PUBLIC HEARING

WHITES POINT QUARRY AND MARINE TERMINAL PROJECT

JOINT REVIEW PANEL

VOLUME 1

HELD BEFORE: Dr. Robert Fournier (Chair)

Dr. Jill Grant (Member)
Dr. Gunter Muecke (Member)

PLACE HEARD: Digby, Nova Scotia

DATE HEARD: Saturday, June 16, 2007

PRESENTERS: Bilcon of Nova Scotia

Mr. Paul Buxton

Recorded by: A.S.A.P. Reporting Services Inc.
200 Elgin Street, Suite 1004 Ottawa, Ontario K2P 1L5
130 King Street W., Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5X 1E3
613-564-2727 (Ottawa Office) / 416-861-8720 (Toronto Office)
613-564-7756 (Ottawa Fax) / 416-946-1693 (Toronto Fax)
1-888-661-2727 (Toll Free)

Per: Hélène Boudreau-Laforge, CCR

--- Upon commencing on Saturday, June 16, 2007 1 2. at 9:00 a.m. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. would like to welcome you all to the hearing for the 5 Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal Project. is a Joint Panel's review. 6 7 I'm going to start by introducing the 8 panel members and then the Secretariat. On my left is Jill Grant. She is a professional planner by training. 9 On my right is Gunter Muecke, who is an earth scientist 10 11 by training, and I am Robert Fournier, oceanographer and the Chairman of the Panel. 12 13 The Secretariat is over on my far right 14 and I'm going to ask each individual as I identify them 15 to just put their hand up. Debra Myles, she is the first of two panel co-managers, and she is an employee 16 17 of CEAA, the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. Helen MacPhail, she is the second co-manager. 18 19 with the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Debbie Hendriksen is our Communications 20 Labour. Advisor, and she is with CEAA, and Adrian MacDonald is 21 22 our analyst, and he is with CEAA as well. 23 Now a few words about our mandate. the Joint Panel, were created in the fall of 2004 by the 24

Minister of the Environment for Canada and the Minister

- of Environment and Labour for Nova Scotia.
- 2 That's the reason why this is called a
- 3 Joint Panel, because it has two masters, one master in
- 4 Ottawa, one master in Halifax. The Panel's
- 5 responsibilities are outlined in a memorandum by the two
- 6 Ministers which delineate the terms of reference and so
- 7 forth.
- 8 The specific terms of reference, that
- 9 is the rules by which this Panel is operating, are
- outlined in an addendum to the memorandum and that is
- 11 available from the Secretariat if anybody wants to see
- 12 it.
- Now what our task is, short-hand task I
- 14 guess, is that we are empowered to conduct an
- 15 independent and impartial review of the proposed basalt
- 16 quarry and marine terminal.
- 17 And the final product from this Joint
- Panel will be a report and that report will offer advice
- 19 to the two Ministers. I would like to stress to you
- that we are not a decision-making body. We are an
- 21 advisory body. We provide advice to the two Ministers
- and the Ministers make the decision.
- Now I think it would be useful if...
- It will be a little tedious perhaps, but it might be
- useful if I were to read to you verbatim, and it will

1	take two or three minutes, from the memorandum which I
2	just mentioned, and that memorandum outlines what our
3	responsibilities are, so if you just bear with me, I'm
4	doing it for clarity sake, so everyone in the room will
5	understand what it is we have to do.
6	AThe Minister of Environment and
7	Labour for Nova Scotia, and the
8	Minister of the Environment,
9	Canada, have determined that the
10	Panel shall include in its review
11	of the Project, consideration of
12	the following factors (and they go
13	from (a) to (p)):
14	(a) purpose of the Project;
15	(b) need for the Project;
16	(c) alternative means of carrying
17	out the Project that are
18	technically and economically
19	feasible and the environment
20	effects of any such alternative
21	means;
22	(d) alternatives to the project;
23	(e) the location of the proposed
24	undertaking and the nature and
25	sensitivity of the surrounding

1	area;
2	(f) planned or existing land uses
3	in the area of the undertaking;
4	(g) other undertakings in the
5	area;
6	(h) the environmental effects of
7	the Project, including the
8	environmental effects of
9	malfunctions or accidents that may
10	occur in connection with the
11	Project and any cumulative
12	environmental effects that are
13	likely to result from the Project
14	in combination with other projects
15	or activities that have been or
16	will be carried out;
17	(i) the socio-economic effects of
18	the Project;
19	(j) the temporal and spatial
20	boundaries of the study area(s);
21	(k) comments from the public that
22	are received during the review;
23	(1) steps taken by the Proponent
24	to address environmental concerns
25	expressed by the public;

1	(m) measures that are technically
2	and economically feasible and that
3	would mitigate any significant
4	adverse environment effects of the
5	<pre>project;</pre>
6	(n) follow-up and monitoring
7	programs including the need for
8	such programs;
9	(o) the capacity of renewable
LO	resources that are likely to be
L1	significantly affected by the
L2	Project to meet the needs of the
L3	present and those of the future;
L4	and
L5	(p) residual adverse effects and
L6	their significance.≅
L7	That is our mandate. Those are the
L8	things that we are considering within this Panel of
L9	Review. At this point, I should now identify to you
20	that to my left is the Proponent. The Proponent of
21	course is the commercial entity behind the Project, and
22	the commercial entity is known as Bilcon of Nova Scotia.
23	Bilcon of Nova Scotia is a wholly-owned
24	subsidiary of another organization called Bilcon of
25	Delaware. And Bilcon of Delaware, as I understand it,

is a holding company for the Clayton Group of companies,

- 2. and Bilcon of Delaware has specific responsibilities for what are called quarrying interests. 3 The third entity, that is the 5 commercial entity that owns Bilcon of Nova Scotia and Bilcon of Delaware is the Ralph Clayton and Sons Group, 6 7 and they are referred to as Clayton Concrete Block and 8 Sand, and they are from New Jersey, in the United 9 States. Now I would like to point out that I 10 11 think it's useful to very briefly give you a chronology of this Project since it's our first session when we're 12 13 together. 14 It came into being in the fall of 2004, 15 and by this Project I mean the Joint Panel Review
- In January of 2005, the Panel held four scoping meetings in this area, one in Digby, Digby Neck, Wolfville and Meteghan.

The Panel was constituted in the fall of

The reason for those scoping meetings
was to reach out to the public using a very preliminary
set of guidelines. The public was asked: AAre these
guidelines satisfactory, and if they're not can you
recommend suggestions to us, to the Panel, in which we

1

16

17

2004.

could modify these quidelines and thereby provide them

2. to the Proponent?≅ 3 In fact, that happened and the sessions were well attended and a great deal of public input was 5 received at that time. In March of 2005, the guidelines, which 7 are the instructions given to the Proponent as to how 8 they should put together an Environmental Impact Statement, they were given to the Proponent in March of 9 2005. 10 11 In March of 2006, the EIS (Environmental Impact Statement) was received by the 12 13 Panel. We received it then. 14 Between June of 2006 and January 2007, 15 four sets of information requests were sent to the Proponent. Once we had received the EIS, we reviewed it 16 17 and found that there were shortcomings. shortcomings were put together in what is called an 18 19 information request which went to the Proponent and we said to the Proponent: ACorrect these≅, and then 20 21 responses were received. 22 The complete response was offered to the Panel on February 2007, and then in February 2007 23 one more set of information request was then forwarded 24

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

25

to the Proponent, so five in all.

1	And here we are in June of 2007 in our
2	hearing. The hearing is the final formal phase in this
3	process if you will with one exception, and that is 90
4	days after we complete or close the record off, we will
5	in fact be delivering a report and that report will go
6	to our two political masters, which will be of course
7	the Minister of Environment for Canada and the Minister
8	of the Environment and Labour here.
9	Now our report will be constructed on
LO	the basis of all the information which exists in the
L1	public record. Now that is the body of information we
L2	will be using.
L3	The public record is available, and I
L4	will give you some information about that in just a
L5	moment, but ever since this Panel came into being, every
L6	bit of correspondence, every document received, every
L7	piece of information that is relevant to this process
L8	has been available to the public.
L9	The hearing, these hearings will be
20	transcribed and they will enter into the public record
21	as well.
22	At the end of the hearing, assuming
23	everything is in order, we will terminate the public
24	record and then begin to write our report.
25	I would like to emphasize that this is

1 a public process. It has been a public process from the 2. beginning. We have done everything within our power to 3 make it public and transparent. We have engaged the public in every step of the way and as I said, all the 5 documents are available to everybody in this room, and those documents are available in a physical form in the 6 Isaiah Wilson Library, which is here in Digby, or they 7 are available electronically through the Website which 8 9 you can get from the Secretariat. Now one small caveat here is that 10 11 although this is a public process, there are a few modest limitations to this process, which means that 12 some of the people who will be presenting and some of 13 14 the people who are in the audience are known to us, and we need to say this although it's a little bit awkward 15 in the sense that we will not be interacting with 16 17 anybody in the room, with the Proponent or with the audience or whatever because we're trying to maintain a 18 19 distance from that. So if we walk by you without nodding in 20 your direction, then you probably will understand what 21 22 is going on, okay? It's very important that we keep our 23 distance from all parties. Okay. Let me turn my attention now to 24

procedures, procedures as they relate to this particular

1 process. 2. The purpose of these hearings, the 3 reason why these hearings have been called is to provide a thorough examination of all the matters which are 5 relevant to the mandate of this Panel, which is that list of items which I mentioned earlier. 6 7 These issues and the complete record of 8 that information is important to us in terms of decision 9 making. We are interested in the input of all parties, the Proponent, both levels of Government, Federal and 10 11 Provincial, NGOs and individuals, and we're hoping that we will have an opportunity for everybody to be 12 13 heard. 14 It is the intention, my intention and 15 my colleagues' as well, to conduct the process in a fair and equitable manner. What we are looking for is 16 17 cooperation by all parties and we're looking for courtesy. We think that this should be a courteous 18 19 process throughout. 20 We are very much aware that emotions run high on this topic, but nevertheless, if people are 21 22 not courteous, then we will take steps to alter the 23 situation in order to bring it back to a state of what we think will be a proper operation of the Panel. 24 Now one thing you should know is that 25

1 all presentations will be directed to the Panel. 2. thing that may not be obvious is that all questions are 3 directed to the Panel, even though the question might normally go to the Proponent or even to some other 5 individual in the audience. It comes through us and then we 7 redirect it. Or in other words, these are our hearings 8 and everything flows through us. In many ways, it's not any different 9 from the House of Parliament where everything goes 10 11 through the speaker, okay? Also, even though I am giving you some 12 13 rules here, the Chair does have some discretion to alter or waive the rules if in the Panel's opinion the hearing 14 15 objective can be better served in another way. What that really means I think is that 16 17 we are going to run with a set of rules, but we are looking to maximize the possibility in terms of 18 19 information transfer and the courteous disposition of all parties in order to make this process work. 20 21 If for some reason things go a stray, then we may have to change the rules. We would not want 22 23 to, but it may be necessary. This morning, the presentation... 24

making the opening remarks and they will be followed by

1 a presentation by the Proponent over here. 2. Following that presentation, the Panel 3 will question the Proponent and then questions will be entertained by the Federal Government, Provincial Government and by the public. 5 In general, that is the sequence of 6 questioning that will occur, okay? So that if an 7 8 individual... Let's say an individual from one level of government comes to the meetings and makes the 9 presentations, the Panel will ask the questions first. 10 11 We will then offer the opportunity to the Proponent, and then we will open it up to the Federal and Provincial 12 13 Government, others who are in the room and the public, So there will be a sequence in which this will 14 15 work. In general, most of the presentations 16 that will be heard over the next two weeks will be 17 offered by individuals who have pre-registered. 18 19 If for any reason people come at the last minute and wish to participate... We made the 20 rules very clear, we would like to entertain as many 21 22 people as possible, but all the pre-registered 23 individuals will be presenting and those individuals who have not pre-registered, who simply walk in off the 24 street, we will try and entertain them but it may not be 25

1 possible. 2. This schedule is very tight. We have 3 scheduled 15 hearing days, and they are packed solid, and I was told last night that there are 84 5 presentations to be heard. So it will be very, very compact over all. 6 7 We don't wish to inhibit anybody, but I 8 do have to say to you that we will exercise a certain regulatory process, okay? And by that what I mean is 9 that if an individual stands up and tells us that they 10 11 are scheduled to make a 15-minute presentation and there is nothing new in that presentation and it is clear to 12 us that there is nothing new, then we may ask that 13 individual to sit down. 14 If an individual stands up and is 15 repetitious, that is says the same things in minutes one 16 17 to two, and then repeats it again in minutes four to five, and then repeats it again in minutes seven and 18 19 eight, we may ask that person to sit down. If a presentation lacks clarity, that 20 21 is if we can't understand what is being said, we may ask 22 the person to sit down. If it's uncivil, if it's discourteous 23

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

or offensive to any party in the room, we may ask them

to sit down.

24

1	And finally, if individuals stand up
2	and speak on a topic which is not relevant to our
3	mandate, interesting though it may be, if it's clearly
4	irrelevant to these hearings, we may ask them to sit
5	down. So all this is a forewarning.
6	Now just a few additional items, and I
7	will be done with these remarks. There are some
8	documents available from the Secretariat. The
9	memorandum of understanding which I mentioned, the terms
10	of reference, and if there are other things that you
11	don't have, you can certainly come forward and if they
12	don't have it they can certainly direct you to where you
13	could find it.
14	As I said, it's a very, very
15	transparent process, and we would like you to feel that
16	you can get access to these things.
17	One thing you should be aware of is the
18	schedule has been defined for the next 15 days, but like
19	all things it changes rapidly.
20	I would suggest to you that you check
21	with the Secretariat every day for a revised schedule.
22	It may not be revised, but it may well be. And it will
23	change as people drop out of the process or whatever, so
24	even though we have a 15-day schedule, it's a projected
25	schedule and perhaps not the real schedule.

1	Another comment I should make is that
2	any presentation made here can be done in English or
3	French. All the presentations will be recorded. They
4	are being electronically recorded at the moment. They
5	will then be transcribed and then the transcripts will
6	become available we think within 72 hours, so that
7	everything said in this room will be recorded and
8	documented and available.
9	All of those documents, all of those
10	transcripts will end up in the public record and be
11	available to anybody at anytime.
12	Now a couple of constraints. While the
13	hearings are underway, while the process is underway, no
14	recordings are allowed in this room, no audio recordings
15	and no video recordings.
16	No cell phones ought to be operated.
17	If you have an urgent call, leave the room please
18	because it should not be operated here.
19	If there are journalists in the room,
20	we would ask those journalists not to conduct interviews
21	during the process and to do no filming during the
22	process, certainly not during the presentations.
23	If a journalist wants to do either of
24	those things, they can be done at the break, they can be
25	done at lunch time, they can be done at other times.

Т	Also, we are going to try and take a
2	break every morning and every afternoon. Now, we don't
3	have a set time for that, but we're thinking about 10:15
4	to 10:30, that what we will try and do is take a break
5	for 15 minutes. And in the afternoons, it will be
6	around 2:30.
7	And the reason I say Aaround≅ is
8	because we will probably finish fitting a presentation
9	or a line of questioning of something. So at about
10	those times, you can expect that.
11	One final thing you should know is that
12	there is a phrase used in these kinds of hearings called
13	an Aundertaking≅, which means that in the process,
14	sometimes an issue is raised and an individual is asked
15	for information and is unable to provide that
16	information.
17	So they agree to an undertaking, which
18	means that individual agrees to bring that information
19	forward at some point down the road, presumably within
20	the hearing.
21	In other words, it could be that by the
22	time the hearings are approaching the end, there could
23	be several undertakings where people have agreed to
24	provide a table of information or a document of some
25	sort that sort of thing

1	Just keep in mind that undertakings
2	will be identified and they will be registered by the
3	Secretariat and there will be an expectation that that
4	will be fulfilled.
5	The reason I point this out is that if
6	undertakings are granted and they are not fulfilled,
7	than the record may not be closed off, and the process
8	of the Committee may be continuing until that
9	undertaking is received, all right?
10	It can be seen as a delay of the
11	process but normally that does not happen. Normally
12	what you do is you set a time and say: AOn Wednesday, we
13	expect this undertaking≅, it comes in and then the
14	record is clear and then we proceed on.
15	So it is just a quick note, because
16	it's a term that is not commonly used.
17	Okay. We now come to the end of my
18	remarks. The final day of this process is expected to
19	be Saturday the 30^{th} of June, and on that day in the
20	afternoon we expect to entertain closing remarks.
21	That means that all the presenters have
22	an opportunity to summarize, if they wish That is to
23	return to the microphone and verbalize some summary
24	remarks.
25	Now we have scheduled the afternoon of

1 Saturday, they are 84 presenters, if you do the 2. mathematics that means that everybody gets about 90 3 seconds. However, I have been told by my 5 colleagues who have much more experience than me that the numbers reduce. So what we're thinking is that we 6 will take a poll, an assessment of the number of 7 8 possible presenters towards the end of the second week to gauge what is available and then time will be 9 allotted to fit the number of people who will be making 10 11 those remarks, okay? Finally, if all goes well, at the end 12 13 of those hearings, as I said before, the record will be 14 closed. 15 At that point, the clock is ticking and our report should come forward within 90 days and that 16 17 means that the total evidentiary record will be 18 available to you in the Isaiah Wilson Library online and 19 it will represent all the information upon which the Committee, the Panel, will be making its final 20 judgement, okay? 21 22 I think that is all I have to say on 23 this topic. Now according to the schedule as I said, we will now move into a presentation by the Proponent and a 24 suggestion to Bilcon is that we would like a break 25

1 around 10:30, so if we could schedule the presentation 2. so that we can get a 15-minute slot at the end of one 3 presentation or before the start of another or whatever, that would be very convenient, okay? 5 It does not have to be at 10:30, but approximately 10:30. 6 7 So we turn it over to you. 8 PRESENTATION BY THE PROPONENT, BILCON OF NOVA SCOTIA Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Good morning. 9 is Mr. Paul Buxton, and I am the Project Manager for 10 11 this project. I am pleased to be here this morning to 12 13 talk about a project that we believe strongly in, that we believe is good for the community, good for families, 14 good for now and good for many years in the future. 15 I would like to take this opportunity 16 to thank the Panel, the regulators, Federal and 17 Provincial, and the interveners for lending their 18 expertise, their judgement and their time to this 19 important process, and I would like to welcome everyone 20 21 to Digby. 22 Today is an opportunity for us at 23 Bilcon to tell you about our project, the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal Project, to tell you who we 24 are, why we are here, how we got here in the first place 25

five years ago and why in spite of the many challenges 2. in the past five years, Whites Point is a project that 3 makes good sense for the region, for the economy, for the environment and for the company. 5 We will also tell you what the Project 6 will look like over its 50-year lifespan. 7 First, who we are. Bilcon of Nova 8 Scotia as the Chair pointed out is owned by the Clayton Group of companies, which has been operating 9 successfully for more than 50 years in New Jersey. 10 is a father and sons business. 11 Bill Clayton Senior and his three sons 12 13 run the company, and they are as admired and respected 14 as they are successful. 15 Bill Clayton Senior started operations in the 1950s on a small farm with a single truck. 16 now heads an organization of more than 850 employees. 17 The Claytons have received more than 18 19 200 citations for excellence in design and They have made thousands of 20 manufacturing. contributions to health, education and other community 21 22 causes. 23 Clayton Concrete Sand and Gravel was named outstanding citizen of the year in New Jersey in 24 The Claytons have a consistently strong record of 25 2004.

- employee relations with respect to benefits,
- 2 occupational health and safety. Now, they are good
- 3 people to work for, and I can certainly vouch for
- 4 that.
- 5 As Project Manager, I am part of
- 6 Bilcon's underground team here in Digby County. I have
- 7 lived on the Anapolis Basin for almost 35 years. I grew
- 8 up in the town of Anapolis Royal on the restoration
- 9 projects which took place in 1980 in the historic
- 10 gardens and the King's Theatre.
- 11 I worked on the Upper Clements Theme
- 12 Park and on Digby Neck. I and my company worked on such
- projects as the Balancing Rock Trail and Brier Island
- 14 Lodge. So I have been very heavily involved in the
- 15 community since moving here.
- I'm joined today by John Wall, the
- 17 Operations Manager. John, would you put your hand up?
- 18 Thank you.
- John has been in the quarry business
- 20 for almost 30 years and has been a resident of the town
- of Digby since last August.
- Josephine Lowry is the 3rd member of the
- 23 Bilcon team here. Josephine is Document Director and
- 24 has put together the voluminous documents submitted to
- 25 the Panel during this process.

1	Why we are here now. The Claytons
2	produce a million and a half cubic yards of concrete and
3	50 million concrete blocks a year. This requires a
4	secure supply of crushed aggregates and sand.
5	While the company has been able in the
6	past to secure these supplies on the open market, the
7	company sees a vital need to generate these products in-
8	house in the future.
9	How did we get to Digby County? An
10	extensive examination of potential sites in the
11	Northeast United Stated and in the Atlantic Provinces
12	was carried out. I should note first that Digby Neck
13	was part of an area targeted as a priority for the
14	completion of a report prepared by the New Brunswick
15	Department of Natural Resources, Minerals and Energy
16	branch, on bedrock aggregate opportunities in Nova
17	Scotia. Consequently, it also became a priority target
18	for Bilcon in Nova Scotia.
19	Whites Point was determined to have a
20	good supply of high-quality rock, deep water for the
21	construction of a marine terminal and is in reasonably
22	close proximity to New Jersey. And we will be of course
23	dealing more about this in the presentation to follow.
24	What we are planning is to develop a
25	basalt quarry, to crush and wash 2 million tons per year

As we will note later on in the

for shipment to the Clayton operations in New Jersey.

presentation, this project is not dependent upon a marketing process and the search for markets once the 5 project is opened. Essentially, the product has been sold 6 7 and so the jobs and everything else, the capital costs, 8 are secure. So this morning, we would like to 9 describe the project itself and I will try to break at a 10 11 reasonable time Mr. Chairman so that we can have a break in the morning. 12 Thank you. 13 I'm advised that I was not coming 14 through clear and loud enough for everybody in the audience, so I will try to increase the volume. 15 We will start by just looking at an 16 17 artist's conception of the project, and there may be people who have not seen this before. It is remember an 18 19 artist's concept, and it shows the project as it would look in its very early years. 20 At the top, you will see the 21 22 maintenance facilities coming in from the Whites Cove Road and just below that, you will see storage areas for 23 organic materials and for sediments. 24 Left centre of the screen, you will see 25

1

2.

1 the process area where all the rock will be crushed, 2. screened and washed. That process area will remain in 3 that same location throughout the life of that project. 5 Towards the bottom left, you will see 6 in this particular photograph sediment ponds. 7 left, a large pile of rock which will be the rock taken 8 off the process area. And of course, it can't be processed until the plant is put in place. 9 will ultimately become the largest of the sediment 10 11 ponds. And you can see the marine terminal in 12 13 place and a ship tied up to the marine terminal being 14 loaded. 15 What the outline will encompass is a brief discussion of the planning process. We will look 16 at site layout, we will look at key components, site 17 developments and operations, the reclamation process, 18 environmental management and safety, Bilcon as a 19 corporate citizen, and then we will get into a 20 21 summary. As the Chair noted, Bilcon of Nova 22 23 Scotia is a subsidiary of Bilcon of Delaware, which is owned by the Clayton Group of New Jersey, which has had 24 25 50 years or more in operation.

1	Bilcon of Nova Scotia will construct
2	and operate the Whites Point facility without government
3	assistance or funding. We have not made any
4	applications to any level of government for funding, and
5	we do not intend to do so in the future.
6	Bilcon has made contributions to the
7	community since we have been here, and that's in health,
8	youth, sports, education, libraries and in other
9	community causes and we would certainly intend to do
10	that in the future.
11	As I noted in the introduction, the
12	Clayton Group has over 200 citations for excellence of
13	design and manufacturing. They have made thousands of
14	contributions to health, education, and other community
15	causes.
16	They have an enviable record with
17	respect to employee relations, benefits, occupational
18	health and safety.
19	And just a couple of notes, the town of
20	Lakewood, New Jersey, which is where until a year ago
21	Clayton was headquartered, they received the Citizen of
22	the year award in 2004. New Jersey General Assembly in
23	1998, award for outstanding service and commitment on
24	behalf of others. Also the State of New Jersey in 2004,
25	award for outstanding service and commitment to the

1	community.
2	Bilcon and its local team could not
3	have handled a project the size of this without
4	significant assistance from a consulting team, and I
5	just want to review for you because I noted just
6	recently in the newspaper that it was said that Bilcon
7	had in fact carried out the study without the assistance
8	of consultants, and I would just like to review the
9	consulting firms involved: AMEC Earth and
10	Environmental; Atlantic Marine Geological Consulting;
11	Canadian Seabed Research; Conestoga-Rovers and
12	Associates; Elgin Consulting; Gardner Pinfold; Jacques
13	Whitford, JASCO Research; LB&W Engineering; LGL Limited;
14	Mallet Research; Mineral Valuation and Capital Inc.;
15	Seabulk; XY Geoinformatics Services.
16	I would also like to review the extent
17	of the project team. We saw the companies, now these
18	are the experts who have helped us on very specific
19	elements. Some are sort of small elements, quite
20	sophisticated. We have economists, we have marine
21	geologists, a significant list, and perhaps you could
22	run the next list.
23	I think at this point we can
24	demonstrate the extent of the scientific and engineering
25	assistance that we have requested throughout this

1	process.
2	Bilcon representatives I have already
3	introduced to you. We have some consultants in
4	attendance today to answer specific questions with
5	respect to the project description, and I would also say
6	at this point that all the consultants will be available
7	on other days in order to discuss their specific
8	sections.
9	Today, we have John Amirault, who is a
10	professional engineer, and he will and can answer
11	questions on engineering, accidents and also
12	malfunctions.
13	Carlos Johansen is here to discuss
14	elements of the marine terminal, its construction and
15	operation.
16	David Kern, who has been with Bilcon
17	since 2002 is assisting with the planning of the
18	process, David Strajt to discuss surface water and Uwe
19	Wittkugel who is an expert in the environmental
20	assessment process.
21	Just a quick review of project time-
22	lines now. This project goes back to 2002 for us, when
23	in March a 4-hectare permit was applied for and received
24	in April, and very shortly after that the decision was
25	made, the company then being Global Quarry Products, to

1 expand the area, to look at the possibility of a large 2. quarry and marine terminal here. 3 Environmental assessments were commenced at that point back in May of 2002. At the end 5 of that year, we filed application under the Navigable Waters Protection Act for the marine terminal. 6 7 in December. 8 In 2003, a meeting was held in January with all the players, Federal and Provincial, and it was 9 determined that we would commence a comprehensive study, 10 and that was commenced at that time, and then in June of 11 2003, we were put into a Panel Review process. 12 In November 2004, we received draft 13 quidelines. Following the draft quidelines as the 14 Chairman pointed out, scoping sessions on the draft 15 quidelines were held by the Panel and the final 16 quidelines were submitted to us in March of 2005. 17 18 In 2006, the EIS (Environmental Impact Statement) was submitted and comments were received in 19 August. Those were responded to in February. 20 The public hearings as we know are now 21 22 commenced and the Panel has 90 days following the termination of the proceedings to make its 23 recommendations to the ministers, and the ministers 24 typically have 60 days to make their final decision. 25

1	So that gives you something of a time-
2	line, certainly towards the end of 2007.
3	This proposal concerns a 152-hectare
4	site of which 120 hectares would be used for quarrying
5	or other activities such as sediment ponds.
6	This is a processing plant, a marine
7	terminal which will be designed and which we hope to
8	operate for a 50-year period and which will produce 2
9	million tons of crushed, washed aggregate per year.
LO	Again for those who have come in from
L1	other areas, this map gives you an idea of the setting.
L2	You can see the Whites Point Quarry about three
L3	quarters of the way along Digby Neck and on the Bay of
L 4	Fundy.
L5	We still have technical troubles here
L6	it seems.
L7	This is the site essentially from the
L8	south and from a significant height obviously. In the
L9	centre of your screen, you can see a small sedimentation
20	pond, and to the right of that a cleared area.
21	This is cleared by the company to look
22	at the rock itself, to get a closer look, to see what
23	the thickness of overburden would be and to generally
24	get a closer look at the site itself.
25	Towards the top of the screen, to your

- left-hand side, you will see an indent of lighter green 2. material, and this is the coastal bog, and you will hear 3 some more about that as we go through the process. There's a fairly small area and it is 5 essentially the only area of wetland on the site prior to the construction of that settling pond. 6 7 You can see the coast is fairly uniform 8 and the land to your top right would be getting towards the top of the mountain, which slopes down quite steeply 9 down to the Bay of Fundy shore. 10 What I'd like to talk about here is the 11 property itself, which is the large triangular piece of 12 property, in a salmon colour I think we would call it, 13 and that is the 152-hectare site. We have 80 acres of 14 site. 15 That is the site which we talk about as 16
- Other areas, for example in 20
- [inaudible], are lands which Bilcon has acquired in the 21

the AProject site≅, and that has not changed.

not expanded. And it will not expand, that is the

22 last five years.

1

17

18

19

- 23 The green area to the bottom is an area
- of land where Bilcon has a setback agreement with the 24
- owner of the property. 25

Project site.

1	The yellow areas are other areas and
2	houses which have been acquired by Bilcon in the past
3	several years.
4	Bilcon has not looked for or acquired
5	any other property in Digby County or on North Mountain
6	at any time in the last five years, and I think that
7	this is important and I'm sure that there will be
8	discussions of the potential for other quarries in the
9	area on North Mountain.
10	There was even a talk of a quarry on
11	Brier Island, which seems to me to be inconceivable, but
12	I want to make it very clear to the Panel that Bilcon is
13	not interested in any other site in Digby County or on
14	the North Mountain.
15	So we got into the planning process,
16	and pre-feasibility studies come first, then a
17	conceptual design and then environmental assessment.
18	I want to make the point here that
19	conceptual design and environmental assessment go hand
20	in hand. Clearly, we have to start with a conceptual
21	design and then we look at the potential environmental
22	effects from that conceptual design.
23	Very likely, we then go back to the
24	design because of some of the effects. So a conceptual
25	design and the environmental assessment is basically a

1 process which takes place side by side throughout the 2. entire planning stage. 3 Once they're satisfied with the conceptual design and that we have made all the 5 adjustments that we can make, then we can get into the implementation stage. This is after hearings, after 6 permits have been issued. 7 8 We get into detailed design and there are other permits other than the environmental permits 9 which need to be applied for. 10 11 We then get into contract drawings, specifications and then into an implementation 12 13 phase. 14 Just to give you an idea of schedule, project planning commenced in 2002. I won't say 15 terminated in 2006, but certainly the planning up to 16 17 this stage we are relatively satisfied with. Environmental assessment commenced in 18 19 2002 and it is 2007 and more work was done in 2007. The detailed design we would hope would get underway this 20 winter into 2008, 2009. Construction in 2008, 2009. 21 22 Operation and maintenance for a 50-year period. The reclamation is shown also over that 23 long period of time, and that is because a major 24 decision was made in the planning process that the 25

1	reclamation on this project would be incremental, and
2	we'll deal with that a little later on in this
3	presentation.
4	And then at the end of the 50-year
5	period, we get into decommissioning and abandonment of
6	the site.
7	I would just like to put this quarry
8	into some sort of context. There are 45 to 50 quarries
9	in Nova Scotia over four hectares, and four hectares is
10	a threshold.
11	Basalt quarries already exist on Digby
12	Neck. They are relatively small quarries serving the
13	local market.
14	The mining industry is a significant
15	contributor to the Nova Scotia economy. \$400 million
16	added to the gross domestic product. It employs over
17	5,000 people. The mining industry is the highest-paid
18	industry in the province of Nova Scotia.
19	I just want to spend just a couple of
20	minutes looking at Nova Scotia mineral policy.
21	AThe mineral industry is an
22	important participant in the
23	province's economic strategy,
24	especially with its contribution
25	to value added production and

1	export revenue.≅
2	This was the mineral policy for the
3	province of Nova Scotia (2005). And I would note that
4	this is a value added product. It is a finished product
5	which is being shipped, and of course it adds to export
6	revenue.
7	AThe Government of Nova Scotia
8	recognizes mineral exploration and
9	mining as a key sector
10	contributing to jobs, wealth and a
11	high quality of life for Nova
12	Scotians.≅
13	And that is also from the same policy
14	of 2005.
15	AThe Government will encourage,
16	support for and recognition of the
17	mineral industry by including
18	exploration and mining activities
19	as part of its overall industrial
20	strategy.≅
21	And that is again from the 2005
22	policy.
23	So looking at the project itself, the
24	construction costs are estimated to be \$40 million. It
25	would not surprise me that that has not gone up in the

1 last year. The Canadian dollar has gone up. Many of 2. the raw materials have gone up in price, but certainly \$40 million or in excess of that. 3 The operating costs will be \$20 million 5 a year, on an annual basis. And there will be a cost upfront, and we will talk about this later, and that is 6 a reclamation cost quarantee. 7 8 There is a concern and it has been a concern in the past that mining companies come in, rip 9 holes in the ground and move away, declare bankruptcy 10 and we are left with the results. 11 This does not happen today. 12 quarantees have to be put up in the form of cash or 13 bonds before the work can even start. 14 The workforce: 65 to 80 jobs over an 15 18-month construction period, 34 full-time employees in 16 17 the operations of the project, and we will have an excellent wage scale. The employment will be long term 18 19 and this will be family sustaining employment. We will provide on-site training and 20 even off-site training at Bilcon's expense. We expect 21 to train the people very thoroughly for this project. 22 There will be a benefit package, dental plans, a pension 23 plan. And we will in our hiring process give high 24

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

preference to local people.

1	Why is this operation different? First
2	of all, it's a quarry which starts from scratch as a
3	major operation. Most quarries start as a small
4	operation and they get bigger over time, and the
5	expansion is somewhat willy-nilly, pieces of equipment
6	are added and they tend to be inefficient and always
7	trying to catch up.
8	This plant will be started from
9	scratch. It will have a state of the art plant design.
LO	The plant and the equipment will be new. Safety will be
L1	designed into the plant. Very significantly, and we
L2	will deal with this at some length, all the equipment
L3	such as the crushers, the screens, the wash plant will
L4	be enclosed. We will have minimal direct Arock-metal
L5	contact≅, which is the source of noise on quarry
L6	sites.
L7	We will have catwalks on all
L8	conveyors for safety reasons, good lighting, things
L9	like a conveyor spillage being cleaned by a small skid
20	steer.
21	Typically, that is done by hand.
22	Manual operation leads to back injuries and problems.
23	The entire plant will be computer controlled with
24	complete information systems.
25	Another interesting feature is that the

1 plant components are able to run independently, which 2. means that we can reduce electrical demand and we can 3 increase the plant availability. The loading of the finished product is 5 by belt, it's not by front-end loaders into harbours. What is the need for the Whites Point 6 7 Project from our perspective? Our parent company 8 requires a source of raw aggregate which is not subject to market fluctuations or disruptions. This quarry can 9 satisfy that need for a 50-year period. 10 11 What are the alternatives to the project? Well unfortunately the crushing of rock does 12 not lead to many alternative scenarios. One can recycle 13 used concrete and other material, and in fact the 14 Claytons do that at the present time. They recycle all 15 the concrete material they can get their hands on, but 16 it is simply not a feasible option to fully supply their 17 18 requirements. 19 Alternative means evaluated. Well, we looked at different aggregates sites, extraction 20 methods, rock fragmentation, rock processing, different 21 22 methods of handling waste material management and utilization, different methods of handling wastewater 23 and different methods of handling process water on the 24 site, transportation modes and routes, ship loading 25

methods, terminal construction, timing and scheduling,

2. timing for the reclamation, alternatives to reclamation 3 and decommissioning. So all these were considered in the 5 initial planning process. When we consider alternatives, we have 6 7 to evaluate the alternatives and we carry out three levels: Is it technically feasible? The suitability, 8 reliability and safety. Is it economically feasible? 9 Looking at the development and operating cost, the 10 11 commercial viability and the commercial risk. If those two things are in fact in 12 place, then we look at the environmental feasibility. 13 14 But all three are required to meet the test to have a reasonable alternative in place. 15 Alternative sites. There needs to be 16 suitability of good geological resources, high-quality 17 rock, a good quantity of rock, availability and size of 18 19 the land base (very important), proximity to residential development, adequacy of transportation systems, the 20 technical feasibility involved in the particular site, 21 22 the economic feasibility of considering a particular 23 site, and of course last but very much not least, the environmental considerations (socio-cultural and 24 natural). 25

1	What are the advantages of the Whites
2	Point site? It has high-quality basalt rock. It has
3	minimal overburden. It has limited site visibility. It
4	cannot be seen from Highway 217, the scenic drive down
5	Digby Neck to the Islands. There is no salmonid fresh
6	water fish habitat on the site. It has minimal wetland
7	habitat. There is feasible water depths for marine
8	transportation. Access to the marine terminal can be
9	gained without passage through the North Atlantic Right
10	Whale Conservation Area. And the site is economically
11	feasible.
12	I think later on in these sessions, you
13	will hear from the Nova Scotia Department of Natural
14	Resources with respect to the locations of high-quality
15	basalt rock and the feasible water depth for marine
16	transportation.
17	So what are the key components of this
18	Project? There will be a processing plant area. It
19	will involve crushing, screening, washing and
20	stockpiling the product.
21	It is a finished product. The
22	crushing, screening and washing is in fact a process to
23	produce a finished product. And again, the crushing and
24	the screening areas on this process site will all be
25	enclosed.

1	There will be a ship loader. There
2	will be a marine terminal. There will be infrastructure
3	such as maintenance buildings, administrative offices,
4	sediment ponds, topsoil and sediment storage areas,
5	access road, mobile equipment and the environmental
6	preservation zone or zones on the site itself.
7	The processing plant will consist of a
8	jaw crusher and a vibrating grizzly feeder. There will
9	be three cone crushers, five vibrating sizing screens,
10	high rate thickener, reverse slope dewatering screens,
11	35 conveyor belts and this will give a capacity of 500
12	tons per hour net production of minus 1 inch stone in
13	five different sizes.
14	This is obviously conceptualized, but
15	here we have the Bay of Fundy, there is the crusher
16	operation. The rock is brought by truck and dumped into
17	this crusher operation, which you will see is enclosed,
18	into the screening areas, the washing areas, back by
19	conveyor to the various sizes and by loading tunnel onto
20	the loader, the ship loader and on to the vessel.
21	And I would also make the note here,
22	because we can see it here, that the processing plant is
23	at a height of about 30 metres.
24	A little closer up again, the crusher
25	plant enclosed. The screening operations enclosed. The

- 1 washing operations enclosed. The conveyor belts will be
- 2 enclosed. Here, we have again the loading tunnels.
- 3 Here is the loading tunnel.
- 4 So essentially, no mobile equipment is
- 5 required to load this ship. Essentially, the conveyor
- 6 stops in the loading tunnel, and the ship is basically
- 7 automatically loaded.
- 8 For those of you who have not seen a
- 9 cone crusher, that's what they look like, and these are
- 10 just to give you some sort of... We did have a request
- 11 through the process that some people did not know what
- these elements look like, and so we tried to produce
- 13 some photographs.
- 14 This is a high rate thickener. In this
- 15 particular piece of apparatus, the wash water containing
- 16 the fines is processed to the extent that fines are
- 17 extracted, and the fines are them pumped as a sledge to
- 18 the top of the site in a barn storage area, and the
- 19 clean water left goes back into the wash process. So
- this is a closed cycle system.
- 21 Here we have the ship loader. It will
- 22 have a capacity of 5,000 tons an hour. The loaded 2,200
- feet of 16 foot diameter reclaim tunnel. This will be a
- 24 radial arm ship loader and it will use belts only. This
- is a ship loader that has just been completed on

1 Vancouver Island for another quarry project. 2. This is that same ship loader complete. 3 This one goes further out into the water than ours will. We go out about 200 metres into the Bay of Fundy. 5 This one is significantly longer than that because of 6 water depths. And the ship itself, up to about 750 7 8 feet long and 100 feet wide, with the hatches as you can This is not a loading operation that will be at 9 Whites Point, but I want you to get an image of the ship 10 11 itself. This is a ship loader that has been in 12 13 operation for a significant period of time. Sechelt on the sunshine coast of British Columbia, 14 fairly close to Vancouver, and you can see the cottages 15 along the coast here, and these are very high-priced 16 cottages which have been living in compatiblity of a 17 significant period of time. 18 19 The marine terminal will be supported The mooring dolphins themselves, about 6 20 on pipe piles. metres wide, 15 metres long, 20 feet by 50 feet 21 22 approximately. The pipe piles will go into bedrock. 23 There's very little sediment in that area. And the terminal itself will extend 200 metres into the Bay of 24

Fundy.

1	We have a minimum berthing draft at a
2	little better than 16 metres, and there will be no ship
3	pooling at the terminal.
4	A plan view. It's a very simplified
5	plan view, but you can see the radio on loader here.
6	This is the loader, and it is able to rotate here so
7	that the various holds can be filled without the ship
8	being moved. This is a very efficient operation. It
9	enables much speedier loading of the ship.
10	And here is a cross-section. And very
11	specifically, this design of pipe piles was selected
12	because it does not interfere with current flows along
13	the coast, it damages a minimal amount of bedrock bottom
14	and certainly it does damage the bottom, precisely where
15	that pile goes in, and that area must be compensated
16	under the Fisheries Act, and that will of course be
17	dealt with in much greater detail later on.
18	You can see the loader itself is able
19	to extend as it rotates on this quadrant device here.
20	And again, about 16 metres of water.
21	Just looking at the layout where you
22	saw the concept plan, this is a plan layout and the
23	Whites Cove Road coming in from Highway 217, going
24	through down to Whites Cove, and the dark green around
25	the site and down the Whites Cove Road is an

1 environmental preservation zone, which will be 2. maintained throughout the Project. 3 The processing plant is in this area, administrative buildings and maintenance building here. 5 Sediment disposal area is here. Organic disposal area here, and in the early years, first two or three years 6 7 of the site, four settling ponds. 8 This would be rock storage for the material that comes off the processing plant area when 9 it is being built. It will be temporarily stored here 10 11 and possibly up in this area, and this will be the first material that goes through the processing plant and gets 12 13 crushed, and then this will become the largest of the 14 sediment ponds. 15 The road plan. Again, the Whites Cove Road coming in and going down. Roads to the 16 17 disposal storage areas. Roads down through here to the crusher. 18 19 A road right along here, down to the bottom of the site. And you know, these will vary 20 somewhat throughout the life of the Project. 21 Mobile equipment. We will have 22 23 loaders, we will have haul trucks, an excavator, a bulldozer, skid steers, utility forklift, a crane and 24 very importantly a 5,000 gallon water truck. 25

1	Again for those people that perhaps are
2	not familiar, this is a loader, typical haul truck on a
3	quarry site, typical excavator, bulldozer, the little
4	skid steer that I talked about, which is a very
5	important utility vehicle which is for personal safety,
6	and a water truck with a spray bar at the back, which
7	will be continuously employed during dry weather.
8	Still under key components, we have
9	noise. And I would like to make the point here that
10	Bilcon, throughout this process, held or attended
11	committee meetings. People came into the office and
12	expressed their concerns and we looked at these
13	concerns very carefully through the process and in fact
14	changed conceptual design in order to address these
15	concerns.
16	Noise was clearly a concern. This is a
17	rural area. So what we have done is make very
18	significant improvements to typical quarry layout to
19	deal with the issue of noise.
20	Whatever possible noise reducing
21	materials will be used such as rubber and urethane
22	screens. When the rock is being screened, it will be
23	rubber. There will be rubber body liners to the rock
24	trucks. There will be rubber liners in the impact zones
25	of the hoppers, where the rock is dropped in, almost a

- 1 complete elimination in fact of aggregate on steel.
- 2 Most important is that the crushers, the screens and the
- 3 conveyors will be enclosed.
- 4 One other feature which I think is
- 5 important is that we will be using no night-time back up
- alarms, which tend to have a high-impulse noise.
- 7 These are rubber lined trucks. You
- 8 don't get the boom when the rock is dropped into these
- 9 trucks. These are shoots lined with thick rubber, and
- 10 at the bottom left-hand side, you can see the thickness
- of the rubber here. It's three or four inches thick
- 12 rubber.
- This is sort of interesting and high-
- tech and one of the things that one can do with a new
- 15 state of the art processing camera on the back of the
- 16 truck.
- 17 And here is somebody standing behind
- 18 the truck. The driver can actually see on his screen
- 19 what is happening. The fellow is behind the truck and
- 20 he can be seen on camera. It's a very good safety
- 21 feature.
- 22 The second very significant concern
- raised by the public is dust. How will we control dust
- 24 on this site?
- 25 Well first of all, we will add water to

- 1 the basted shot rock to bring the moisture content to
- 2 1.5 per cent. So when it is being moved and put on the
- 3 trucks, it will already be moist.
- 4 The haul roads will be continuously
- dampened by a dedicated water truck. We will apply high
- 6 pressure water at transfer points in the screening
- 7 process. The conveyors will be covered. The final
- 8 sizing of this product will be done by a wash screen,
- 9 wet.
- 10 Most important of all, the crushers,
- 11 the screens will be enclosed in buildings.
- 12 There's a high population urban area,
- 13 very strict noise and dust controls. The noise and dust
- 14 control technology used here certainly met all the
- 15 conditions. All the process is enclosed.
- 16 Debris. No debris will be removed from
- 17 the site. The top soil and the sediment will be
- 18 stockpiled in bermed areas and they will be used in the
- 19 reclamation process. There will be no ocean dumping of
- any kind.
- 21 The debris cycle, and this is in the
- 22 first five years, and of course it would change as the
- features of the quarry change, but essentially when the
- 24 early area is stripped, these early areas here, that
- 25 material will go in the organic disposal area.

1	This is the washer area, the high rate
2	thickener. That material will be pumped up to the
3	sediment storage area. When the reclamation process
4	starts, which will in fact be in the first five years,
5	that material will be mixed together and taken down, and
6	the reclamation process will start back here.
7	And of course, the finished product
8	will be shipped. Nothing will be wasted on this job
9	site.
10	Surface water management. All the
11	surface water run off will be stored in the sediment
12	retention ponds, and they're designed for a 100-year
13	storm event.
14	All the water on the site will be used
15	in the process so the surface water, the rain water that
16	comes down onto the site, is what we will use in the
17	process and it will be recycled.
18	If we were to get, which could happen,
19	two 100-year storms back to back, there may be discharge
20	to the Bay of Fundy, but it would go through a newly
21	constructed wetland on the site and into the Bay of
22	Fundy in a controlled outlet structure.
23	This is a little bit later on in the
24	process, and again I just want to talk about the water
25	here, but here are the ponds now established. The

- 1 original four, the very large fifth pond and in year 17, 2. another pond up in here. 3 Essentially, all the water from process area, from the roads, will come into here. Most of the 5 sediment will be gathered here, and that sediment will be cleaned out on a regular basis and be taken up to the 6 7 sediment disposal area. 8 The water will get cleaner and cleaner and cleaner as it comes down into here and this 9 will be from this pond, the make-up water for the wash 10 11 cycle. Here, we have the constructed wetland 12 13 so that if any water gets out of pond number one, it will have to go through this constructed wetland and 14 then through a controlled outlet structure into the Bay 15 of Fundy. 16 17 So the make-up water for aggregate washing, it will be reclaimed from the sediment ponds. 18 19 All the wash water systems are arranged in closed 20 circuit. The fines are captured by the high rate 21 22 thickener, taken to the top of the hill. The fines from 23 the washing operation, again to be pumped to the dyked
 - ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

Fuel handling. No ship refuelling at

sediment area.

24

- the marine terminal. That's a given. There will be
- 2 no ship refuelling at any time in the life of the
- 3 Project.
- 4 All bulk fuel storage will be triple
- 5 contained. Fuel and oils will be distributed through
- 6 Wiggins type quick couplers, which will eliminate
- 7 possible fuel spills.
- 8 Again, this is important and is the
- 9 sort of thing that we can do because this is a new
- 10 quarry. These are snap type fittings. One is not
- 11 waiving a hose of diesel in the air. These are leak-
- 12 proof, and this can be used for not just fuel but for
- oil, for coolants, for hydraulic fluids, et cetera.
- 14 The possibility of leakage is virtually
- 15 eliminated by using this sort of high-tech nozzle.
- Just very quickly... And this Mr.
- 17 Chair could be an appropriate place to break, if that is
- 18 all right with you? Before we get into those.
- 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: So we will take a 15-
- 20 minute break and resume following it.
- 21 --- Recess at 10:25 a.m.
- 22 --- Upon resuming at 10:45 a.m.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: Please continue.
- 24 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: We left off looking at
- the three components of the project, and I'd like to run

1 you just quickly through the various phases. 2. The phases for five-year periods are 3 actually in the Environmental Impact Statement, so this is the first phase and the second phase and the medium 5 phase, and then the end phases. 6 The beginning of the phases, the first 7 five years, the rock will be taken from this area, this 8 is the broken rock taken from the process area, and it will be crushed first. 9 This little area here will be the next 10 11 area of quarry opened up, and this pond then will come into being. 12 13 A sediment disposal area here, organic 14 disposal area here. So five operating sediment ponds, quarry area here, and the processing plant here, and it 15 will remain there through the life of the quarry. 16 Six to ten, not much change. You can 17 see the sediment pond here now in place. The quarrying 18 19 has now moved down into this area. The processing plant again in the same place, the organic disposal area and 20 the sediment disposal area in the same place, and 21 22 clearly the marine terminal still in the same place. 23 And here, we go to years 16 to 20. Ιt is not quite halfway through the life of the quarry. 24 Α sixth sediment pond will be opened here because this 25

1	area of the quarry will be opened up.
2	And again, the sediment disposal area,
3	organic disposal area, they're still in the same place
4	and this material will have already been mixed to
5	reclaim this area and this area and all the way around
6	the pond. So this area will already be reclaimed at
7	that time.
8	Going through now into the later
9	stages, the sediment pond, a sediment disposal area I'm
10	sorry, will have been moved down into this area and the
11	organic disposal area moved down into here. This area
12	will now be reclaimed and this area is now being
13	quarried.
14	This area is also reclaimed. So
15	probably 60 per cent, 70 per cent of the site has
16	already been reclaimed at this stage of the process.
17	The six sediment ponds are still there in operation.
18	And here, we go at the end of the
19	process. Now the rock has been quarried out, these
20	areas and this area are being made ready for
21	reclamation.
22	All the other areas on the site will
23	have already been reclaimed.
24	So site developments and operations.
25	How do we go about opening up this quarry and getting

1	into the business of crushing rock?
2	Quarry development and operation
3	requires blasting, crushing, washing, stockpiling and
4	loading, and then the marine transportation. So those
5	are the key activities.
6	Site development: The vegetation is
7	removed on the small area of the quarry which is being
8	opened up. These will be removed, they will be chipped
9	and they will be composted. They will not be burned,
10	and that material again will be used in the reclamation
11	process.
12	The top soil and overburden which we
13	have noted is really very thin on the site. It will be
14	removed and it will be stored.
15	The roads, the ponds, the disposal
16	areas and the processing plant will be erected,
17	constructed, put into place.
18	The blasting. The blasting will take
19	place approximately once a week during construction,
20	approximately every two weeks during production.
21	Every blast will be carried out by
22	certified blasters, licensed in the province of Nova
23	Scotia. Pre-blast surveys will be conducted as
24	required by the Nova Scotia Department of Environment
25	and Labour.

Bilcon will have on-site full time a

2	professional engineer with very significant blasting
3	experience to supervise all the drilling and blasting to
4	ensure the project is meeting or exceeding the
5	regulations and guidelines. There will be no explosive
6	storage on the site at any time during the 50-year
7	life.
8	The quarrying and ship loading, we
9	anticipate that will continue for 44 weeks of the year.
10	This is to take into account the typical bad weather
11	that we get in January and February.
12	This past year, we did not get any poor
13	weather until perhaps January the $15^{\rm th}$, but the time will
14	come when it becomes difficult to wash the aggregate in
15	extreme cold weather, because the waterlines will freeze
16	up.
17	The radial arm ship loader will be used
18	to load the ship. We anticipate that it will take less
19	than 12 hours to load a ship, and we anticipate over the
20	life of the project that we will be shipping basically
21	on a weekly basis and that in the winter of course will
22	depend upon whether the quarry is in fact crushing rock
23	and whether weather conditions allow us to bring the
24	vessel in.
25	The terminal is designed to accommodate

1 a Panamax size ship 250 metres long, a beam of 30 metres 2. and draft maximum of 15 metres. A typical vessel load 3 would be about 45,000 tons. The vessel will approach the terminal 5 by the designated shipping lanes. When it leaves the shipping lanes, it will reach the marine terminal on a 6 prescribed route, and it will go in and out on precisely 7 8 the same line each time. The ship, as it approaches and departs 9 the shipping lanes, will maintain a speed of less than 10 11 12 knots. This is very significant when it comes to the safety of the marine mammals that are in the area. 12 13 The product will go to the Northeast Coast of the United States. 14 15 The ballast water management. This has changed somewhat over the past year or so. Prior to 16 17 June 2006, there were quidelines for dealing with the management of ballast water. 18 19 In June of last year, regulations came into place and all shippers are now required to comply 20 with Transport Canada's regulations with respect to the 21 22 management of ballast water. 23 Here, we have the new shipping lanes and a designated route on the shipping lane into the 24 terminal, back out the same route and into the outbound 25

1 lane of the terminal. 2. This approach and departure route does 3 not go into the North Atlantic White Whale Conservation Area, and you will note that all our traffic going up 5 the Bay of Fundy into Saint John and to other terminals in fact go through that Conservation Area. 6 7 The reclamation process. Why do we do 8 Why do we reclaim? Well at the end of the day, we are required to reclaim but during the quarry operation 9 itself, it provides advantages to reclaim as we go. 10 11 provides immediate erosion control, stabilizes the watershed. 12 13 We can start to re-establish maybe 14 vegetation and wildlife on the site, and we can maintain at the end of the day and re-establish as we go 15 aesthetics. 16 17 So the reclamation process will proceed incrementally over the life of the project. In fact, it 18 will commence almost immediately. 19 When we construct, the sediment 20 retention ponds, soil will be disturbed, and those areas 21 22 will be immediately reclaimed. 23 The process includes site grading and drainage, soil preparation and planting (native 24 species), and it will involve monitoring and control of 25

- 1 invasive species.
- One thing that we don't want to do in
- 3 the reclamation process is introduce invasive species to
- 4 the site.
- 5 So the reclamation generally will take
- 6 place landward from the coast around the settling ponds
- 7 adjacent to the Bay of Fundy, and then landward towards
- 8 the east.
- 9 At the end of the day, we will have a
- 10 slurping site and a series of vertical or near vertical
- 11 hitches at the back. These will be fenced. The
- reclamation zones will still be in place. I'm sorry,
- the preservation zones will still be in place.
- 14 The planting that will have been put in
- front of the pond areas will now be quite mature, and we
- 16 think that this will become a valuable site for use in
- 17 the future for other uses.
- 18 Can reclamation be done? Well, it is
- 19 done. It is done in Nova Scotia right now. It has been
- done successfully. This is basically a surface coal
- 21 mine in Westville on the left-hand side in 1996, and in
- 22 1998 basically reclaimed, not mature yet but you can get
- an idea of what can be done with sites such as this.
- 24 Here is a site in Michigan which was a
- clay pit, kiln dust piles, stone quarries, et cetera,

1 and it is now thousands of acres of woodlands and 2. wetlands. 3 It can be done. There are very good examples all the way across Canada, and for those of you 5 that are horticulturists, you will note that the Butchart Gardens, which is one of the highest 6 attractions in Victoria, is in fact in an old stone 7 8 Quarry. Environmental management, health and 9 safety, those are very important issues for the company 10 11 and for the workforce and for the residents in this 12 area. 13 What designs features have we 14 incorporated to minimize effects? Under the site 15 development, we have incremental site clearing, incremental reclamation, the establishment and 16 17 maintenance of environmental preservation zones. 18 Transport. We do not intend to truck 19 aggregate on Highway 217 at any time. There will be no local sales of aggregate. 20 We have said that if there is an 21 22 emergency in the area and we were to receive a call from 23 the Department of Transport or small craft harbours or some government agency, we would respond to an 24 emergency, but we will not sell product from the site. 25

- 1 There will be no trucked aggregate on the highways in
- 2 the area.
- In terms of transport, there will be
- 4 approximately one weekly shipment throughout the life of
- 5 the Project.
- The marine terminal is constructed on
- 7 piles. No Ainfill≅, no dredging. Very important. So
- 8 low environmental impact on the fisheries habitat in
- 9 that area. There will be some impact, but it will be
- 10 very small and the damage that is done must be
- 11 compensated for.
- 12 Dust, certainly a health feature. Most
- importantly, the crushers, the screens and the conveyors
- 14 will be enclosed. The final sizing will be washed by
- wash screen. We will have a dedicated water truck to
- 16 keep the dust down on the roads at all times.
- 17 Noise. Again, most significant is the
- 18 use of enclosures, rubber lining for trucks, rubber
- 19 lining for shoots, rubber screens so there is minimal
- 20 Aaggregate on steel≅ contact. And again, no night-time
- 21 backup alarms. All significant health and safety
- 22 features.
- 23 Water management. The water on the
- 24 site will be recycled. It will be recycled through the
- 25 wash process. We do not intend to pump ground water.

We keep the water on-site for the high rate thickener

2. tank and through the series of sediment ponds. 3 The storm water will be managed. It is significant to us. We need that storm water in the wash 5 process. 6 Also, we will have a controlled 7 discharge point when it is necessary, and it will be very infrequent into the Bay of Fundy. 8 The planning process: 9 The roles and responsibilities to the people on-site will require the 10 11 development of environmental protection plans, monitoring plans, environmental inspections, quality 12 13 assurance, quality control, environmental audits, 14 contingency and emergency response planning, training and education of our own people and communication and 15 reporting. 16 17 What are the objectives of monitoring? Well, to ensure proper operation of all the various 18 19 processes going on at the site. It assists us in verifying the effects 20 predictions that we have made. It will confirm the 21 22 effectiveness of mitigation measures, or in fact it will 23 determine the need for new or revised mitigation 24 measures.

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

Other examples are on-site vegetation

25

1 and flora, on-site bird communities, underwater noise 2. levels. Now this monitoring will continue. 3 Compliance monitoring. The Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour has standards and 5 they have quidelines. This is compliance monitoring. So the noise levels at the property 6 7 boundary, the ground vibration (the nearest structure 8 off-site), dust levels, they're all basically governed by the threshold levels set out by the Nova Scotia 9 Department of Environment and Labour. 10 11 Water discharge, again, is controlled and standards are set out by the Nova Scotia Department 12 13 of Environment and Labour. On-site water well quality and yield. 14 15 Well clearly, we want to make sure that the water that we are using on-site in our offices and maintenance 16 facilities meets the standards for Canadian drinking 17 18 water. 19 So we get into project planning and detail design. 20 21 We developed storm water management 22 plans, erosion and sediment control plans, a detailed reclamation plan, a forest management plan, operation 23 plans for quarrying, processing, loading and terminal 24 operations. Also health and safety plans for every 25

1 segment of the operation on the site. There are 2. also environmental management plans and very 3 significantly training plans for everybody that is on the site. 5 Some of these plans are developed later, some of these plans have in fact already been 6 7 completed. 8 The forest management plan has already been prepared by a local forest technician. 9 just a part of that forest management plan, and I want 10 11 to indicate here that we don't consider our responsibilities to terminate at the boundary of the 12 quarry, but we have in fact developed forest management 13 14 plans for the properties that we have acquired because we would like to bring these back into fully productive 15 forest areas at a later date. 16 17 So how do we implement these On-site, there will be an environmental 18 mechanisms? 19 management team which will be headed by the Operations Manager. He will be responsible for monitoring. 20 will be responsible for arranging for environmental 21 22 audits, quality assurance plans, keep complaints records 23 and an action plan. How were those dealt with? Were they 24 dealt with satisfactorily, in a reasonable period of 25

1 Compensation policy also. time? 2. A Community Liaison Committee will be 3 established and communication will be maintained with that committee because we consider it very valuable to 5 get the feedback from the community. 6 And we will adopt throughout the 7 management of this project an adaptive management 8 approach. What do we commit to as a company? We 9 commit to a local focus. We commit to procuring local 10 11 goods and services wherever and whenever possible. will hire local workers. 12 13 There are 65 to 80 jobs during construction over an 18-month period, 34 direct jobs for 14 the next 50 years. We will invest in our people. We 15 will pay family sustaining wages. We will provide a 16 benefits package. We will train people and re-train 17 them. 18 19 It is in the interest of the company to acquire good people who are attached to the local area, 20 to train them well and to have them stay with us until 21 22 they retire. 23 We do not want to hire people every two years and have to re-train them every two years. 24 25 We commit to working with the

1 communities, to understand community priorities. We will work closely with the Community Liaison 2. 3 Committee. We will continue public meetings and consultation. 5 The original Community Liaison 6 Committee that was in place in 2002 and 2003 had 15 7 We have held a significant number of public meetings. 8 meetings. We have had an open office policy for almost 9 five years. 10 People can drop in, find out what is 11 going on, how to apply for jobs and all the other information that they want. We will maintain that. 12 13 We will communicate. The monitoring 14 reports will be transparent. They will be available to 15 people. We will present the results of environmental audits, and we're quite prepared to discuss, either 16 17 through the CLC or through other discussions, the options for improvement. 18 19 We will continue to invest in the We will continue to sponsor health, 20 community. education, heritage, sports, youth, seniors and 21 22 community organizations. 23 The Claytons and Bilcon considers this to be a very important part of being in business in a 24

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

community.

1	Safety. We commit to the highest
2	safety standards. We will put in as we construct things
3	like catwalks on all the conveyors, computerized
4	automatic shutdown controls so the plant can be shutdown
5	independently, state of the art ignition systems, safety
6	training programs, and because this plant is new, we can
7	do these things.
8	We will commit to entering into
9	research partnerships. The Claytons have a history of
10	doing this and we are well prepared to do it either with
11	Government or with NGOs to advance the knowledge of
12	environmental issues.
13	Just to note the state of the art
14	ignition systems, our vehicles will not be able to be
15	driven away, stolen, improperly used because only the
16	right person with the right key, which is computer
17	controlled, can start that vehicle at a date and time,
18	and it cannot be started five minutes before that. So
19	we have security throughout the plant site.
20	Why we think Whites Point Quarry makes
21	sense? It's economically feasible, and we believe it
22	has an environmentally sustainable approach.
23	Well-understood proven techniques and a
24	very simple straightforward operation. This is not a
25	complex operation. We blast rock, we crush it, we

- 1 screen it, we wash it, we ship it.
- 2 All this will be done with modern
- leading edge technologies, and we will comply with all
- 4 regulations and all guidelines.
- 5 We will have a qualified, motivated
- 6 workforce. We believe that they exist in this local
- 7 area. I may say that at the present time, we have over
- 8 450 applications for jobs in our office, and we have not
- 9 advertised for any position.
- 10 The company will have a bias in favour
- of local workers, goods and services. We will provide a
- safe and healthy workplace.
- 13 We believe that there will be
- 14 significant economic spinoffs in the local area. We
- 15 believe this will contribute to a healthy community now
- 16 and in the future.
- 17 If I just note the small picture at the
- 18 bottom, those are local young people who got the
- 19 impression that Bilcon was not going to hire locally and
- were very concerned. They approached us and asked us to
- 21 attend a meeting in Little River. Now these are people
- local to the quarry area.
- About 40 people showed up and expressed
- their concern that they would be considered for
- 25 positions on this quarry site, and we assured them that

1 they would be given priority. 2. Why does this project make sense? 3 There is a well-established successful ownership. company has a strong track record of investing in the 5 community. There's no taxpayers' money involved in this project. 6 7 I think that we have the resilience and 8 the dedication to establish a quarry which matches the quality of the people in the local area. 9 Just a little summing up. 10 This will be 11 a state of the art quarry operation, well-understood proven technologies. There will be comprehensive 12 13 environmental safequards. We commit to ongoing community 14 We commit to disclosure and the 15 involvement. transparency of performance. We will make and continue 16 to make long-term economic investments, and we believe 17 that this is a contribution to sustainable 18 19 development. Our vision is that this quarry will 20 21 represent a good corporate citizen, a state of the art, 22 environmentally-sensitive operation, a part of what we 23 hope will become a strong diversified local economy, an example for habitat creation and re-creation and 24 conservation, and an example of active support for 25

2. Thank you very much. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you Mr. Buxton. It will be a moment while we get ourselves in order. 5 You are a little bit ahead of schedule there. turn that thing off? The projector is shining in our 6 Wonderful, thank you. 7 eyes. 8 Thank you Mr. Buxton, that was very clear and very concise, and very informative as well. 9 We will begin the questioning of you and your 10 11 colleagues, but the questioning that we decided to embark on first will be not specifically to do with this 12 13 presentation. What we would like to do is touch on a 14 few overriding issues, which will be consistent 15 throughout the entire two weeks, so we will get to the 16

research, training and education.

already addressed. 22 What I would like to do for the moment 23 is start with a discussion of the quidelines themselves. I wonder if you... Do you have a microphone? Yes, you 24

do. Could you tell us what the relevance and the

presentation very shortly, but I just wanted to let you

know that we would like to discuss four or five things

first which we think are fundamental, and these are

things which were in the quidelines and which you

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1

17

18

19

20

21

1	importance of the guidelines are?
2	And there is a point to this
3	questioning, but first of all I would like to hear what
4	you have to say. You received the guidelines from us,
5	you responded and produced an EIS, and I'd like for you
6	to verbalize to us what you think those guidelines were
7	designed for.
8	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think they are
9	designed to set out the parameters over the Project as a
10	whole, what the Project is, where it is, how it is going
11	to be conducted, what the environmental effects are and
12	I think that they probably to some extent came from
13	standards, questions which are asked in this kind of
14	context.
15	The physics of the guidelines came from
16	concerns that were raised in the community, concerns
17	that were raised elsewhere with respect to this
18	Project.
19	We saw them as a framework to explain
20	what we were going to do, why we were going to do it,
21	what the processes were that we were going to undertake,
22	what environmental effects would come from those
23	processes, how we would attempt to mitigate those
24	effects, how we would monitor the effects, and what the
25	residual effects would be from this process.

1	And that of course includes cumulative
2	effects as well as the individual effects of specific
3	activities.
4	So we saw this as a composite picture
5	of the project to explain why we need the project, why
6	it's there, what we're going to do, what the effects
7	would be, how we would mitigate them, what monitoring we
8	would carry out, what the residual effects would be.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: How do the guidelines
10	relate to the process we're in right now?
11	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think the guidelines
12	that are set In fact the guidelines, these are the
13	elements that are foreseen to be important and
14	essentially the subjects which would need to be
15	discussed in this forum throughout the entire process.
16	I think it sets the basis, the
17	guidelines for the entire process, which includes of
18	course the panel hearings themselves.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: What would be your
20	view of you and your colleagues' adherence to the
21	guidelines, do you think that you have done a good job
22	with them?
23	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think that we
24	struggled with the guidelines Mr. Chair. We did not
25	find the quidelines easy to follow, which is why we

1	restructured our Environmental Impact Statement as we
2	structured it.
3	The subjects were raised and re-raised
4	in various elements of the guidelines, and we found it
5	difficult to have an Environmental Impact Statement that
6	was easy to follow and comprehend.
7	I think that we made the best efforts
8	we could to follow the outline of the guidelines as they
9	were set out, but I can repeat that we had some
10	difficulty in doing that.
11	I think by the end of the process, by
12	the time we had responded to comments, various comments
13	from yourselves and from the regulators, I think that we
14	did in fact encompass what the guidelines were intended
15	to do, and to provide the information that was
16	requested.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps I will offer
18	my view now in that the guidelines are generally
19	perceived as a minimum requirement for the Panel.
20	The Panel defines the task in front
21	of it and then puts in the guidelines the minimum
22	amount of information that is necessary to make a
23	decision.
24	The reason I bring this up is that we
25	have, as a Panel, enumerated at least 50 places where we

1 have requested specific information and that information 2. has either been partially returned to us or not returned 3 to us. So in our mind, your EIS has many gaps 5 in it, and the relationship between the quidelines and these hearings is that we will, over the next two weeks, 6 7 return to all of those places within the EIS where there are deficiencies, and we will be asking for elaboration on them. 9 Now some of them, various reasons have 10 11 been offered for not providing information, and in some cases the information is just not sufficient. 12 So all I am saying is that for us, the 13 14 quidelines are a road map or a blue print to what we need to make an appropriate decision, and at the moment 15 the information available to us is not complete. 16 17 So the hearings are a way of completing that information and one of the things that we will be 18 19 doing during the hearing process is returning to those particular items. 20 21 So I just think it's important for you 22 to realize that the guidelines were seen by us as a minimum of information, not a framework. In addition to 23 a framework, they were requests for specifics. Do you 24 25 have anything you want to add?

1	So following that, what we would like
2	now to do is to talk a little bit about some of the
3	guiding principles which we enumerated or identified in
4	our guidelines, and those principles were stated early
5	on, and they involved several things which you have
6	responded to, and things such as traditional knowledge,
7	public involvement, sustainable development, the eco-
8	system approach and so forth.
9	These things are cross-cutting issues,
10	the turn up throughout the entire process. They turned
11	up in your EIS, and they turned up in our guidelines in
12	many, many different places, so we need to get some
13	clarification and some development of these ideas, and
14	so what we would like to do is touch on them in
15	msequence to get a sense of common understanding of
16	these things.
17	What we will do is we will turn
18	initially to the traditional knowledge and the public
19	involvement.
20	Ms. JILL GRANT: Can you please give us
21	an idea of what your understanding is about what
22	traditional community knowledge requires in the EIS?
23	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: The
24	Ms. JILL GRANT: The place that's
25	traditional in community knowledge how you see that

1	sitting in terms of the overall contribution of
2	knowledge to the environmental assessment?
3	It's one of the key principles which is
4	articulated in the guidelines and in CEAA documents as
5	well, the requirements to
6	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: We saw it as an
7	important element, adding detailed substance to a
8	scientific look, and I think we made very significant
9	attempts to gather all of the traditional knowledge that
LO	we could get in the area.
L1	As I mentioned in the presentation, we
L2	held I believe 15 Community Consultation Committee
L3	meetings. We held a significant amount of additional
L4	public meetings. We met with groups, we met with
L5	fishermen groups and we conducted an extensive series of
L6	interviews with local people to give us the background
L7	which we call the traditional knowledge.
L8	I think that we did in fact gather a
L9	significant volume of information which we found
20	important to the process to add this knowledge to our
21	design approach and to our mitigation approach.
22	I'm not sure whether you want me at
23	this time to sort details of the sort of things that
24	were picked up along the way, but they certainly had
>5	significance for us and we considered them to be

1	important.
2	And I think the knowledge, we got into
3	a three-month exercise in the beginning to find out what
4	the traditional knowledge was. And this has been
5	ongoing. We have people stopping into our office on
6	virtually a daily basis who helped us with things like
7	fisheries issues, background in the fishery, what used
8	to be carried out on the site, the use of the site in
9	the past.
10	And I think that we have developed a
11	significant traditional knowledge base, and we have used
12	that knowledge throughout the process.
13	Ms. JILL GRANT: And can you give us an
14	indication One of the information requests was about
15	ocean conditions, and I wonder if you could give us an
16	idea of how you have tried to incorporate traditional
17	community knowledge about ocean conditions in things
18	like the design of the marine terminal?
19	Well first of all, I think I should
20	make it clear that the marine terminal is not designed.
21	The conceptual design of the marine terminal is in
22	place.
23	What we did for example on the source
24	of information that would be required for us to do a
25	conceptual design is that we would go to more

1 traditional sources initially. We would go through the 2. literature to find out what typical wave heights are, 3 what the currents are, what the winds are, the general climate, whether there is ice, the number of fog days, et cetera, et cetera. 5 But we have certainly talked to 6 fishermen who come in the office to ask about usual 7 8 conditions, how they found the conditions in the... just the Whites Cove area, but in the general area of 9 the fishing lanes, and I think that we have received 10 11 some very useful knowledge from these people that use the waters on a daily basis. 12 13 But I would like to emphasize again 14 that there is a far different level of standard required for a detailed design than there is for a conceptual 15 design. 16 17 We have taken this project to a conceptual design stage so that we know in general terms 18 19 what needs to be done. I could not tell you at the present 20 21 time whether the pipe piles need to be 42 inches in 22 diameter or 39 inches in diameter, nor could I tell you 23 in fact what the thickness of the steel is required for a pipe pile, but we can determine what the effects of 24 putting that pipe pile down into water are and how much 25

1 habitat is going to be destroyed, et cetera, and whether 2. or not it will generally affect currents or tides or 3 marine environment. So I think it should be clear that 5 virtually all the aspects of this site... The detailed design has not been done. 6 7 We would be required to do and we will 8 do use of consultants' experience, very specific oceanographic studies, wave studies, wind studies 9 specific to Whites Cove and its effect on the marine 10 terminal. 11 But we felt that the information that 12 13 we gathered through traditional sources, that is to say 14 the sources through information and through background which is available and research, and I think by what we 15 have heard from local users of the water, that we feel 16 17 very secure in our conceptual design. Ms. JILL GRANT: The effects that are 18 19 predicted from the conceptual design, do you see the effects as conceptual too? How do you predict the 20 effects without some detail of this information on the 21 marine terminal? 22 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Well, I think that the 23 conceptual design is not just the statement that we 24

would require pipe piles, much more goes into it than

1	that.
2	We have been consulting a very
3	experienced marine terminal designer who is here today,
4	and who could answer very specific questions, who has
5	built these marine terminals. In fact, he built one
6	very recently which has just become operational.
7	It is really not a question that the
8	pipe pile is 50 feet in diameter. We know in general
9	terms what it is.
10	It may vary. The thickness of the
11	steel may vary slightly. We know what the bottom is, we
12	know that we don't have to deal with thick sediments
13	because we have the visual evidence of that, so we do
14	have a very extensive amount of knowledge.
15	When I say that we have only done a
16	conceptual design, this is a fairly technical and
17	sophisticated point.
18	But I believe that we have sufficient
19	information and that the sizing that you saw on the
20	cross-section of the marine terminal is adequate to
21	determine what the effects may be, and we know that by
22	the construction of the marine terminal, we will destroy
23	fish habitat.
24	We completed the necessary
25	documentation for the Department of Fisheries and

1 We have had extensive discussions with the 2. Department of Fisheries and Oceans with respect to the 3 compensation of that habitat. If we can be precise to the square 5 metre at the present time as to the extent of the compensation? The answer is no. But the general 6 7 principles are there and we came to an agreement with 8 DFO with respect to the type of compensation, to the amount of compensation which is legislated, three 9 times of the habitat which we destroy must be 10 11 compensated. So whether it's 200 metres or 205 12 13 metres, that will be determined in the final 14 compensation plans when the detailed design is done. Ms. JILL GRANT: Thank you. I wanted to 15 ask a quick question about public involvement, which is 16 another ones of the principles that's articulated in the 17 quidelines and to ask whether you had seen the kind of 18 19 participation programs that you have used as offering meaningful opportunities for the community to express 20 its views and have them taken into account? 21 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I believe we have done 22 23 I believe we have done this for five years. have encouraged people to make contact with us, either 24 in formal groups, or in the Community Liaison 25

Τ	Committee.
2	They could come into our office at
3	anytime. We have had an office which I am in every day
4	and I would say that certainly in the last year, we have
5	had five or six people a day come into our office to
6	inquire about the Project itself, some element of the
7	Project, the opportunities for jobs, et cetera.
8	We have made ourselves I believe fully
9	available. We have sent newsletters to the public in
10	the general area, and I think the level of communication
11	has been extensive.
12	I don't believe that anybody could say
13	that they have not had the opportunity to bring their
14	concerns to our attention.
15	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: You just said that
16	you have been gathering local knowledge for some period
17	of time, including knowledge on the local conditions,
18	oceanic or ocean conditions where the terminal is going
19	to be located.
20	Now I am somewhat puzzled by the fact
21	that we have repeatedly asked to be provided with some
22	information on local conditions and it has never been
23	supplied to us. Could you explain?
24	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I don't believe that
25	that accurately reflects what is in the EIS. I think

that the current conditions, and we will have a marine 1 2. geologist here on Wednesday that you can ask the 3 specific question to, but the current conditions in the area are quite well known. The wave conditions in the area, in 5 6 that area of the Bay of Fundy, are quite well known. 7 The wind conditions are quite well known. 8 Now we recognize that we are in a very specific position and we may get a horrible combination 9 of wind and wave which may cause to make adjustments to 10 11 a detailed design, but one could argue at this point whether an eight metre wave is more or hugely more 12 13 significant than a 7.8 metre wave. We think that the information which we 14 15 have to have is sufficient for us to go to a conceptual design stage. 16 17 We do recognize that we will need more information but this information that we need in a 18 19 detailed design stage is obtained at very significant cost over a period of time, and we do not think that it 20 adds anything to the conceptual design which we have put 21 22 forward. 23 I can't... We have problems understanding or perhaps I have problems understanding 24 why we would need to go to the detailed design stage, 25

which is what I appear to be hearing, i.e. the contract

package stage, with the specifications and the detailed 2. 3 designs to address the concerns of environmental effects. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Perhaps you are misunderstanding me. What I am saying is that it is of 6 concern to the Panel to know what the local conditions 7 8 are for the site that you have in mind. We are well aware of the fact that 9 general conditions in the Bay of Fundy have been studied 10 11 and are known, but when it comes to the local conditions, if you consulted community knowledge, local 12 knowledge, we haven't seen it. 13 14 And you have said that you have some 15 information, and we have been asking for it. Mr. PAUL BUXTON: The traditional 16 knowledge that was gathered, I think that we did remark 17 18 on that in the Environmental Impact Statement. 19 certainly had nothing from any local fisherman or local user of the water that would believe us to be wrong in 20 21 our conceptual designs. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: One of the things that 23 has struggled us for some time is that there is a view offered by you that you have consulted with the 24 community, you have had open houses, and as you have 25

1 said your door is opened, and that you attempted to 2. interface with community members in order to extract 3 knowledge. Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: But when you look at the responses that have emerged from the community in 6 7 response to the EIS, they are almost universally 8 negative. And in your side, they are almost universally 9 positive. The community members say: AWe haven't 10 11 been consulted. The CLC has not worked very well. know a great deal about ocean conditions off the coast 12 13 because we have been fishing here for hundreds of years. We haven't really been consulted. Questions may have 14 been asked, but it was not a true consultative 15 16 process.≅ 17 The reason why this concerns us is that it is the cornerstone of the TIA Process. 18 The TIA 19 Process says that traditional knowledge and public involvement are the cornerstone of any project, working 20 with the community, engaging the community in a 21 22 meaningful discussion long term. 23 So there clearly is a disparity, a disparity which is difficult for us to comprehend 24 because on one side we are hearing very positive, on the 25

1 other side we're hearing very negative, and there seems 2. to be no middle ground. 3 So I would ask that you reflect on that for a moment and tell us why that would be the case, why would there be such a disparity between what you think is the perfect process or that you seem to think is a 7 process that has been perfectly executed and on the 8 other side, the negative side, which seems to be just the opposite. It just strikes us as unusual. 9 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I believe there is an 10 11 explanation to this. I believe that anybody that genuinely wanted to know what the Project was about and 12 how they could be involved in it and influence it, that 13 is in its various parts, whether you were concerned 14 about noise, dust or any of the other issues, that the 15 opportunities were there, and I think those people came 16 17 in to see us, and I believe that they got the information that they were looking for. 18 19 I believe that those people that from a philosophical perspective did not want to see this 20 Project did not consult with us and in fact chose not to 21 22 consult with us. 23 We can't force people to consult with The opportunities were there. I think we provided 24 us.

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

them continuously over a five-year period.

1	And those people that really did want
2	to know what we were doing about the elements of concern
3	came in to talk to us. Those that in fact did not want
4	to know about the elements of the project itself or the
5	specific elements of concern, but who opposed the
6	project from a philosophical perspective, and it is
7	certainly their prerogative, did not consult us and did
8	not want to consult us or be part of any type of
9	consultative process. And you know, I think that that
LO	has continued for five years.
L1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you suggesting
L2	that the burden of responsibility for engagement rests
L3	with the public?
L 4	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I did not. What I do
L5	say is that if we provide the opportunity and people
L6	chose not to take that opportunity, we cannot drag
L7	people into meetings. We cannot force them to come and
L8	talk to us or if we set up specific meetings to discuss
L9	and people do not come, we cannot make them come. Both
20	sides must be willing to discuss.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: The burden of
22	responsibility I think rests with the Proponent and it
23	seems to me given the prominent nature that traditional
24	knowledge and public involvement has in the TIA Process,
25	it would seem to me as well that this is something which

- should have been pursued more vigorously, or do you feel
- that you have pursued it as vigorously as you possibly
- 3 can?
- 4 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I fail to see how we
- 5 could have pursued it more vigorously. We have made
- 6 ourselves available on numerous occasions...
- 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: But you seem to be
- 8 saying that you had an open door policy.
- 9 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes.
- 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: But I'm thinking about
- 11 workshops, I'm thinking about public engagement, I'm
- 12 thinking about documents presented, walking people
- around the site. In other words...
- 14 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: We have done all these
- 15 things. We did site tours.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: Then why do we get
- 17 these negative responses?
- 18 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: We did site tours. It
- 19 has been a difficult process for us to engage in. I
- 20 have talked to literally thousands of people in the past
- 21 five years on a personal basis.
- 22 I think that we have taken every step
- in those years. I don't think anyone can genuinely say
- that they did not have their opportunity to make their
- views known to us throughout that process.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we will draw 2. that line of questioning to a close. I'd like to move 3 us on to something... Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: You just stated in 5 your presentation that the CLC was suspended in 2003, 6 that is a couple of years ago now. Could you provide us 7 information on why the CLC was suspended? 8 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: If I said it had been suspended, I misspoke. I don't believe that I did. 9 However, there has not been any meetings for over two 10 11 years. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Sorry, you said 12 13 something else about 2003, is it 2007? 14 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. If you ask the 15 specific question, I will give you the specific answer. The CLC was a difficult proposition to set up. 16 17 With my knowledge of people in the local area and people that I have worked with on Digby 18 19 Neck, and I recall that the responsibility of establishing the CLC lies with the Proponent and we did 20 originally under instruction from the Nova Scotia 21 22 Department of Environment and Labour. 23 I talked to about ten people who I thought were appropriate sort of people. They were 24 fishermen, they were whale-watch operators, they were 25

1 people who had worked in the area that I had personal 2. knowledge of. They were agreed to serve on the CLC. 3 Within three weeks, I was down to three members and I called the people and they told me that 5 they could not serve, that they had decided not to serve on the CLC. 6 7 We did go ahead with the small number 8 and it was added to over the next year or so, until I believe there were seven or eight members. 9 There was the Chair who was running a 10 11 local business, in fact a gas station and a convenience store in Centreville. The local lady was of great 12 13 interest I think in the local area, and certainly, to my 14 knowledge, not a committed supporter nor opponent to the project, and she was felt to be a very neutral sort of 15 Chair. 16 17 The purpose of the CLC was for us to enable the flow of information from the public and from 18 19 the Proponent in both directions, and we were disappointed that we had so few people representing the 20 21 local community. 22 The Chair continued to sit as Chair through the 14 or 15 meetings, until we got into the 23 more formal stage of this process, into the Panel 24 She then declined to call any further 25 process.

1	meetings.
2	She will be making a presentation to
3	the Panel, and I believe it's on June the 26^{th} , and
4	perhaps it would be more appropriate that the Panel asks
5	her specifically why she did not want to hold anymore
6	hearings or meetings of the CLC Committee.
7	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Thank you.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: As I said, I think we
9	will terminate this line of questioning but there is
LO	just one further thing I wanted to raise, and that is
L1	that I wonder why it is that the 400 individuals that
L2	you have on record as wanting jobs in this project were
L3	not available for consultation or public involvement?
L4	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: At this meeting?
L5	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I mean in the
L6	public consultation processes in the community. You
L7	have made the suggestion that you have 400 people on
L8	record as wanting jobs emanating from this project.
L9	Well those 400 people are potential
20	candidates for consultation, are they not?
21	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Oh, absolutely. And
22	in the recent past, we have held two meetings on-site.
23	I think there were 23, 24 at the first meeting, 40 out
24	of the second meeting, and they come in on a regular
25	basis to consult with us.

- 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you Mr. Buxton.
- 2 Mr. Buxton, we were going to break at noontime. It is
- 3 11:55. Before we get into a different topic, I think
- 4 this is probably a reasonable time to break. We will be
- 5 coming back at 1:00, okay?
- 6 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Sounds good.
- 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: I will see you at that
- 8 time. Thank you all.
- 9 --- Lunch recess at 11:55 a.m.
- 10 --- Upon resuming at 1:00 p.m.
- 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ladies and gentlemen,
- 12 could I ask you to take your seats please? Thank you.
- I have been handed two or three housekeeping things
- 14 which you should be aware of.
- 15 First of all, we have been having some
- problems with the sound this morning, and I'm told that
- they are working on improving the sound.
- The second thing is that there are
- 19 headsets available and the headsets provide service in
- 20 English and French. Also, if anyone in the room is
- 21 hearing impaired in any way or has reduced hearing, I'm
- 22 told the headsets help so that they amplify the sound,
- 23 so that someone could consider using that. It is
- 24 available for that.
- 25 And then the third item which I should

1 bring to your attention is that there was a schedule 2. passed out this morning that suggested that Bilcon would 3 not be making an environmental assessment presentation on Monday. That is incorrect. 5 The revised schedule, the one that should have been put together showed that Monday 6 morning, Bilcon will in fact be making an environmental 7 8 assessment presentation. It was inadvertently left off the list. 9 Okay. So maybe we can continue now. 10 11 Mr. Buxton, we're going to continue with a couple more of these things which are the central pillars of the 12 13 CEAA environmental assessment process because as I said before, we believe that they run and extend entirely 14 throughout the entire process, so we want them clarified 15 at the front end so that when we refer to them later on, 16 they will be clear in all of our minds. 17 The first two dealt with traditional 18 19 knowledge and public consultation. Now we move into the subject of sustainable development, and sustainable 20 development is a phrase which is widely used, but what 21 we would like is to know... 22 23 First of all, you can define it for us, what your understanding, what Bilcon's understanding of 24

sustainable development is, what it means.

1	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Thank you Mr.
2	Chairman. I would like to ask Mr. Uwe Wittkugel to
3	speak on the issue of sustainable development. He has
4	been assisting with this element throughout this process
5	or at least for the last couple of years, and Mr. Uwe
6	Wittkugel, would you kindly respond?
7	Mr. U. WITTKUGEL: Sustained development
8	is defined by the Canadian Environment Assessment Agency
9	as development that meets the needs of the present
10	without compromising the ability of future generations
11	to meet their own needs.
12	That is the definition that Bilcon
13	agrees with, and yes, one of the principles that the
14	guidelines ask for is the sustainable development
15	principles to be followed by the environmental
16	assessment.
17	We do think that that has been done.
18	The environmental assessment as a planning crew itself
19	is a tool that does tend to achieve sustainable
20	development.
21	An environmental assessment is always
22	trying to balance economic development with
23	environmental protection, and it's at the core of what
24	the environmental assessment tried to achieve.
25	So the fact that we followed an

1	environmental assessment is already an attempt to
2	implement this project with a sustainable development
3	approach.
4	So far, in so much as the overall
5	understanding of sustainable development, the guidelines
6	also identified I think five points that characterize
7	sustainable development or that relate directly to a
8	sustainable development.
9	We agree with those five points and
10	basically they involve effects on biological diversity.
11	It is an item that needs to be addressed and assessed.
12	The capacity of renewable resources,
13	they should meet the needs of future generations as per
14	the definition of the Canadian Environmental Assessment
15	Agency.
16	The preservation of the ecosystem
17	integrity is another important component, also a
18	consideration that this environmental assessment took
19	into account.
20	The fourth point is the right of future
21	generations to sustainable use of renewable resources.
22	Again, we feel an item that has been considered in the
23	contents of the environmental assessment.
24	The last criteria I think the
25	guidelines state is the attainment of doable and

1	equitable social and economic benefits. Another
2	characteristic of sustainable development.
3	We also think that this Project can be
4	characterized as doing exactly that, trying to achieve
5	this balance.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Could you
7	explain to us how your project will contribute to a
8	sustainable development in Digby Neck, this area?
9	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Again, I'd like to
10	use the criteria that was set up in the guidelines. I
11	think it's even explicitly stated that:
12	AYou will evaluate the Project and
13	its contribution to sustainability
14	on the basis of key criteria.≅
15	The first one is does it make a
16	positive contribution to attainment of ecological and
17	community sustainability?
18	An example, Paul Buxton indicated this
19	morning the environmental protections. The environment
20	protections will ensure that a plant protected under
21	various legislation will remain within the site of
22	boundaries.
23	Rare fishes protected under Federal and
24	Provincial legislation will remain on site and will be
25	protected by that protection zone.

1	That's one example on how
2	sustainability will be achieved as far as biological
3	diversity is concerned. I picked the example of plant
4	life.
5	Community sustainability now.
6	Stabilizing the economy we consider is a factor of
7	sustainability. We believe diversity is a factor of
8	sustainability.
9	By introducing a new long term economic
10	opportunity, we are contributing to the economic
11	sustainability of the community, so that's the second
12	example for making a positive contribution to obtaining
13	ecological and community sustainability.
14	The second area was listed as the
15	enhancement of positive effects.
16	Yes, definitely this Project is
17	attempting to not only mitigate adverse effects, but
18	wherever positive effects are identified, we want to
19	enhance them and maximize the benefits.
20	It became clear in this presentation
21	this morning that Bilcon thinks locally when it comes to
22	procurement of services and goods.
23	There will be a procurement policy
24	implemented that does exactly that. It puts emphasis on
25	local employment and procurement of goods to the extent

1	that they are available in the local community.
2	So that is an example for enhancement
3	in the socio-economic environment. That is also an
4	example for enhancement in the ecological environment.
5	I'd like to go again back to the
6	presentation of this morning. We learned that Bilcon
7	already has started to enhance the diversity of the
8	forest communities surrounding the Project site.
9	On its own property, it has established
10	a forest management plan. It is now in the process of
11	implemented that. That is a clear enhancement of
12	biological diversity and ecosystem integrity around the
13	site.
14	There will be similar efforts spent on
15	the site itself. The incremental reclamation, we
16	learned about that, will take place and will not just
17	establish any type of habitats, but it will aim at a
18	neo-natural condition that will take advantage of the
19	new site conditions.
20	There are many examples around where
21	quarries have been rehabilitated to conditions that
22	actually exceed the pre-quarrying conditions as far as
23	biological diversity is concerned, particularly in this
24	context, this landscape context where for example
25	wetlands are not very frequent features.

1	Quite to the opposite, fresh water
2	wetlands are a rare feature along this landscape.
3	This Project will enhance that
4	situation and will introduce new wetlands. There's
5	already during the Project operation an artificial
6	wetland that Paul Buxton pointed out will be already in
7	place.
8	Once the project is completed, the
9	wetlands will be available for establishing new fresh
10	water wetland habitat and open waters which will benefit
11	a number of plant and birds species and also mammals,
12	I'm thinking with the basin in particular.
13	And that was the aspect of enhancing
14	positive effects. So I think we can state clearly that
15	the project intends to do exactly that in the ecological
16	environment but also in the human environment.
17	Not the last one, but another aspect
18	that you listed as a criteria is the strengthening of
19	local and regional capacities and opportunities to
20	achieve sustainability.
21	Yes, I think the project also
22	contributes to that.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Could you be more
24	specific about that because I think until now you have
25	talked principally about environmental issues.

1	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: But
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: We're equally
3	concerned about economic and social as well, and as far
4	as enhancing sustainability in the long term in an
5	economical social sense.
6	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Yes.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Does the Project have
8	a role in that?
9	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Yeah, I thought that
10	I mentioned that. I said that there will be enhancing
11	in the ecological environment but also enhancement in
12	the human environment.
13	In the human environment, I mentioned
14	diversity of the local economy, I mentioned emphasis on
15	local procurement of goods and services, so yes, there
16	is clearly an enhancement of the human environment as
17	well.
18	Now capacity building, do we enhance
19	that? We think, yes, by involving the community and by
20	providing transparency as far as the project development
21	and operation are concerned.
22	We are offering a tool that will
23	promote involvement and participation in decision
24	making. Earlier again in the presentation it was
25	mentioned that the opportunities for improving the

1 performance of the Project will be discussed in the 2. context of the CLC. 3 This is clearly something where we see the community will be enabled to participate in this 5 Project and will be enable to review its environment performance and participate in the enhancement of its 6 performance if there is a reason or a need for an 7 8 improvement. 9 So those are the examples for strengthening the local and regional capacities. 10 11 You could go maybe now another step further and think about indirect effects that definitely 12 13 will strengthen local capacities. I am just simply thinking about issues 14 such as tax contributions. The tax contribution will 15 definitely enable the municipality to enhance public 16 infrastructure or use it for whatever purposes is 17 needed. 18 But the influx of additional tax monies 19 will certainly strengthen local capacity in whatever 20 direction the municipality sees it required. 21 22 Does it answer your question related to socio-economic benefits and contributions? 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, in a sense it 24 25 I mean, your project is not sustainable, it's does.

1 finite. It will run for 50 years and it will terminate. 2. This is the way it's designed at the moment. 3 So I guess what we were looking for was some indication that the project would in fact enhance 5 sustainability within the community in some way. Now you have outlined some things, but it's all very general 6 7 to us. 8 I quess what I would be interested in 9 knowing is that... Something that you have not identified for us is that we need to encourage 10 11 sustainability in the community. There is no project here. 12 The Project 13 The Project brings with it tax dollars, it 14 brings with it employment, it brings with it new things and so forth, and as long as the Project is here, the 15 community benefits. 16 17 But at some point, the Project will disappear, it will reach its finite end. Is there any 18 19 way that you can assess or monitor or describe of 20 measure the impact? In other words, how do you know what 21 you're saying will happen? How do you measure it? 22 23 are the metrics that you would use, is there any

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

Because you're making the argument that

indication of that?

24

1	it will happen, which is fine, but it then advances the
2	response: AHow do you know it will happen? What is the
3	way in which you can measure these things?≅
4	Yes, we think we can measure these
5	things and they would all relate to the various factors
6	that I talked about.
7	For example, the key to ecological
8	sustainability is diversity, so there will be monitoring
9	in place for in particular rare species.
10	Rare species indicate perfectly rare
11	habitat conditions. By monitoring that and documenting
12	for the public, including the CLC, that these plants
13	remain in place, we will have documentation of
14	achieving
15	Sorry, I thought this interference was
16	related to your microphone, but maybe it was not.
17	So we think there's a measuring stick
18	or an indicator that allows to measure the
19	sustainability in the environment sector for biological
20	diversity, whether we have achieved this or not.
21	Similar items could be mentioned for
22	the human environment for example. A measuring stick
23	simply would be how many employees indeed have now been,
24	after a year or two, employed. What training programs
25	has Bilcon run through? How many of these employees

1	were indeed local or perhaps coming in from somewhere?
2	These are all tiny little indications
3	for whether that sustainability under these various
4	aspects has been achieved or not.
5	There is not one cover-it-all measuring
6	stick that can tell you: AYes, we are on level nine on a
7	sustainability scale. \cong
8	These are the factors that we see would
9	be useable, feasible, measurable and could answer the
10	question: "Are we indeed achieving sustainability or at
11	least contribution to it or not?"
12	Ms. JILL GRANT: I just wanted to ask
13	about the decommissioning and reclamation phase, what
14	are the durable economic and social contributions for a
15	sustainable development at that stage?
16	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Yeah, and Mr. Chair
17	you had mentioned the long term perspective as well, so
18	maybe a couple of words on that.
19	Certainly, it's very difficult to
20	predict from this point on what will happen 50 years
21	down the road. We have no good understanding of where
22	this community will be 50 years down the road, and the
23	role in the quarry within the local economy and its
24	importance, significance, is way beyond what we can
25	predict at this point.

1	We have to base our judgement on the
2	current conditions and we can design or process in an
3	operation that attempts to achieve or contribute to the
4	local sustainable economy.
5	So 50 years down the road, what will
6	that reclamation concept contribute to the community? I
7	don't know. It will depend on what the needs at that
8	time are, you see?
9	I see many, many opportunities attached
10	to this quarry, and it ranges from total preservation
11	and to perhaps even such things as active recreation.
12	There are throughout the world examples
13	of quarries doing exactly that, and very successfully.
14	When you take the conservation aspect, you can ask
15	yourself: AWhat do we want to create here? Do we want
16	to the Acadian forest to take over again or do we want
17	to perhaps promote grasslands species?
18	Grasslands species at the moment are
19	facing a dramatic decline. Perhaps in 50 years, that
20	will have continued and we will feel the Acadian forest
21	has been phased in sufficiently and let's focus on
22	grasslands species, bird species in particular.
23	So it would not make sense to define
24	already at this point a fairly detailed level of what we
25	want to do and achieve in 50 years.

Τ	Instead, Bilcon in its project
2	description has outlined the process, how will we go
3	about it, and that includes consideration exactly as
4	these things I just mentioned.
5	What is the status of the community?
6	What are the preferences? Of course, the locals, the
7	public will have to and should have a say in that as
8	well.
9	What is the economic situation? What
10	is the pressure on land views? They are all factors
11	that will have to be taken into account.
12	The opportunities as I said, they can
13	range from one extreme to the other extreme. How this
14	will be addressed in 50 years I think is beyond what we
15	can and should say at this point.
16	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: From your discussion
17	so far, and focussing again on the socio-economic, it
18	seems to me when you talk about socio-economic, it's
19	largely economic, and I see it in a broader context.
20	That quality of life, enjoyment of the
21	land around you and so on are part of that equation in
22	terms of socio-economics.
23	And so I guess my question would be in
24	terms of sustainability, how does the project add to the
25	sustainability in terms of the quality and enjoyment of

1	life for people in this area?
2	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: I'm a human
3	environment specialist. We have a specialist coming in
4	on Monday, a week from now, Susan Sherk. She has
5	conducted the social impact assessment.
6	But from my perspective in a few words
7	a number of things contribute to a quality of life and I
8	would think that the economy and your job situation,
9	your income situation, is a very important component.
-0	Other components for example are
L1	aesthetics, other components are natural environment
.2	diversity, opportunities to enjoy nature, et cetera.
L3	All those aspects play into the quality of life.
L4	We think that there are no significant
L5	adverse effects on those factors. Other factors, there
L6	may even be a beneficial aspect.
L7	As I mentioned, the opportunities that
-8	come with reclamation may add such features as
_9	recreation, perhaps even tourism, even the operation of
20	the facility could offer a point of interest for local
21	and regional recreation and tourism as well.
22	There are many examples again out in
23	the world where industrial or commercial undertakings
24	have been turned into a destination and experience where
25	people can learn about the use of the environment,

reclamation processes, et cetera.

I see there are many opportunities that

3 will either directly or indirectly relate to quality of life. Otherwise, how is quality of life defined? You 5 have to grab or determine some of these contributing factors, and we have done that and seen that we, as I 6 said, either not cause significant adverse effects or 7 8 perhaps even contribute in a beneficial way. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Could I Mr. Chairman 10 11 just make a contribution again stating quite clearly that I'm not an expert in socio-economics. 12 13 will be along to discuss these issues. 14 I think that very significantly, Digby 15 County and Digby Neck has suffered a very significant decline of population, and it's very significant, and it 16 17 tends to be in the 19 to 39 year old age cohort. When we get loss of population, a lot 18 of things happen. We tend to lose services, we tend to 19 lose for example medical services, which are in 20 difficult state in Digby. 21 22 Population drops, we lose doctors. The 23 Ferry service between Saint John and Digby is under These are significant population losses there 24 threat. in the order of 18 to 20 per cent in Anapolis and Digby 25

1

2.

1 counties over the past 20 years. 2. I just don't have the figure at hand, 3 but I believe that the population of Digby Neck, we don't have them for 2006 but I believe that I am correct in saying that between 1981 and 2001, there was greater 5 than a 30 per cent decline in population. 6 7 Certainly, when you have a decline of 8 that severity in population, and particularly in the working-age cohort, the 19 to 39 year old, I think this 9 is significant. 10 11 Class sizes have dropped very significantly. The Sandy Cove School I believe was 12 built for 180 people. It now, I believe, has 47 13 14 students. So we lose our services, we lose our 15 educational facilities, we cannot be able to keep up our 16 medical facilities. 17 The people between the ages of 19 to 39 18 19 tend to be the people who operate the volunteer fire services, the emergency response organizations, and if 20 they leave the area and they are leaving the area, and 21 in significant numbers, they are going out West to work, 22 23 not out of choice, but out of necessity. And I would say again as I mentioned in 24

the project description that if we look at rural Nova

1 Scotia, I think we are a typical example here, but the 2. fishery has suffered a significant decline. 3 forestry has suffered a significant decline. The Weymouth Saw Mill closed, 75 jobs 5 at the saw mill, probably 200 to 300 jobs in the woods. Agriculture, apart from the mink industry, is virtually 6 7 non-existent today. 8 The tourism industry for the past two years has undergone a significant decline, nothing to do 9 with our efforts in this area but a high Canadian dollar 10 and also other factors. 11 I think that unless we can introduce 12 13 some diversity in this area, we are in economic trouble in this area at the moment. 14 15 Not only have we lost primary jobs, but for example a number of years ago the Britex 16 manufacturing plant just outside Bridgetown closed. 17 Shorewood Manufacturing plant very recently closed last 18 19 year, 230 jobs gone in the area. We have suffered very significant job 20 We have had some gains. We have a call centre 21 22 in Cornwallis and other fine industries in Cornwallis, but generally speaking we have suffered a significant 23

population decline and a significant economic decline in

this area.

24

1	While we don't pretend that 34 jobs is
2	going to solve that issue, it clearly won't, but there
3	will be spinoff jobs, and I think it's the sort of thing
4	that is going to be necessary in the future.
5	We are not going to have major high-
6	tech industries in Digby. We simply don't have the
7	personnel to staff them. It would not make any sense
8	for them to come. So we have to deal with what we have
9	here.
10	I have also pointed out at least one
11	other positive feature, it's that there's no doubt that
12	we're going to have to upgrade the power line to the
13	site.
14	People who live on the Neck and the
15	Islands will complain I think about the quality of power
16	service to the Neck and Islands.
17	We think that since we will to pay for
18	it at our expense, an upgraded line at least as far as
19	Little River, it will be a major economic advantage in
20	the area.
21	I hope that we can certainly expand on
22	this when we have our expert here in socio-economics a
23	week on Monday.
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I think we
25	will move on to the next topic and the next topic we

1	have is the eco-system approach.
2	Again, maybe we will make the similar
3	request that we made a moment ago, which was explain
4	what it means and your conceptualization of the
5	ecosystem approach, in a conceptual sense, yes, but also
6	at a practical sense, you know?
7	In other words, how has it been applied
8	in the EIS? Where does it surface? Give us an example
9	of how it has been woven into the fabric of the EIS?
10	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'd like to ask Mr.
11	Uwe Wittkugel to respond to that please Mr. Chairman.
12	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: The very simple
13	definition is that in an ecosystem, everything is linked
14	with everything else.
15	The guidelines are giving a little bit
16	more sophisticated definition similar to the sustainable
17	approach, planning approach and are outlining
18	characteristics of what is an ecosystem approach.
19	An ecosystem approach in principle is
20	one that acknowledges the complexity of environmental
21	components.
22	The environmental assessment is
23	sometimes a bit deceiving. It breaks everything down in
24	what we call environmental components, and it may lead
25	to this perception that everything is dealt with sort in

1 isolation. 2. I mean we deal with air, we deal with 3 noise, we deal with flora, fauna, et cetera, when in fact in reality these components are interrelated, and 5 that's what the criteria or the characteristics in the quidelines express. 6 7 They are essentially seen as... 8 are interconnections, they are links, and there are repercussions. 9 To give you some examples of the 10 11 interconnections between physical, biological and oceanic environments, it is important to recognize that 12 13 these entities do not exist in isolation, particularly with the flow of water that we have. 14 15 It will all end up in the Bay of Fundy. If the water takes its course down the hill, it will end 16 up in the Bay of Fundy. So those are essential 17 considerations to take into account. 18 We have to make sure that water does 19 not go into the Bay of Fundy untreated or uncontrolled. 20 The Project has taken that into account and is actually 21 22 using a piping system which basically operates without 23 discharging any waters. Nevertheless, Paul mentioned that it 24 may happen and that if so, there's water that will have 25

1 to be released and in that case, there is a concern. What does this water do perhaps to the receiving 2. 3 environment? Well that's the ecosystem approach thinking. 5 We are dealing with a terrestrial 6 There's a runoff in this situation and it 7 may end up in the marine environment. It may have 8 contaminants in it and what will the contaminants do to the water quality? The water quality is only a 9 10 pathway. 11 So it very much links this whole concept of ecosystem approaches and pathways, which 12 13 means that there is a link between a component of the terrestrial environment in my example and the component 14 in the marine environment. 15 Periwinkles may take up contaminants 16 17 that are washed into the Bay of Fundy. That is an The environmental assessment has taken that 18 example. 19 into account. The Project operation has taken that into account, the Project design has taken that into account. 20 Another example perhaps would be links 21 between the terrestrial and the coastal and the oceanic 22 23 environment. Again, these terms are all fairly 24 closely related with... I will just give a few other 25

1 examples of what considerations were taken into account 2. that relate to the ecosystem approach rather than sticking to the individual... 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: We would be interested 5 to know how the ecosystem approach has been used in the EIS in order to assess impacts for example. 6 7 Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Yes. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: And what if we would take an example of rare plants for example? Has the 9 ecosystem approach been employed in any way? Can you 10 11 give that as an example? Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: I will be talking 12 13 about that on Monday in a bit more detail when we are 14 dealing with the environmental assessment, but I'm more 15 than happy to jump into that now. The keyword here is pathways. When you 16 assess effects, you have to consider pathways, and to me 17 that is sort of the essence of the ecosystem approach. 18 19 You're not just looking at something in isolation, you look at how is this feature or component of the 20 environment linked to other components and other 21 22 pathways in between that could affect this feature. 23 So typically there are direct effects. Let's say there is a rare plant and you trample on it, 24

25

that's a direct effect.

1	But there may be other effects such as
2	dust, ground water, pathways, typically pathways, that
3	are causing indirect effects.
4	And the way that is done is that the
5	expert who assesses the individual, the effects on the
6	individual component has to ask him or herself what are
7	the potential effects here in terms of direct effects
8	and perhaps pathway effects?
9	So everyone who evaluates effects on a
10	particular environment component, and we call them the
11	valued ecosystem components, will have to go through
12	that exercise of identifying potential effects that
13	relate to either direct effects or effects resulting
14	from pathways, and that's the way the environmental
15	assessment deals with it.
16	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Now what you' have
17	just outlined is very good in theory. That is the
18	theory behind it.
19	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Yes.
20	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: What I find missing,
21	and correct me, but you said to take a rare plant
22	species as an example. It is the application of these
23	principles, of defining the pathways and so on, in the
24	Environmental Impact Statement.
25	I look at your rare plants for example,

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1 and I could not find any reference to how the change in 2. hydrology for instance would affect those plants, how 3 the change in air quality may affect those plants. You just told us: AThese are pathways. 5 These are the linkages we are looking for. We are looking for that.≅ Can you elaborate on that? 6 7 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Mr. Chair, I would 8 like to ask Mr. Kern to respond to that question if I 9 may. 10 Mr. DAVID KERN: The rare plant, 11 glaucous rattlesnake plant is in a habitat of a coastal The premise for conserving that particular 12 glaucous rattlesnake plant was to preserve the 13 headland or the habitat or ecosystem which that plant 14 15 exists in. So in that case, we have taken an 16 17 ecosystems approach in preserving the habitat for that rare plant. 18 19 The coastal bog is another example of an approach to habitat or ecosystem preservation. We 20 have expanded our environmental preservation zones 21 22 around the coastal boq. We have done the run off studies for 23 the contribution of the watershed going into that 24 coastal bog and we will be determining how much low from 25

1	the watershed is required to sustain the coastal bog.
2	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Yes, I understand
3	what you're saying, but simply isolating areas by not
4	working them or having no traffic across them, it's only
5	part of the solution because as we have just heard, the
6	pathways are
7	The hydrology of the property is going
8	to affect these isolated areas. The air quality in
9	these areas will be affected.
10	In an ecosystem approach, how is that
11	taken into account? That is basically where I am
12	puzzled here.
13	Mr. DAVID KERN: We have done a series
14	of baseline studies in these various ecosystems from
15	soils to water quality, items like this. So we have
16	established the baseline for these particular areas.
17	We will then be monitoring over time
18	any potential effects that may be affecting whether it's
19	air quality, water supply, water quality to these
20	particular areas.
21	If we detect a case that is going into
22	the wrong direction, we will then be taking adaptive
23	management measures in order to create a situation for
24	the healthy continuous life of these species at-risk
25	plants.

1	Ms. JILL GRANT: Could you give us a bit
2	more of a description about what adaptive management
3	means and how the company will use that?
4	Mr. DAVID KERN: I will pass that back
5	to Uwe.
6	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. Mr. Wittkugel,
7	could you help us with that one? Thank you.
8	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Adaptive management
9	is a term that is closely related to precautionary
10	principle. In situations where there is a certain
11	degree of uncertainty about the effectiveness of
12	mitigation measures, you should As a measure of
13	precaution, you should have a system in place that can
14	respond to monitoring results very quickly.
15	So those three components are all very
16	interrelated, the precautionary principle, monitoring,
17	and adaptive management.
18	It is very simple. Basically what it
19	means is if monitoring identifies inefficiencies or
20	dysfunctions of the mitigation measures or non-
21	compliance perhaps, there should be a mechanism in place
22	that allows to correct the situation, and it should be
23	in place before this occurs so that there's a quick
24	response.
25	That's a system that Bilcon suggests to

1 have in place, in other words a team that identifies it 2. as a task, monitoring that is done frequently, that 3 assesses any non-compliance, any issues that are identified through that. 5 The monitoring could also be the CLC, the Community Liaison Committee. It would then result 6 7 in an assessment of this situation and appropriate 8 adjustments to the mitigative measures. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: In the EIS, the phrase Aadaptive management≅ at last count was mentioned 140 10 11 times. So it strikes us as it is absolutely central to what you are planning to do. 12 13 Every time there is uncertainty, it 14 seems that adaptive management has been invoked. Could you be more specific about how it works? Because I 15 would like very much to know how you are going to use it 16 17 in a specific instance. 18 Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Examples. 19 example, there will be on a daily basis dust monitoring at the perimeter of the site. There is a standard in 20 effect that Bilcon will need. If for any reason the 21 22 monitoring indicates that the dust levels are beyond 23 that standard, above the standard, there will be immediately... This will be identified by the 24 environmental team employed by Bilcon and we will 25

1	analyse the situation.
2	Was it a malfunction perhaps of the
3	monitor mechanism? Was this perhaps because of some
4	activity outside of the property boundary? Was this
5	perhaps indeed something that was caused on the site,
6	maybe because of maintenance reasons or was it really
7	regular operation that caused this exceedance.
8	Depending on the answers to these
9	questions, there will be an action. If it's obviously
10	within the property boundaries and operation related,
11	it's something that Bilcon can act upon. And again,
12	there would be
13	The environmental team would search out
14	the source for this, would identify what can be done
15	about it.
16	Was it perhaps an enclosure panel that
17	was removed for maintenance reasons? Is it just as
18	simple as putting that back on or is it maybe another
19	procedure in place that has not been addressed?
20	Is it maybe the water truck that has
21	not been operational that day? Various causes may be
22	behind this problem, and this adaptive management is
23	simply meant to identify this, assess it, and then react
24	to it expeditiously.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: With respect, that

sounds like trial and error, and it does not resonate 1 2. with me in the sense of the way I understand adaptive 3 management. Or you could argue that that's passive adaptive management. 5 There's another form of adaptive 6 management, which is very different from that as well. 7 Are you aware of that? 8 Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: We are also promoting... Not promoting. Bilcon is committed to 9 work with DFO for example on the latest research on the 10 11 problem of potential for a ship's collision with whales. Any new research that may surface, any 12 13 new information that will be identified through recovery plants when it comes to rare species, that information 14 will be actively researched by Bilcon in consultation 15 with the research community or in consultation with the 16 regulators and will then perhaps, if warranted, 17 introduce totally new mitigative measures that may at 18 19 this point not even be within the list. So there is not only this reactive, but 20 21 there is also this pro-active attempt to constantly 22 upgrade the mitigative measures and the effectiveness. THE CHAIRPERSON: I will take that as a 23 no, that you really are not familiar with the other term 24 of adaptive management. Did you want to go on? 25

1	think
2	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Mr. Chairman, perhaps
3	Mr. Kern could add to that?
4	Mr. DAVID KERN: I think Bilcon is
5	taking a precautionary approach to many of the aspects
6	as far as mitigation goes. I will give you a concrete
7	example on that.
8	In blasting in or near Canadian
9	fisheries waters, we have certain criteria that we have
10	to meet so that we don't transmit sound pressure into
11	the marine environment.
12	We have taken the precautionary
13	approach with a SARA lifted species, the Bay of Fundy
14	salmon, to increase on a precautionary basis the
15	separation zone three times when we do blasting, when
16	the inner Bay of Fundy salmon may be near shore waters.
17	So in using the precautionary approach
18	in that case and using our monitoring results in
19	association with guidelines or thresholds that exist, we
20	think the precautionary approach and the mitigation and
21	the adaptative management all work hand in hand.
22	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Could I come
23	briefly back to the ecosystem approach? What I am
24	concerned about is temporal and spacial boundaries of
25	eco-systems, and how Bilcon defined these boundaries in

1 the case of the impact statements? 2. Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'd like Mr. Wittkugel 3 to address that please. Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: Yes. Boundaries are 5 very important components in the ecosystem approach. 6 Every valued environmental component or every component of the environment has sort of its own field that 7 8 exists. So any environmental assessment should take that into consideration. 9 We have done that in the environmental 10 11 assessment. For example, human environment. Obviously, it's not just the site, it's not just the homes that are 12 adjacent to the site, you have to look at the larger 13 14 context. 15 This is a Project that has implications for the community, perhaps even the region's natural 16 environment. 17 We are dealing with a terrestrial 18 19 component and we're dealing with an aquatic component here for the species at risk. 20 In the marine environment, it's not 21 22 sufficient to just look at the ship loader and the site 23 itself, we also have to take into account where is the vessel going and where is it coming from, what route is 24

it taking, what biota are existing in that environment?

1	So we are extending the study area or the area that is
2	assessed accordingly.
3	For plant species for example, we again
4	started out at a regional level in accordance with the
5	guidelines from the Nova Scotia Department of Natural
6	Resources and looked at a 100 kilometre radius, what
7	potentially rare species may occur in that area, and
8	then narrowed it down to what is likely to occur on the
9	site and did targeted surveys.
10	So that is another example for how we
11	applied a different study area, a different spatial
12	boundary for the inventory and then of course also for
13	the effects assessment.
14	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Could I come back to
15	time boundaries, temporal boundaries. To define the
16	functioning of an eco-system, is it sufficient to take
17	one or two points in time and extrapolate those over the
18	life of the
19	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: In a more general
20	response, the environmental assessment did have various
21	time-lines. There's a construction phase, there's the
22	operation phase and then there's the decommissioning/
23	abandonment phase.
24	Each phase comes with its own set of
25	effects. Blasting we heard will be more frequent during

1 the construction phase. Thus, we have noise also 2. perhaps increased during the construction phrase. 3 So we did take those different spatial... I'm sorry, temporal phases, into account 5 into the assessment. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. We could 6 7 perhaps move into what has already come up several 8 times, precautionary principle and the link to adaptive 9 management. I guess I'm wondering how does Bilcon 10 11 view this precautionary principle in the context of climate change? 12 13 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Mr. Wittkugel will 14 respond to that. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: One of the 16 17 principles or one of the characteristics of the precautionary principle is avoidance. It's best to 18 19 avoid certain impacts. That is what is proposed as far as impacts on for example greenhouse gas emissions are 20 21 concerned. There's an avoidance of [inaudible] on-22 site and there's an avoidance of truck traffic in terms 23 of hauling product out of the site. Instead, the vessel 24

will be used.

Τ	so largely the emissions from
2	combustion engines is avoided through the application of
3	power driven machinery. The only combustion engines
4	will be related to the mobile machinery on site.
5	So the avoidance is an example for the
6	precautionary principle in this context.
7	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: If I could draw your
8	attention to the sediment ponds. Was the climate
9	change Has it been incorporated as a precautionary
10	principle in the design of the sediment ponds?
11	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'd like Mr. Strajt to
12	comment on that please.
13	Mr. DAVID STRAJT: We looked at the
14	volumetric sizing of the ponds, and looked at the sizing
15	for the 100-year storm, which is typical sizing
16	criteria, and then looking at some of the guidance on
17	climate change, it seemed to indicate that the frequency
18	of occurrence of such a storm would possibly increase,
19	and also a small change in the amount of precipitation.
20	It was more of a frequency increase
21	than a quantity increase, so the ponds The capacity
22	of the ponds as they stand now we feel would be
23	sufficient to handle the increased volume.
24	The volume that is predicted from a
25	storm, it would just be more of a need to handle that

1 potentially more frequently. 2. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. I take your 3 answer at this stage, and perhaps when we start having more particulars about the sediment ponds, maybe you 5 could illustrate for us just how this is going to work in detail and how the climate change component comes 6 7 into play. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: One last question about precautionary principle. Risk assessment, formal 9 risk assessment is considered part of the precautionary 10 11 principle. Can you point to any formal risk assessments which have been done? 12 13 Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: I would like to point out that the coming... Not this Monday but the 14 28th I think, the 26th, there will be an expert with a 15 team. He is a risk assessor and we have not undertaken 16 a formal risk assessment, but it's sort of a precursor 17 of an assessment of the risk that may be faced, and I 18 19 think he's the right person to give a more elaborate answer on this. 20 The short answer is that the 21 precautionary principle has been taken into account, has 22 been applied, has been looked at, but it's not what I 23 would call a formal risk assessment. 24 Ms. JILL GRANT: One of the elements of 25

1	the precautionary principle that is spelled out in the
2	guidelines is a requirement for verifiable scientific
3	information, and I wonder if you might comment on the
4	adequacy of some of the baseline information provided in
5	the environmental assessment as to whether it provides
6	enough information to satisfy that?
7	Mr. UWE WITTKUGEL: There's definitely
8	component dependence in some aspects. There's little
9	information available, particularly when you think about
10	such things as cumulative effects. There's little
11	information on the other projects that you may want to
12	take into account, so you are stuck with what is
13	available, publically available basically.
14	Whereas the other aspects, when it
15	comes to the national environment for example, I think
16	we have excellent data and we have records from the
17	Minister of National Resources complimenting us exactly
18	on that, that the inventory was exceptionally thorough
19	and the qualification of those people who were involved
20	in it was excellent.
21	So it depends which part of the
22	assessment you're referring to. In general, I would say
23	yes, we have very good data, and scientifically acquired
24	data that allow for the most part an adequate assessment
25	of the potential effects.

1 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I could please ask Mr. 2. Kern to elaborate a little on some of the baseline 3 information that has been gathered to round that answer out a little. 5 Mr. DAVID KERN: The baseline data was all gathered by scientists in the profession, whether it 6 7 is copper analysis, the soil analysis or ... They were 8 all done by recognized laboratories by standard procedure. 9 The data that Bilcon gathered as 10 baseline data in the marine environment was all done 11 according to scientific standards, whether it was vital 12 planking, contamination of bottom sediments, we feel we 13 have a very good baseline, scientifically sustainable 14 baseline data that we have gathered over almost five 15 years with the Project. 16 17 So we have a temporal aspect to a great deal of the baseline data as well. It is not point in 18 time data. Much of it is over time. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we will break 20 off on this topic for the moment, but on Monday we will 21 22 come back and talk about baseline data again, okay? It will be more in keeping with the topic that you will be 23 presenting at that time anyway. 24 I would like to move us along and we 25

1 are going to move to a different topic. But before I 2. do, I have a question for you Mr. Buxton. Is anybody 3 from the Clayton companies here? Is anybody from the Clayton companies intending to be here throughout these 5 hearings? 6 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No, they're not. No, 7 I am representing Bilcon of Nova Scotia at these 8 hearings. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. So over to 9 10 you. 11 Ms. JILL GRANT: Perhaps you might explain to us a little bit about what the nature of 12 being a subsidiary of Bilcon of Delaware implies? Give 13 14 us a better... You talked a little bit about the 15 corporate structure, but it's not entire clear to us so 16 perhaps you might just clarify that a bit? 17 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'm not quite sure 18 19 what you're driving at, but let me give it a try. 20 Clearly this particular proposal came from the Clayton Group, because they have the 21 22 requirement for the material in their current 23 operations.

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

company. It is registered in the province of Nova

Bilcon of Nova Scotia is a separate

24

1 Scotia and the decisions made with respect to this 2. project are made in Nova Scotia to this point. 3 Upon the completion of this process, through construction and operation, the decisions will 5 be made in Nova Scotia, not by me at that stage, but by 6 Mr. Wall who is the Operations Manager. 7 So while the funding certainly will 8 come from a parent company and the product will go to the parent company, all decisions with respect to 9 hiring, sourcing and the decisions being made with 10 11 respect to any aspect of the quarry are being made here in Nova Scotia. 12 13 Up to this point in time, it's by myself and in the next stage of the process, they will 14 be made by Mr. Wall. Does that answer your question? 15 Ms. JILL GRANT: I think so. I think 16 17 so. 18 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Okay. 19 Ms. JILL GRANT: And can you explain to us what experience Bilcon of Nova Scotia and its various 20 parent companies have in aggregate mining? 21 22 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: My understanding is 23 that they have significant experience in sand. operate three sand pits in New Jersey. They also 24 operate a fairly major dredging operation which actually 25

1 dredges New York Harbour and the aggregates are landed. 2. To my knowledge, they have little 3 experience as a corporate entity with coarse aggregate mining. 5 So does that answer your question 6 satisfactorily? 7 Ms. JILL GRANT: Yes, thank you. 8 one other question. Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. 9 Ms. JILL GRANT: In the environmental 10 11 assessment impact statement, it indicates that Clayton has no major environmental violations. 12 13 Can you indicate what environmental 14 violations there might have been that were not major violations? 15 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, I believe I can. 16 And let me first of all give you a little sort of scope 17 of their operations. 18 19 They operate, and I think I'm correct in saying this, something like 25 to 30 concrete plants. 20 They have 550 concrete trucks on the road, and about 21 22 300 other vehicles. They operate major block plants, 23 and et cetera.

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

facilities by a staff of over 850 people, one always

During the operation of these

24

1 gets the odd callous person who fills minor amounts of 2. gasoline carelessly filling a vehicle, et cetera. 3 That is to my certain knowledge the extent of so called Aenvironmental violations≅. 5 are violations, in fact one must report these things and they do report them and hence, they get report cards. 6 7 But in terms of what I would call a major violation, i.e. a corporate act, a deliberate 8 failure to follow regulations and compliance procedures, 9 no, they do not have. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Does Clayton have any 11 other additional international interest? 12 I realize 13 they're involved in something in New Brunswick, but aside from that are they involved in anything else 14 15 internationally? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: The involvement in New 16 Brunswick is extremely peripheral if I could put it that 17 The Bayside Quarry is operated by other than 18 19 Clayton interests, however the Claytons have a marketing distribution on Brooklyn Sand and Gravel, which operates 20 out of New York, and a fair amount of the quantity of 21 22 material from Bayside goes to New York. 23 In that operation, Clayton is a 50 per cent partner and is the managing partner. So while they 24 distribute a portion of the product from Bayside, they 25

1 have no corporate ownership interest in Bayside. 2. THE CHAIRPERSON: So it's correct to say 3 that this would be their first international operation in which they will be starting it up and running it, is 5 that correct? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: That is correct. 6 7 MR. GUNTER MUECKE: One of the 8 requirements in the Panel mandate is that it looks at the alternatives to and alternate needs of the 9 corporation that you are proposing. 10 11 So I would like to address first of all alternate locations and from the environment impact 12 13 statement, there is some indication as to why alternate sites on the Canadian Atlantic Coast were rejected, but 14 there's no indication as to why the Eastern Coast of the 15 U.S. was not considered. 16 17 New England and so on would place the 18 quarry much closer to Clayton operations. 19 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, much closer geographically but not much closer from the perspective 20 of shipping. 21 22 The most important reason why a project like this becomes viable is because of the cost of 23 shipping versus the cost of moving rock by road, and if 24

I can bring that into a little bit of perspective, it

1 would be fairly reasonable to assume that moving rock by 2. road in New Jersey or New York or perhaps any of the 3 north-eastern United States a distance of perhaps a distance of 40 to 50 miles by truck is the equivalent of 5 shipping it from the Bay of Fundy by sea. 6 And so the crucial factor here is the convergence of a supply of high-quality rock right by 7 8 the seashore where it can actually be loaded onto a ship. 9 The minute you start moving this 10 11 material... So if you go five, eight miles inland, it does not sound like very much, but you have to create a 12 stockpile inland, you have to load it in a truck, you 13 have to drive that truck, dump it, create another 14 stockpile, and then pick it up again and put it onto a 15 conveyor. 16 17 When you look at this factor, you can very quickly discard... And they were discarded. 18 19 looked at it fairly extensively in terms of numbers, but we came to the conclusion that they are simply not 20 economically viable. 21 22 This sort of quarry operation... 23 And we showed two this morning, one is the Sechelt, one in Vancouver Island but with the Orca project which has 24 just been commissioned... 25

Τ	And pernaps I could refer you to the
2	Porcupine Mountain Aulds Cove Project by the Canso
3	Causeway.
4	There you see the rock absolutely by
5	the shoreline, and that is a given economically. You
6	can't You could find places. There are certainly
7	mines in New York, also some quarries in New York,
8	Pennsylvania and indeed in New Jersey, but now you're
9	talking about moving it by road, and if one goes on the
LO	Hudson River where there is plentiful rock, you're
L1	talking about bringing it by barge, by a 5,000-ton
L2	barge, and the economics simply go away.
L3	So just to sort of add to that,
L4	aggregate rock is a low value mineral. Nickels and
L5	dimes on the price make a significant cost effect.
L6	If we were talking perhaps about gypsur
L7	as we do here in Nova Scotia, it could be transported by
L8	train before it goes on the ship loader.
L9	You can't do that with aggregate rock
20	unless it is an absolute necessity, that there is no
21	alternative to that.
22	And of course in some parts of the
23	United States, I'm certainly aware that it may have to
24	be shipped by train after it comes off the ship 200 or
25	300 miles, but there's no alternative to that and they

1 have to pay the cost at the other end. 2. But clearly from our perspective, we 3 have to have a source of high-quality rock right on the sea where it can be directly loaded onto a vessel. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Thank you Mr. I realize the economics of aggregate and road 6 7 versus ocean transport but I don't think you have quite 8 answered the question I asked because there is a coastline on the Bay of Fundy which is in the U.S., 9 Maine being an example. 10 11 And to my knowledge, there are rock types which are for aggregate mining. So perhaps just 12 to answer my question as to why Nova Scotia as opposed 13 to the U.S. coast? 14 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'm not sure that I 15 can answer that in full. What I can say is that a study 16 17 was made of the quality of rock, and there were differences in the quality of rock. 18 19 If for example one has the choice between granites and basalts, one would chose basalts by 20 a very large margin because they are far less abrasive 21 to machinery and basically they produce a high-quality 22 23 rock at a cheaper price. So if we were looking at an area or 24 different areas where we could find basalt rock as 25

1	opposed to granite, then we would certainly concentrate
2	first of all on the basalts and see whether there were
3	any opportunities in that area, deep water and all the
4	other aspects that I talked about in the project
5	description, that we have sufficient land base and so
6	on.
7	And I would think that the primary
8	reason that the coast of Maine was I won't say
9	totally discounted, but because of the quality of rock.
LO	Certainly the basalts here are highly desirable.
L1	They have been identified by the Nova
L2	Scotia Department of Natural Resources as highly
L3	desirable, and really once you have built the ship
L 4	loader and you put the material onboard the ship,
L5	perhaps 100, 150 miles extra carriage really does not
L6	increase the price that much.
L7	So we would certainly, over the length
L8	of the Project that we're contemplating here, 50 years,
L9	far sooner take a higher quality aggregate in a basalt
20	formation than perhaps 100 miles closer to its
21	destination with a lower quality granite rock.
22	And I might add too that the quality of
23	the rock is of extreme importance. There are very high
24	standards and very specific standards for the rock that
25	can be used in New York or in New Jersey on concrete

Т	projects.
2	The government standards defines the
3	abrasiveness of the rock and as a geologist, you would
4	understand the various hardness and so on that are
5	measured.
6	This rock is a very high-quality rock,
7	there's no doubt about it, and we would certainly have
8	focussed fairly quickly on basalt formation.
9	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. Thank you for
10	that. And so what you are saying is that the
11	transportation costs and the quality of the rock were
12	the main determinants in locating where you are at the
13	present time.
14	I guess one of the questions I would
15	have is how much was the decision influenced by the
16	coastal management strategies of states and provinces
17	and environmental regulations?
18	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I don't believe there
19	was any influence at all. Coastal management is in
20	place as you know in New Brunswick for example, and it
21	is basically up to the Committee, the Planning Committee
22	of each coastal zone to basically set its standards.
23	It does not necessarily prohibit
24	quarrying. It might in some areas, but it may not in
25	others.

1	I think if it came into force at all,
2	which I don't recall, it would have been long after the
3	shipping routes, deep water, high-quality rock, the
4	available large parcel of land, the relative Aspacity \cong
5	of residences in the area, so a creation of minimum
6	disturbance.
7	And certainly we were well aware right
8	from the beginning of this project that once one got
9	into shipping, then we would certainly be getting into
-0	the elements of the whale population in the Bay of
L1	Fundy, and it was of great significance to us that we
L2	could bring a shipment to Whites Cove without going
L3	through the North Atlantic Right Whale Conservation
L4	Area.
L5	So I don't think there was even the
L6	tenth of 1 per cent element in terms of lack of coastal
L7	planning or lack of zoning in Digby County for example.
L8	I don't think it had any effect at all to answer.
L9	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. And I have
20	one more question. There are a number of coastal
21	quarries in Atlantic Canada. So one of the alternates
22	which was open to Bilcon would have been to acquire an
23	existing quarry. Now was there any attempt made to do
24	this?
25	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Apart from I think

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

- some very preliminary discussion with respect to
- 2 Bayside, which commenced and terminated very quickly, I
- 3 have no knowledge whatsoever of any attempt by Bilcon to
- 4 take-over an existing quarry.
- 5 We did look again fairly quickly at a
- 6 quarry in Cape Breton and determined that for a
- 7 significant number of reasons, the quality of rock,
- 8 water depth, that it really did not suit our purposes
- 9 and would not be an economic proposition to us.
- 10 Apart from those two, no there were no
- other attempts to negotiate with another company.
- Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Thank you.
- 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we will take a
- 14 break now for 15 minutes.
- 15 --- Recess at 2:30 p.m.
- 16 --- Upon resuming at 2:50 p.m.
- 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We will
- 18 resume. Mr. Buxton, I understand you have a comment to
- 19 make? We can't hear you. Hello? Thank you. Mr.
- 20 Buxton?
- 21 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: If I may Mr. Chairman,
- we do have a couple of experts here today who
- 23 specifically have come, one from Vancouver, to help our
- 24 understanding of for example terminal construction and
- aspects of the terminal, and we did specifically bring

1	them in today to talk about the elements of the
2	Project.
3	I just would like to make the point
4	that they are here today and can perhaps add to the
5	content of the proceedings, because they are the experts
6	in these matters. Thank you.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
8	Ms. JILL GRANT: Just to follow-up on
9	the discussion we were having just before the break
10	about the regulatory context and whether that played any
11	role in the choice of the site, I just want to draw your
12	attention to the minutes of the CLC from the 25^{th} of
13	September, 2002. In those minutes, there's a note that
14	says:
15	AMr. Wall noted as difficult as it
16	is to get a permit in Nova Scotia,
17	it is truly not of magnitude and
18	is different from the States. \cong
19	I wonder if you could clarify what this
20	implied?
21	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think that there's
22	certainly some evidence that some of the sites, and I
23	would not profess to be an expert, but where it has
24	perhaps reached the stage of impossibility to get a
25	permit.

1	I certainly don't profess to be an
2	expert in permitting of any facility in the United
3	States. I do believe, which would add credence to the
4	comment Mr. Wall made, that a quarry has not been
5	permitted in New Jersey since 1965.
6	Their supply of rock is probably
7	Some of the quarries which are now in existence are
8	perhaps down to 10 to 12 years of supply left.
9	When they close, then that supply will
LO	not come onto the market in New Jersey, and in fact
L1	virtually all the rock into New Jersey will have to come
L2	from New York, Pennsylvania or be imported by boat.
L3	So I don't think that there was any
L 4	broad context in that in a general sense in the United
L5	States, or at least I can't add to it, but certainly
L6	with respect to New Jersey there is some substance in
L7	that, in that it would be a very difficult if not
L8	impossible situation to get a permit for a quarry in New
L9	Jersey.
20	Ms. JILL GRANT: Just a couple of
21	questions around the property. Given that the
22	production is anticipated to go for 50 years, can you
23	explain to us why you have a 90-year lease on the
24	property?
25	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think I negotiated

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1	the lease, and in all lease arrangements there is a
2	significant advantage to get the longest possible period
3	that one can get.
4	Certainly, we are not contemplating
5	being there for more than 50 years because there is only
6	a 50-year supply of rock on the site, but let us suppose
7	that there was some sort of
8	I don't know, perhaps a huge economic
9	decline, major recession, some sort of event at a place
10	during the life of the quarry that meant that the
11	shipping became difficult, that in fact production had
12	to be reduced for a certain amount of time, we have a
13	capital investment in the Project and it just simply
14	makes good business sense to extend a lease period
15	beyond the very specific period which you are dealing
16	with.
17	So I don't think there's anything
18	contemplated, but certainly it's very difficult to
19	predict what would happen over the next 50-year period,
20	and it's just simply a good business decision to get
21	some leeway on that lease.
22	Ms. JILL GRANT: And the buffer, what
23	are called buffer properties that have been purchased by
24	Bilcon of Delaware in the vicinity of the Project, there
25	are a number of different kinds of uses that are

1	suggested for those properties in the EIS, buffer
2	habitat areas.
3	What prevents that from eventually
4	becoming added to the quarry project site?
5	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think what primarily
6	would prevent it is the Project footprint, and we have
7	sufficient rock on the existing site to satisfy the
8	demand for a 50-year period, so there's no reason for us
9	to go outside the footprint.
10	We have taken the position for a number
11	of reasons that if land becomes available, i.e. is put
12	on the market, and it is adjacent to us, then we will
13	compete for that land to increase our buffer stretch.
14	If somebody in the immediately local
15	area may feel that perhaps they want to leave the
16	area In one particular case, there was a medical
17	emergency, they required money very quickly, and we were
18	able to consummate a sale with them very quickly.
19	If somebody perhaps felt that they did
20	not want to live next door to a proposed quarry and we
21	felt that it was in a zone of influence close to the
22	quarry, then we would negotiate with them.
23	Generally speaking, we have either
24	acquired property that has come onto the market or
25	people have come into the office has it has happened in

the recent past, and offered their properties to us, and

2. because we felt that they were in the zone of 3 influence... For example if they had drilled wells, 5 which we will deal with under hydrogeology, we would buy their properties. 6 7 The last two were negotiated outside of 8 real estate companies. People approached us close to the property. We suggested that they got an appraisal 9 of their property, and I believe that we have certainly 10 11 paid them full and a fair market value for their 12 property. As to the use of the land, we consider 13 14 it an advantage to have a buffer that we can control, 15 additional preservation areas around the quarry

that there was a quarry here...≅

We have no intention of employing that

land other than as buffer strips. We have made the

statement that if the local community wants to come to

us and approach us for perhaps other uses of the lands,

we would contemplate that.

property. It prevents problems in the future where

people might want to build and then sort of say: AWell,

now that there's a quarry here... We had not noticed

25 At the present time, in accordance with

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1

16

17

1 the forest management plan, we have allowed some local 2. people access to our property to cut winter firewood at 3 no cost, provided that they did it within the zone set out by our forester. 5 So further than that, I... Does that answer your question? 6 7 Ms. JILL GRANT: Yes, thank you. 8 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Okay. 9 Ms. JILL GRANT: And can you just clarify for us what the setback agreement is that you 10 11 showed us on the map? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: There are actually two 12 setback agreements. One is for a house, and 13 14 unfortunately the house lot is so small that it scarcely shows up, but it's actually on the intersection of the 15 Whites Cove Road and Highway 217. 16 17 I think that you're referring to the larger parcel of land. That large parcel of land is 18 19 owned by the same people that own the quarry property. As part of the negotiation for a lease, we wanted to 20 21 ensure that houses were not built along the Highway 217, and that again could be in any way affected by the 22 23 quarry property. So we have a setback agreement with 24 them so that essentially anybody purchasing that 25

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1 property in the future would clearly have on their deed 2. this setback arrangement, and they could not come back 3 later and say: AWe did not know it was there.≅ Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Mr. Buxton, if Bilcon intends to use what's called the buffer zone, 5 okay, purely for the purpose of separating itself from 6 neighbours as you have just so outlined, and not for 7 8 extension of the quarry, which you also just stated, what would be Bilcon's position regarding putting that 9 land under stewardship by an organization such as the 10 Canadian Nature Trust? 11 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'm not sure that I 12 can answer that question off the top of my head. 13 14 Certainly if any organization wants to make an approach to us, I certainly think we would give it a lot of 15 consideration. 16 17 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Thank you. 18 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. Let's then 19 move on to the existing Whites Cove Road. Could you update us on the status of the road with respect to 20 usage by Bilcon and any negotiations with the Nova 21 22 Scotia Department of Transport? 23 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'm sorry, I missed the two words, the existing rights-of-way did you say or 24 the road, the existing road, the Whites Cove Road? 25

1	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Yes.
2	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: The Whites Cove Road,
3	my understanding is it's an abandoned road, so the
4	Department of Transportation, Public Works Provincial,
5	does not maintain the road and has not maintained the
6	Road.
7	We I think have a primary option, which
8	is to use the Whites Cove Road, for access to the quarry
9	site.
10	If that were the case, because it's
11	still a provincial road, then it will run through the
12	site to the Bay of Fundy and access the Bay of Fundy, in
13	which case we would fence off the road for safety
14	reasons and it would just simply be as it is today.
15	The second option for us is to create a
16	new access road, perhaps with better grade on it, for
17	access to the quarry property. The Whites Cove Road
18	then would simply remain as it is.
19	If we use the Whites Cove Road for
20	access to the quarry property, we have said that we
21	would need to widen the road and pave the road to keep
22	down dust on the neighbouring properties, and of course
23	that would have to be done in accordance with the
24	guidelines set up by the Department of Transportation of
25	Public Works, and at our expense.

1	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Coming back to part
2	of my original question, what is the state of Is
3	Bilcon negotiating with the Nova Scotia Department of
4	Transport on this matter?
5	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Not at this time, no.
6	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: And if it remains
7	provincial property, how will it affect the viability of
8	the quarry operations?
9	What you're dealing with is basically
10	two separate entities separated by what will in the
11	future become a pedestal on which the road sits.
12	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Well, it would
13	certainly be an impediment, there's no question about
14	that, and certainly as you know, we did make application
15	to acquire the Whites Cove Road, and it was denied by
16	the Department of Public Works, Transportation and
17	Public Works.
18	If that situation stays as it is, then
19	of course we will live with it and we have designed
20	around it, and we feel that we can accommodate it.
21	One of the biggest problems that we
22	have at the present time with the Whites Cove Road is
23	that the surface material is eroding and has been
24	eroding certainly since we have had any contact with the
25	site, and all those eroded materials are going down onto

1	the beach and into the Bay of Fundy.
2	We have in fact used our own men and
3	equipment and materials to try to correct that situation
4	because we are led to believe that there's nothing in
5	the maintenance budget for the Department of
6	Transportation to do anything about it.
7	It is difficult to do anything about
8	that water without using a portion of the quarry
9	property. We have allowed the Department of
10	Transportation to dig trenches into our property to
11	divert some of the storm water which currently goes down
12	Whites Cove Road and is producing a significant amount
13	of eroded materials onto the beach and into the Bay of
14	Fundy.
15	We would certainly like that situation
16	to be solved one way or the other. If we own the road,
17	then we would be responsible and clearly we would be
18	prepared to pay for it.
19	If we do not own the road, then I don't
20	think that we want to have the Department of Fisheries
21	and Oceans down every two weeks saying that it's
22	material from the quarry site. And this has happened in
23	the past.
24	So certainly, we do need to deal with
25	the issue of the Whites Cove Road with the Department of

1 Transportation and Public Works. 2. We can live with it, it would be an 3 impediment, but certainly the question of erosion off the road is going to have to be dealt with. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Buxton, the proposal you have to leave the road on a pedestal and 6 dividing the quarry in half, is it your assumption that 7 8 the road would continue to be owned by the Province and that individuals could enter one end, walk down the road 9 between the two fences high above the quarry, end up on 10 11 the beach and walk along the beach? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, that would be the 12 13 intent. Well, would that not 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: 15 Wouldn't your insurance and others get incredibly nervous about that in the sense that you have got heavy 16 17 equipment, big ships, lots of activity, blasting, and then you have got people wandering down to have a 18 19 picnic? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Well, they would not 20 21 be able to access the quarry site. The Whites Cove Road 22 stops short... It does not go all the way through the 23 site, it turns the corner at the bottom if you would like, and goes partway along the beach, and then stops, 24

25

and that area would be fenced off.

1	They would still be able to get access
2	to the beach, but to no part of the quarry property.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where I live, when
4	they do blasting, they either cover it with mats or they
5	move everybody out of the way, so people walking down
6	that road on a blasting day, I mean what would you do?
7	I presume you would have to police the
8	road, but then that would not be your responsibility,
9	right?
10	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, essentially when
11	a blast takes place, there would be a patrol if you
12	would like at the top of the road to prevent people
13	going down. This is rather like when blasting takes
14	place along Highway 101. The traffic is stopped, the
15	blast goes off, the road is cleared, and then the road
16	is opened again.
17	And yes, we would have to make
18	arrangements for temporarily clearing the area or
19	notifying people to ensure that there is no one down
20	there at the time of the blast.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: I mean, the
22	interspersing of the general public on an industrial
23	site like that so closely together, it looks like it's
24	fraught with difficulties, but okay.
25	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think I will comment

1 on that. Certainly, we have seen the odd person walk 2. down there over the past five years. I think our 3 traditional knowledge interviews showed that the use of the site is certainly considerably less than it was 5 let's say 40 or 50 years ago. Certainly, it's a four-wheeler route. 6 7 They use that for access to a beach pathway, which goes 8 a considerable distance. But certainly since we have been on the 9 site, it's not widely used. I don't think you would see 10 11 30 or 40 people down there in the course of the year. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. I think we 12 13 will move on to the construction phase of the project, 14 and during the construction phase it is proposed to have the rock that is generated be the platform construction 15 for the plant for instance, and excavation of the 16 17 sediment ponds. That in turn becomes stockpiled, and 18 19 you have provided us with calculations of the amount of volume, the volume that this has generated and that it 20 would require through stockpiles, am I correct in that? 21 22 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, it's certainly I 23 don't think possible to get it all on our primary stockpile at the bottom. Some of it will have to go up 24 on top of the hill into one of the bermed areas. 25

1	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. So prior to
2	putting the material into the bermed area, will that
3	area have to be levelled?
4	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, I think we did
5	make clear that both bermed areas, the sediment disposal
6	area and the organic disposal area, would be levelled,
7	because we don't want any possibility of this material
8	slumping and putting pressure on the front berms. So
9	they will be, generally speaking, levelled areas.
LO	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. If they are
L1	levelled areas, that means removing basalt, right? And
L2	my question is that involves blasting, and according to
L3	regulations, you have to be 800 metres from the nearest
L 4	residence and the area that you're talking about now, at
L5	least from the latest map that we have, which is map
L6	number two, it shows the 800-metre setback and these
L7	areas
L8	The second stockpiled area, the bermed
L9	area, falls within that 800-metre limit. Could you
20	explain to me how this can be accomplished?
21	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. I think you
22	would get, and I believe the panel has visited the site,
23	and as you approach the site from where the planned
24	maintenance area is, there is what would appear to be,
25	without taking level shots on it, a fairly significant

1 and large level area. 2. It's in fact not quite levelled, but it 3 is a significant area and in fact, it then raises up a little bit. There's almost a natural dyke there on the 5 north side already in place. There are two ways that you can level 6 7 ground. One is that you can remove material from one 8 end and level it in that manner, by blasting as you have 9 suggested. The other method is of course to fill 10 11 the other end, and one thing that we have in over abundance since the beginning of the quarry project is 12 13 rock, is blasted rock from the process area. So I don't believe that it would take 14 15 very much to level the area that we're contemplating for the storage of this rock, and if in fact we cannot find 16 a piece of levelled ground large enough, then we will 17 level it with the rock that we are bringing up from 18 19 below, and in fact create a level ground by filling with coarse rock rather than blasting at the other end. 20 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: But that seems to 21 22 contradict what you said earlier that the 23 stockpile...that you cannot have a stockpile on sloping ground. 24 If you are filling, it's the same as 25

- 1 stockpiling, you're just increasing the depths of the
- pile. I have a bit of a difficulty with that.
- 3 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Well, I think there's
- 4 a very significant difference in talking about something
- on the overburden material, which is a till material,
- and talking about something of a carefully prepared fill
- 7 with coarse crushed rock material.
- 8 I would not contemplate any difficulty
- 9 whatsoever in establishing a safe environment.
- 10 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Getting back to the
- 11 stockpile that you propose in the area of what is the
- 12 future sediment pond six I believe.
- In your proposal, the material would be
- piled to a height of 40 metres. I find it hard to
- 15 visualize how one can produce a rock pile with those
- 16 dimensions.
- 17 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Well, I will point
- 18 out, perhaps as some sort of comparison, the stockpile
- 19 of crush material that we showed you this morning in the
- 20 conceptual plans.
- 21 The base of that is at a 10-metre
- 22 level. The platform itself, the process platform is at
- 30 metres, so we have 20 metres below the platform and
- 24 we will undoubtedly have 20 metres above the platform,
- 25 so this is fairly standard. And that is for fairly fine

- 1 crushed material. 2. What we're talking about in the area of 3 sediment pond number five is in fact coarse crushed rock as it comes off the blast, and this can stand at a very 5 significant angle. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: I'm being simplistic 6 7 here, but I mean how do you get the stuff on top of the 8 pile? 9 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: You get it on top of the pile either with loaders or later on with a 10 11 bulldozer. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: You're on level 12 ground and you're piling, your loader does not get up to 13 14 40 metres. Do you have to have some sort of ramp? 15 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Oh yes. Yes. It would go up a ramp and be pushed off the end, yes. All 16 three sides, until you created a pile. 17 18 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: The second storage 19 area, it's... The elevation... If you take the elevation of that location and add 40 metres, how does 20 21 the height then compare to the crust of the other 22 location? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I don't think that we 23 suggested that if we take the material higher up, that 24
 - ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

we would need to go 40 metres. The intent is to put as

- 1 much material as we can where settlement pond five is 2. because it is considerably closer to the crusher 3 operations. However, on top of the hill, we have a 5 significant amount of area which is simply not going to be used for a significant period of time except for the 6 storage of organic materials, so we simply would not 7 need to go very high at that point. 8 Ms. JILL GRANT: I might ask a couple of 9 questions about the marine terminal at this point. 10 11 kinds of activities have to go on on the land part of a site to construct a marine terminal? 12 13 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'm going to refer 14 this question to Carlos. Mr. CARLOS JOHANSEN: Could I have that 15 question again please? 16 17 Ms. JILL GRANT: Can you tell me what kinds of construction activities have to go on the land 18 19 part of the site to facilitate the construction of the marine terminal? 20 Mr. CARLOS JOHANSEN: The answer to that 21 22 one is very little of any. A marine construction is

The only thing I foresee of what you

usually self-contained. It comes with cranes and barges

all afloat, and materials on the barges, and very...

23

24

1	might call marine construction which would take place
2	off the land would be the very first supports, to access
3	the trusts, and they would be built from the landside,
4	and actually that would be a very small activity. You
5	probably would not even notice it because it's a very
6	humble structure. It's just a couple of columns every
7	100 feet or so.
8	But in terms of activity on land, there
9	does not need to be any, unless somebody But there
10	is no need for it at all.
11	The concrete will be pre-cast. These
12	things are very self-contained. Materials all come on
13	barges, so nothing would be There's no need to truck
14	anything on the site if that is what you were thinking
15	about.
16	Ms. JILL GRANT: Well, the question
17	comes because obviously the conveyor has to go over the
18	environment preservation zone, so the question is
19	related to whether there are construction activities
20	that might jeopardize the environmental preservation
21	zone, people going back and forth across it?
22	Mr. CARLOS JOHANSEN: Well, it would be
23	in everybody's interest to reduce that amount of
24	traffic, if any, to the minimum.
25	You can launch a thrust from the water

1 so that you could cross it in the air. I'd have to look 2. at the details as to the exact distances we have to 3 cover, but I know that the last one we did, we launched about 600 feet of thrust to avoid a tidal area, which we 5 had been asked not to work on, and we never as much as walked on it after we put in the columns, and the columns were put in at low tide with special 7 8 dispensations from the Minister from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and we were asked to remove every 9 rock that might be in the way, and then after put it all 10 11 back. So in fact, every rock was put back 12 13 manually so no, there are ways of doing these things with very little impact, and these days after all it's 14 2007, we don't have a thousand people running around. 15 It would be a very small labour force. 16 17 Ms. JILL GRANT: Thank you. And another question about the marine terminal and conveyor is about 18 19 where the observation station is. There was discussion about an 20 observation station, but none of the journals indicate 21 22 where that would be. 23 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Perhaps I could handle

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

It will be pretty high up on the marine

I don't think that we can access... We don't

that one.

terminal.

24

1	have the projector up at the moment, but if you perhaps
2	ask that question, if you would not mind, on Wednesday
3	when we will again have it up, and I'm quite sure that
4	the other questions with respect to observers, I'd be
5	very pleased to show all our cross-section where we
6	expect the observer to be. Would that be all right?
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you referring to
8	Monday or
9	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: It's actually
10	Wednesday, it's the marine. We have marine all day
11	Wednesday and we will certainly be bringing up that
12	cross-section again, and we will be discussing
13	observation generally, and I think in some detail.
14	Ms. JILL GRANT: Okay. But I would like
15	an undertaking that you are going to give us that detail
16	at that time.
17	The other question that would be
18	related to that is how the observer gets there, to the
19	observation station, along the terminals. Perhaps you
20	will have those details at that time too?
21	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, we will deal with
22	that issue at the same time.
23	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. I would like
24	to come back to the 800-metre setback from residences,
25	in terms of blasting.

BILCON OF NOVA SCOTIA (QUESTIONS BY THE PANEL)

1 We were provided the property map and 2. the 800-metre setback that is shown on that is still 3 valid? Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I wonder whether you 5 could... Map number what? 6 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Number two. 7 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Okay. 8 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: It shows... It's called: AProperty map≅, and it shows the 800-metre 9 setback. 10 11 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Is that line valid? 12 13 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: It is not quite valid 14 at the present time and the reason for that is that property number 14... Can you locate property number 15 It is just off to the right-hand side of the Whites 16 Cove Road. 17 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Yes. 18 19 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: And if you were to look at the three arcs if you would like which are 20 shown, the middle arc there was a distance from property 21 22 number 14. 23 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Correct. Mr. PAUL BUXTON: And property number 14 24 is now in the ownership of Bilcon. I would also refer 25

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

you to the left-hand arc where we have one setback

agreement and the purchase of a number of properties, 2

and 3 and so on, and that left-hand arc would need to be

- modified somewhat. The right-hand arc stays where it is. Does that help? 6 7 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Yes. 8 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: The centre arc is no 9 longer correct because we own property number 14. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: I understand. 10 Would 11 it be possible before the end of the session to have a 12 modified map? 13 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, we can do that. 14 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Because the central 15 question on my mind here is if Bilcon is not able to obtain permissions from the remaining property owners, a 16 17 substantial portion of your property holding, a substantial portion of the resource would not be 18 19 accessible to you, and my question then is if that state persists, will the quarry be viable? 20 21 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: We believe so. 22 if setback agreements or the acquisition of properties 23 did not take place prior to contemplating construction date, we would continue with the Project. 24 25 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Could you provide us
 - ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1

2.

1	the volume of the material that would need If the
2	present boundary does not change, how much volume you
3	would lose relative to the amount that would be still be
4	<pre>minable?</pre>
5	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think we could
6	produce that before the end of the hearings, yes.
7	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Buxton, could you
9	give us a date? We're going to consider that an
LO	undertaking for you, but we need to pin it down. Do you
L1	have any reasonable idea of when we could get that?
L2	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think that by virtue
L3	of the fact that most of us, most of our experts will be
L4	here much of next week, I would appreciate that being
L5	the second week.
L6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Early in the week,
L7	later in the week?
L8	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: If we could have it
L9	We do appear on Monday, so if we could do that on
20	Wednesday of the second week?
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
22	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Thank you.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
24	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. If we could
>5	go on from the construction phase to the actual

1	operational phase now.
2	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes.
3	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: One of the
4	limitations of the land operation that has been
5	identified and that you have outlined in your proposal
6	is that since the contact between the upper flow unit
7	and the middle flow unit probably constitutes the main
8	aquifer on the property, that that contact will not be
9	breached, that is to say that a cap of upper flow
10	material will always remain on the middle flow, is that
11	correct?
12	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. And that is in
13	there for two reasons. One is that we certainly believe
14	that the major flow of water lies at the boundary of the
15	middle flow unit and the upper flow unit, and I think we
16	will be certainly dealing with that next Friday under
17	the day on hydrogeology.
18	There's a second reason for that, and
19	that is that the quality of rock in the middle flow unit
20	is poor. It is very poor rock, and remembering that we
21	have to adhere to very strict specifications for the
22	quality of rock which is exported; it would be very
23	detrimental to our operation if we broke into the middle
24	flow unit and got that material contaminating the high-
25	quality rock that we want to export.

BILCON OF NOVA SCOTIA (QUESTIONS BY THE PANEL)

1	So certainly, we do not want to go into
2	the middle flow unit.
3	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: So understanding
4	those reasons, my question is how you can avoid
5	breaching that contact because how well can that contact
6	be defined?
7	After all, you do not see that contact
8	on the property. It is hidden by the upper flow. How
9	well have you defined this contact? Because in order
10	not to breach it, you will have to know where it is, is
11	that right?
12	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. You're quite
13	right in saying that there is no contact between the two
14	flow units on the property, but there is contact between
15	the two flow units just east of the topographic
16	division, and in fact that line of demarcation is very
17	easy to see and we have mapped it and surveyed it.
18	Now certainly I can't, but professional
19	geologists can, and the mapping was done with the
20	assistance of the Department of Natural Resources
21	(Provincial), so we do know where it is.
22	There is in fact When I'm shown it,
23	there is in fact a very significant difference in the
24	qualities of the rock.
25	However, when this rock in the upper

1 flow unit is being obtained, we will be drilling holes 2. into the rock in order to blast, and we will be able to 3 tell when or if we have penetrated the middle flow unit, where we don't want to be. 5 We have at the moment four bore holes on the site, and we have six monitoring wells which were 6 drilled in the area. So it is not as if we don't know 7 8 where it is in general terms. We certainly don't know within three or 9 four feet, but we do know where it is in general terms, 10 11 and we will carry out additional bore holes on the site to further delineate where it is so that we're not over 12 blasting, so that we don't get contaminated rock in our 13 14 process operation. 15 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. In terms of 16 the present existing data that you have, it is only... And you can correct me there, I think it's two wells, 17 18 okay, that you have drilled and which you can actually 19 pinpoint the contact, so it's very limited data at the 20 moment. 21 There has been work done on the upper 22 flow/middle flow contact at Phinney's Cove, which is about 40 kilometres from here, and it has been shown 23 that the topography of that contact is at up to 7 metres 24 on a 300-metre distance, so it is not a flat sheet of 25

2. topography. 3 So my question is when you drill into this considerable topography, how will the drillers know 5 that they have penetrated the contact? 6 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I can't speak for the 7 drillers. They generally are very well aware of the 8 geology in the area. They drill wells there all the 9 time. We would not rely on a driller; in fact 10 11 we intend to do our own drilling on site. In fact, we would have professionals on-site to do this kind of 12 13 delineation before we went very far in the process. As I say, it's a very significant issue 14 15 to us if we got into the middle flow unit, because of the contamination of the high-quality rock that we want 16 17 to get out.

basalt necessarily, it can have considerable

Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: What sort of

delineating precisely where it is.

would be doing ourselves with professionals on-site,

- drilling are you proposing here, are these core?
- 23 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: It would be core
- 24 drilling, yes.

1

18

19

20

25 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: And for the

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

So this is certainly something that we

1	blasting, you do not do core drilling, do you?
2	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No, but we would do
3	this kind of core drilling on the site to delineate
4	precisely where this product is. We can't afford, as I
5	have said, to get middle flow unit material.
6	The upper flow unit is in some areas,
7	we can't say for certain all over the site, but perhaps
8	the bottom five to ten metres of the upper flow unit is
9	fractured, and fairly significantly fractured.
LO	That gives us a good idea when you're
L1	drilling that you're suddenly getting into a different
L2	kind of formation. So there is a forewarning before we
L3	get into the middle flow unit.
L4	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: If that forewarning
L5	does not provide the information and you accidentally
L6	blast and expose the middle flow unit, what remedial
L7	action do you have in mind?
L8	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think that this is
L9	an issue perhaps we will deal with more thoroughly next
20	Friday, but I will answer it I think partially today.
21	We do not see the exposure of the
22	middle flow unit as a particularly negative activity.
23	We don't want to do it, and we also believe that the
24	major groundwater flow lies very close to that boundary,
25	and we do not want to interfere with the groundwater.

1	So I would say that we would take great
2	care that we in fact do not for a number of reasons, but
3	if we inadvertently did, I don't see that And our
4	hydrogeologists do not see that as a major issue with
5	respect to the flow of water.
6	The groundwater recharges on the other
7	side of the mountain, on the east side. We are now
8	blasting on the west side of the mountain.
9	Essentially, from the contact to the
10	middle flow unit and the upper flow unit and possibly
11	all the way back to the contact of the middle flow unit
12	with the lower flow unit, the flow of water is towards
13	the Bay of Fundy, so simply impacting a small area of
14	middle flow unit would have no effect really whatsoever
15	on the ground water geology in that area.
16	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: And like you said,
17	we will probably discuss that during the hydrogeology
18	section. And I guess you just said that through core
19	drilling, you will be delineating the nature of the
20	contact and the topography on it.
21	I think an interesting exercise would
22	be, and maybe you could provide us with that
23	information, but would be using the topography that is
24	found at Phinney Mountain Creek of seven metres over a
25	distance of 300 metres, one could sit down and look at

1 the property and say: Allow many cohort holes will it 2. need to define the contact?≅ 3 Because seven metres to 300 metres and taking the dimensions of the quarry means that you will 5 have to drill a very substantial number of cohort holes in order to delineate the contact, and it should be possible to calculate how many will actually be 7 8 required, and I would be very interested to hear what those numbers are. 9 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, I would observe 10 11 that the quarrying will take place over a 50-year so one would not go... We would not go on-site in the first 12 couple of years and contemplate identifying everything 13 on the entire site. 14 We do know from bore holes or 15 monitoring well holes 2 and 3 and bore hole number one, 16 we know where the contact is in those. 17 You're right, we don't know where it is 18 19 over every part of the site, but we know that we have very substantial depths of overflow units in that area, 20 and certainly the prospect of us getting the sufficient 21 quantity of rock from the site by worrying about whether 22 we are 1 metre or 2 metres from the middle flow unit I 23 don't think is really a significant issue, but I will 24

certain see if one of our geologists can come up with

1	that kind of number.
2	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: We are not looking
3	at how many bore holes total, but basically what sort of
4	spacing would you need in order to define a seven metre
5	topography over 300 metres.
6	Ms. JILL GRANT: I have a question about
7	the disposal areas, the rock storage and so on, and one
8	of the parts to the information request indicated that
9	if you need to ship rip rap, you would use a portable
10	crushing or cruising plant.
11	I'm presuming that that would be
12	enclosed, but perhaps you could tell us a little bit
13	more about it. There was not much detail provided.
14	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, I don't think
15	it's really any possibility at all. I don't believe
16	that we would give that much of a possibility.
17	There is sufficient storage on-site,
18	it's much more convenient for us to have it. It was an
19	option that there be a demand for rip rap somewhere and
20	that we could get it off the site without having to
21	store it and then bring it back down again, but the odds
22	on that happening I think are very remote.
23	And you're right, to bring in a
24	temporary crusher and doing all the enclosures and all
25	that sort of thing I don't think would be very much a

1 worthy effort, unless it were absolutely vital that we 2. did that. 3 Ms. JILL GRANT: I will ask another question about construction activities on the site. 5 What kinds of risks are likely to occur from the construction activities to the environmental 6 preservation zones and how do you propose to manage 7 8 those activities? 9 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: We don't anticipate any activity in those areas. There is one potential 10 11 area that we will have to be particularly careful with, and you have already raised that issue with us, and that 12 is where the ship loader crosses the environmental 13 preservation zone as it goes out towards the marine 14 terminal. 15 The piles are about 100 feet apart, so 16 we will need to be careful that we don't interfere with 17 18 the environmental preservation zone in that area. 19 Other than that, they will be set aside on day one and we would not contemplate going into those 20 preservation zones at all except perhaps to do something 21 in the nature of woodwork, as advised by a forester, a 22 23 professional forester. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Since you have just 24 touched on the environmental preservation zone, in 25

1	places in the Environmental Impact Statement, a
2	containment berm is mentioned, and I haven't been able
3	to locate it on any of the maps and one of my questions
4	is where will it be, what will be its extent and will
5	that encroach into the environmental protection zone?
6	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: There are a number of
7	containment berms. For example, I would call The
8	berms around the sediment retention ponds would be
9	containment berms.
10	There will be containment berms around
11	the organic sediment storage area, around the organic
12	and sediment storage areas. So there will be a
13	significant amount of containment berms. That is not
14	contemplated to be in the preservation zone.
15	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Maybe I was
16	misreading it, but I got the impression that in addition
17	to the berms around the sediment ponds, that there would
18	be additional protection by a containment berm.
19	That is the impression I got in the
20	section on accidents and malfunctions. I got the wrong
21	impression?
22	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No, you did not. It
23	is part of the containment berm system for the organic
24	and the sediment control areas. So we have the actual
25	containment area which will have a berm around it

1	Further down the slope, there will be
2	another containment berm, and I suspect that that's what
3	you're referring to.
4	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. That makes is
5	a bit clearer. And the same is true for the sediment
6	ponds, the six sediment ponds? They will have an
7	additional containment berm?
8	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No.
9	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: No?
10	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No, because
11	essentially they contain water, and obviously we don't
12	have slip or slide or that kind of pressure from water,
13	and they will be designed The existing containment
14	berms will be designed to contain the water pressure
15	which is contemplated.
16	If the water level rises, then it will
17	go into the next pond down rather than increase the
18	pressure on the containment walls.
19	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: In the sediment
20	storage area, you propose to have two sediments I
21	believe, and the fines from the washing operations will
22	be pumped into these areas, and they will be used for
23	reclamation and production and so on.
24	There seems to be no drainage provided
25	for the sediment storage area, and given that in Nova

1	Scotia, precipitation exceeds evaporation, I am somewhat
2	puzzled as to why it is no drainage is provided for
3	those areas?
4	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: First of all, the berm
5	around these areas will be on all those four sides,
6	which means that the rainfall that comes into these
7	containment areas will only arise from the area of the
8	contained areas themselves.
9	They are not open banks. There will
10	not be water from other parts of the watershed entering
11	into these containment areas.
12	Secondly, the water content of the
13	sludge if you like, which is pumped out, is quite high,
14	but this material dries relatively quickly and
15	surprisingly, one can drive a truck on this stuff in
16	about two weeks.
17	Now to deal with the rainfall itself
18	which falls actually inside these containment berms, we
19	would anticipate that the front berm and part of the
20	side berm, and maybe all of the side berm when we do the
21	detailed design, would have as part of its core a
22	barrier material which would trap any sediments that
23	came out of these areas and would allow water to pass
24	through, but that any sediment would be contained.
25	And the same thing would happen with

1 the safety containment berm if you would like, so that 2. we get basically a double operation if you like with 3 barrier material. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Perhaps we could 5 move on to the sediment ponds themselves and their proposed depth of four metres. 6 7 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Sorry? 8 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Perhaps we could go on to the sediment ponds now and their proposed depths 9 of four metres. 10 11 Could you clarify to us how the fourmetre depths, given the runoff from the property that 12 these sediment ponds will have to handle, how these 13 structures can handle the 100-year 24-hour event, 14 precipitation even, how they handle the 100-year maximum 15 5-day event and how climate change considerations will 16 change the values that are necessary in terms of depths 17 in the sediment ponds to accommodate the extra water. 18 19 I guess what I would like to see is maybe somebody who has the knowledge to basically put a 20 chart up for us to see what each component is and what 21 22 it adds up to. 23 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I'm going to pass this question over to David Strajt who carried out all this 24 How quickly we can get drawings up on the 25 work for us.

screen, I'm not sure, but we could certainly start to 2. answer the question in a verbal sense and then look for 3 other information. Mr. Strajt? Mr. DAVID STRAJT: So the sediment ponds 5 have... There's a multiple use for the sediment ponds. 6 They need to treat the runoff to remove sediment. 7 Bilcon would also like to use the ponds for process 8 water make-up and as you mentioned, the ponds need to be able to handle flood flows as well. 9 So we looked at the amount or the 10 11 volumes that are required for each of those purposes and based on the proposed surface area for the ponds that 12 13 Bilcon has set aside, we could come up with a depth. And so it is a balance, it is sort of a 14 15 competing use. I guess I can get into some numbers first. We looked at average requirement during 16 17 operations, average requirements for supply, and that came out to be 0.9 metres of depth would be required in 18 19 each pond, over each of the ponds. For our 24-hour 100-year flood, runoff 20 from the entire catchment above the site came up to be 21 So 1.9 and 0.9 is 2.8, so there was still 22 1.9 metres. 23 volume remaining in that situation. We also looked at a five-day, 100-year 24

volume, again from the entre catchment above the ponds,

25

1 and that came out to be 2.8 metres of equivalent depth. 2. So again, 2.8 and 0.9 will give you 3 3.7, so you still have one foot, essentially one-foot freeboard in that situation. 5 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Yeah, but what you have just quoted us is based upon an average of 0.9 6 7 metres. That's the year average required for the 8 operation. However, because of seasonal 9 variations, that number has to be considerably larger at 10 11 times in order to get through the dry seasons and can be smaller at other times, is that correct? 12 13 Mr. DAVID STRAJT: Well that number, 14 0.9, represents the cumulative sum over an average dry season. You would need 0.9 metres of depth to get you 15 through an average dry season. 16 So normally, before the dry season 17 there is a surplus of water, but typically between June 18 19 or July through October, typically your dry season, so cumulatively we add it up, the volume required over an 20 average dry season and 0.9 metres is what would get you 21 22 to the end of that dry season, and then come October or 23 November again... So the 0.9 is cumulative. It's not an 24

average requirement over the year, it's the amount of

1	storage required to satisfy demand over that two or
2	three-month period.
3	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: The figures you have
4	provided are for historic data, the 24-hour and the
5	five-day events. With climate change, we can expect
6	these numbers to increase. How does that change the
7	picture of this?
8	Mr. DAVID STRAJT: Again, there is a
9	trade off. You know, normally these 100-year and five-
10	day events are You know, they are infrequent events,
11	they occur by definition once every 100 years, but the
12	risk of occurrence can be calculated as well, so you
13	might have a situation where you would have to
14	You would not have as much available
15	for storage for a short amount of time, because you
16	would need to make room for an anticipated 100-year
17	storm or a five-day storm, so for a short period of
18	time, there might be a situation where your flood
19	storage will cut into your supply storage, and my
20	understanding is that for short periods of time, Bilcon
21	is prepared to not wash aggregate and ship unwashed
22	aggregate.
23	It's an inconvenience, but it's
24	something that Bilcon is prepared to do.
25	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: So these unusual

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1 events, there will have to be a release in order to be 2. able to accommodate? 3 Mr. DAVID STRAJT: There may have to be a release depending on what time of year it is, what 5 level the ponds are at. You know, worse case scenario, if the ponds are at maximum storage level and an 6 7 anticipated 100-year storm is on its way, then there may 8 need to be some draw down to make room for that storm. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: <u>So can you give</u> us 9 in any of the worst case scenarios what amount of 10 11 drawdown may be needed and which berms are involved? Mr. DAVID STRAJT: I would have to do 12 13 some number crunching. I could give you that... I don't have it off-hand here, but I can provide that for 14 15 you. Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: 16 So could we put that on the list? Okay. Somewhere along the line I 17 18 picked up that the storage required at times will have 19 to be two and a half metres and not 0.9 metres, and that two and a half metres was in the assessment report. 20 What does that two and a half metres refer to, do you 21 22 know? 23 Mr. DAVID STRAJT: Yeah. That two and a half, that would be a request to look at what the amount 24 of storage would be in a drought situation, so we looked 25

1	at a drought year during the period of record, and so
2	during that worse case scenario, if you wanted to get
3	through a drought year, you would need 2.4 metres of
4	storage during that dry period.
5	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Okay. So what you
6	are saying is that if you're looking at 4 metres, we can
7	accommodate for nine years. But if you encounter a
8	drought year, it would mean that not sufficient water
9	would be available, is that correct?
10	Mr. DAVID STRAJT: Well again, it
11	depends on how you operate your ponds. You can operate
12	them to have as much storage as you need. You can set
13	your outflow levels to contain as much water as you
14	anticipate that you need, and then lower it down if you
15	don't anticipate you will need as much storage, so you
16	can
17	It all comes down to how the outlet is
18	designed and how you operate and whether or not you
19	increase your source for a short period of time or not.
20	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Which brings us back
21	to worse case scenarios. If you have decided to use
22	maximum storage and you are hit by a storm, a 100-year
23	storm, then there's a problem; and you are going to
24	provide us with some numbers on that.
25	Ms. JILL GRANT: In one of the

1 documents, I think you had indicated that a major storm event could raise 5,000 to 10,000 gallons per minute, of 2. 3 water, is that correct? Mr. DAVID STRAJT: I believe Bilcon had 5 made some preliminary calculations on the leases. Ms. JILL GRANT: One of the questions is 6 7 that just given that not many of us are very accurate at 8 predicting the weather for the summer ahead, and even the farmers' almanac is not always perfect, am I right 9 in presuming that Bilcon will need to always presume 10 11 that they will be heading into a drought, and therefore keep the ponds at 2.5 metres? 12 13 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I guess that's an I think that it is of significant 14 operational question. importance to us that we wash the rock. I think I have 15 made that clear. 16 It is not crucial to the extent that we 17 would close the operation, but it is a very important 18 19 part of the process, so we would want to generally speaking ensure that we had sufficient wash water. 20 One of the points that I would like to 21 22 make here is that we do not see overflow from these 23 ponds as a major issue. All the water from our watershed 24 currently goes out of the natural ground and into the 25

1 Bay of Fundy. We did background analysis of that water 2. and typically the water from the natural watershed contains in the order of 14 mg per kilogram or 14 parts 3 a million. In the installation of the small settling pond that is there now and the settling ponds 6 that will be there in the future, with good maintenance 7 8 of those ponds, cleaning out the base and good maintenance procedures, we would not anticipate an 9 overflow producing anymore than 2 or 3 parts per 10 11 million. And this was our experience over three 12 years of testing of the existing settling pond. So in 13 14 fact, the settling pond can act as a cleaning agent. Remember that on this site, the vast 15 amount of the fines are being taken out from the wash 16 17 cycle and they are going out to the sediment retention 18 ponds. The fines that we're talking about 19 going into the ponds are the fines off the road and 20 there are certainly some, that's why the sediment ponds 21 22 are there, but it is nothing like the volume that we 23 will take out in the wash cycle. And again, the first pond, pond number 24 five, will have forebays in it, and the idea of the 25

1 forebays is to quicken the settlement of the sediment in 2. the first pond. 3 We would anticipate and we would want that water to be essentially clean by the time it 5 reaches pond number one. If we have an overflow situation, we do 6 7 not see this as a serious event. First of all, we see 8 very low levels in the water as it goes out. It will then go out through the constructed wetland, and we will 9 carry out continuous monitoring of any outflows from the 10 11 system. Frankly, I think we're more concerned 12 13 about retaining enough water on the site than we are about overflow situations. 14 15 Now that is not to say that just because we say it's a one in a 100 year storm that you 16 17 can't get a 100-year storm on Monday and one on Tuesday, 18 it can happen, and we believe that we can handle that situation. 19 And I would just like to comment here, 20 21 and I think we may make this comment further on in the 22 process. 23 But generally speaking, we have tried to design with the parameters and thresholds which are 24 generally set out under the guidelines under the Pits 25

1	and Quarries Act.
2	Typically, one designs for a 100-year,
3	24-hour event. That is not to say that we cannot raise
4	those berms to contain in any normal situation a 100-
5	year, five-day maximum event. But that is not typically
6	designed. And we would see no particular imperative on
7	the site to design into that level.
8	We did consider that. We were asked to
9	consider that and we did consider that and provided the
10	information, but typically we would design for a 100-
11	year and 24-hour event.
12	Ms. JILL GRANT: A couple of follow-up
13	questions on the constructed wetland. In a situation
14	where you're storing water during the summer because
15	your volume I'm presuming there's no water going
16	into constructed wetland, is that the case?
17	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes, that would
18	typically be the case. Yes. And so the water level in
19	the constructed wetland would vary.
20	Ms. JILL GRANT: And during the release
21	of 5,000 to 10,000 gallons per minute, if that's
22	required to draw down quickly, what anticipated effect
23	would there be on the constructed wetland handling that
24	volume?
25	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I think the assumption

1 there is that we would in fact try to draw down 2. sufficient water in the sediment ponds for a 100-year, 3 five-day events. That may not necessarily be true. And I think that we would, over time, 5 develop a fairly sophisticated approach to this kind of 6 situation. 7 As I said, if that water comes, if we 8 do get that sort of event when we're at maximum capacity, I think we will do somewhat of a balance, that 9 we would release some water from the ponds and some 10 11 water would just simply come through with a natural 12 storm event. 13 I don't think that we meant to give the 14 impression that if a storm were forecast, that we would attempt to pump out that quantity of water simply in 15 order to contain that storm event. We would see no 16 17 necessity in doing that. Ms. JILL GRANT: You're talking in your 18 19 assessment document about an outflow structure that could be used to stop flow. I wonder if you could 20 21 explain what that is about and what would happen if you 22 did in fact stop the flow? 23 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No, the outflow structure is not in any way, shape or form to stop the 24 flow going out. The outflow structure is a constructed 25

1	concrete structure which enables us to do two things,
2	one is to measure the flow that is going out and
3	secondly, in order to take samples from precisely the
4	same place and precisely the same conditions so that are
5	samples means something from month to month.
6	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: You're talking about
7	a constructed wetland along the coast, and I guess I
8	find it difficult to visualize how you can have sudden
9	release rates of that magnitude, and 5,000 gallons per
10	minute has been mentioned in the report, and the
11	wetlands basically surviving this event.
12	Would it not result in Having a
13	release rate of that magnitude, what does it mean in
14	terms of velocity of water flow through that wetland?
15	At the moment, we have cross-section of
16	the wetland, but no indication of dimensions, so it's
17	hard to visualize what sort of flow rates would result
18	and what the possible effect of that rapid flow would be
19	on the wetland.
20	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Well, I will pass the
21	question shortly back to Mr. Kern behind me who designed
22	the constructive wetland, but I want to make a couple of
23	points here.
24	In the calculation of the water
25	quantities coming down into the ponds, we have assumed

- 1 the worst case scenario, i.e. that the ground is 2. saturated before a 100-year storm event starts, so in 3 other words we get a fairly instant flash off that is a very significant proportion in measure. 5 Secondly, the ground as it is now, the natural state of the site as it is now, handles that 6 runoff that comes down. 7 8 There is a natural wetland just to the west of the existing sediment pond, and in extreme wet 9 weather now, that amount of water comes out of that 10 11 watershed and goes into the Bay of Fundy carrying a fairly significant load. 12 13 The next thing I think to remember is 14 that this is not a totally open site. One of the advantages of incremental reclamation and incremental 15 opening of the quarry is that we do not have the whole 16 quarry site open at the same time, so we have a fairly 17 18 small portion of the site opened at any one time. 19 Now any open area of the quarry increases the runoff velocity, and there's a fairly 20 well-known formula that one can calculate runoff and of 21 22 course the surface is of significance, whether the water 23 penetrates or whether it flashes off as in a parking lot. 24
 - ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

So yes, in some way, we will be

25

1 increasing it because some parts of the quarry will be 2. opened. But the entire site is not opened so we're not 3 talking here of a totally open area with a very fast flash off as you would get in a parking lot for 5 example. So I think that we would try to 6 7 maintain a balance. If we were at a fairly high level 8 because we anticipated a drought and we also predicted a 100-year and 24-hour event or a five-day event, I think 9 we would do two things really. 10 11 One, we would do some pumping down to increase the capacity and try to get a more stable flow 12 when the flood arrived, but we would be content to 13 contemplate some of the water going out after it has 14 gone through the five ponds, and the wetland, and out 15 into the Bay of Fundy as it does today. 16 And we would not see increasing the 17 amount of material above the levels prescribed by the 18 Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour; in 19 fact we are not allowed to. 20 Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: And the effect on 21 22 the wetlands? 23 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Sorry, thank you for reminding me. Mr. Kern, would you comment please on the 24 wetland, the constructed wetland? 25

1	Mr. DAVID KERN: The discharge of a
2	major storm event is on a very infrequent basis. The
3	length of the constructed wetland is approximately 500
4	metres. It has check dams similar to a highway road
5	ditch or that a Highway 101 road ditch would have within
6	the constructed wetland, it's a part of it.
7	It would vary in width and depth, and I
8	believe that question was answered in our revised
9	project description, verbally, in some detail.
10	Mr. GUNTER MUECKE: Any ideas of I
11	mean, what sort of velocities are we talking about? I
12	mean, you can have check dams and everything, but if you
13	are releasing large amounts of water, the cross-section
14	areas of wetland is of importance in determining
15	velocities.
16	What are the dimensions? We have never
17	seen any actual numbers.
18	Mr. DAVID KERN: The length is 500
19	metres. The side slopes are The side slopes vary
20	from 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical, to 4 horizontal to 1
21	vertical, so this isn't
22	It isn't intended to be a constructed
23	channel. It's intended to create different depths,
24	widths, shallow water, deep water, ponding water for
25	habitat enhancement purposes, and we have done no

1 estimate of velocities going through the constructed 2. wetland. Ms. JILL GRANT: In terms of the 3 sediment retention, my understanding is that pond five 5 will not be in operation until after the first five years, is that correct? 6 7 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: No, not quite, but it 8 will be constructed immediately. The product which is on that site is crushed, so it will be a priority to 9 construct, but we will have to remove that material 10 11 first and then that pond will immediately go into being. It will be constructed. 12 13 I can't say whether that will be the 14 second year, but that would be my... That would be my 15 quess. Ms. JILL GRANT: So during that time 16 when that is not in operation, there won't be a sediment 17 forebay to try to trap sediment, is that correct? 18 19 the first several years of operations, the sediments will be going in the other ponds? 20 21 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Not necessarily. Sediment forebays are very easy to construct. They are 22 23 not elaborate things. They're a bit like a wheel which one puts across the sediment pond, and one could easily 24

be put across sediment pond number four.

25

1	Ms. JILL GRANT: And can you give us
2	some indication of what proportion of the sediments are
3	likely to fall out in the forebay when this forebay is
4	constructed?
5	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I would certainly hope
6	that we would retain at least 90 per cent of the
7	sediments in the forebay. That would certainly be the
8	intent, because we have the opportunity to easily clean
9	it out there, and take it into proper storage.
10	So the less that goes into the other
11	ponds, the better, and we would certainly try for that
12	kind of percentage.
13	Ms. JILL GRANT: Okay. Thank you. And
14	I am curious about when the fines are going to be
15	removed from the ponds because in different documents,
16	different things are said.
17	In one of the documents, in section
18	9.2.1, page 43, it says they will be removed in winter
19	when there is low biological productivity in the pond.
20	In another document though, it says
21	they are going to be removed during the dry season.
22	So I'm curious about that because it
23	seems that that would be the time when storage of water
24	is important, so I'm not sure that that implies the
25	level of that pond would actually be lower.

1	And then in other places, it says the
2	sediments will be removed four times a year to maintain
3	capacity; that's in the supplement to the I.R.
4	So can you please clarify for us when
5	the sediments are going to be removed?
6	Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Yes. I think one of
7	the confusion that was created, and it was entirely our
8	fault, was that we tried to make a descriptive perhaps
9	analysis of the capacity of the ponds, and what we
10	assumed was that the sediment would be contained equally
11	in all the ponds.
12	We thought that this would make a good
13	visual image over the course of a season, and so we
14	described the sediment ponds with a layer of sediment
15	across the bottom so that it would be somewhat easier to
16	calculate the capacity of the tons in these storm
17	events, and unfortunately I think that that not a very
18	good idea because I think it created some confusion.
19	It is not the intent to let the
20	sediment accumulate in all the ponds, and that's why we
21	have this forebay. I think that the answer to your
22	question is really an operational one. It would really
23	depend on what was going on in the quarry at a
24	particular time.
25	If we're under construction, if we're

ASAP Reporting Services Inc.

1 doing something new, opening up new areas, then I would 2. expect a higher accumulation of sediment, and we would 3 have to then clear the forebay on a more frequent basis. 5 Once we got into a productive mode and we were not stripping top soil for example, the area was 6 7 relatively clean, then the cleaning of the forebay would be more infrequent. 8 I think the intent was to indicate that 9 we would clear the forebay obviously when it is required 10 11 and so that if we had a flood condition, less material might be lost, but also with some sensitivity to the 12 seasons and to what is going on biologically in the 13 14 area. Ms. JILL GRANT: And what effect will 15 clearing out the sediments from the ponds have on the 16 17 habitat capacity, the habitat that is trying to create in the ponds? 18 19 Mr. PAUL BUXTON: I would say very little, because it will be fairly short-lived. 20 will be some turbidity in the water, clearly when this 21 22 is being taken out. 23 One of the things about basalt rock is that it is at the high specific gravity, and its 24

particulate matter is quite dense and settles out

25

BILCON OF NOVA SCOTIA (QUESTIONS BY THE PANEL)

- 1 relatively quickly so that perhaps there would be some
- disturbance over a short period of time, but we don't
- 3 think that it would have any significant effect as you
- 4 say on the habitat that is probably trying to establish
- 5 itself, even while we're using the ponds.
- 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have come to
- 7 the end of this particular topic, and the original
- 8 stated closing time for today would be 4:30. It is
- 9 4:25, so we will avail ourselves of the break in the
- 10 subject matter and break for today.
- 11 We will see you all again on Monday
- 12 morning, 9:00.
- Mr. PAUL BUXTON: Thank you.
- 14 --- Whereupon the matter was adjourned at 4:25 p.m. to
- resume on Monday, June 18, 2007, at 9:00 a.m.