range this morning but you can try 222-0689. I have a field trip to the Easter Shore. I should be back this afternoon.

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Crepault, Jean [CEAA]
Sent: August 24, 2004 5:23 PM
To: Zamora, Phil J: DFO XMAR
Cc: Chapman, Steve [CEAA]
Subject: RE : WPQ

Phil, I got your voice mail message. Thanks. The whole idea was to remove from the revised draft agreement adding the 3.9 ha quarry as a separate project. This 3.9 ha quarry is now dead as Nova Stone is no longer leasing the property. There is now one project to take place on the 380 acres leased property. Quarrying is to take place on 300 acres (120 ha). Conclusion is that the 3.9 ha was added to the revised agreement, but will now be removed as NoVa Stone provincial permit is no longer valid and that Bilcon will not request it be transferred.

I have no problem for the end of this week for DFO's comments on the draft Guidelines. Jean

-----Message d'origine-----

De : Zamora, Phil
Envoyé : August 24, 2004 3:54 PM
À : Crepault, Jean [CEAA]
Objet : RE: WPQ

Thank you, Jean, I did receive the letter from Bilcon today. I have not read over the revised project description as yet but I will provide comments. Concerning the letter from Bilcon, I did not see a reference to the caveat regarding the 3.9 hectare quarry that DFO recommended be added to the amended MOU agreement between the Agency and the province. Has it been added to the agreement?

Also, DFO has not received all the information needed to complete it's review of the Draft EIS Guidelines for the Review of the Proposed Whites Point Quarry Project. Is it possible to obtain an extension on the deadline until Friday, August 27, 2004.

Thank you, Jean.

-----Original Message-----

11/06/2009

From: Crepault, Jean [CEAA]

Sent: August 24, 2004 2:58 PM

To: Crepault, Jean [CEAA]; Chapman, Steve [CEAA]; Harris, Gordon [CEAA]; Bedrossian, Peter [CEAA]; Lemay, Simon [CEAA]; Dodsworth, John [CEAA]; Richard, Francine [CEAA]; McDonald, Derek [CEAA]; Coulter, Bill [CEAA]; Chris A Daly; mcphailh@gov.ns.ca; Zamora, Phil J: DFO XMAR; Hood, Bruce: DFO XNCR

Subject: WPQ

Steve et al., I received today a letter from Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation indicating it is now the sole proponent of the White Point Quarry and marine Terminal project. A project description is attached to the letter. I haven't notice any change of importance in the project per se. I will read carefully tomorrow.

Phil and Chris, you should have received a similar letter by this time as well. Any comments on the project description? Any comments on the draft EIS Guidelines as well? Today is the deadline I guess for the latter.

I will get back to you shortly with a revised time table for coming announcements. Jean

11/06/2009